

ICP Waters Report 133/2017

Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 2017



Photo: Potamophylax sp. Arne Fjellheim, Uni Research Environment

International Cooperative Programme on Assessment
and Monitoring Effects of Air Pollution on Rivers and Lakes

Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution



Main Office

Gaustadalléen 21
NO-0349 Oslo, Norway
Phone (47) 22 18 51 00
Telefax (47) 22 18 52 00
Internet: www.niva.no

NIVA Region South

Jon Lilletuns vei 3
NO-4879 Grimstad, Norway
Phone (47) 22 18 51 00
Telefax (47) 37 04 45 13

NIVA Region East

Sandvikaveien 59
NO-2312 Ottestad, Norway
Phone (47) 22 18 51 00
Telefax (47) 62 57 66 53

NIVA Region West

Thormøhlensgate 53 D
NO-5006 Bergen Norway
Phone (47) 22 18 51 00
Telefax (47) 55 31 22 14

NIVA Denmark

Ørestads Boulevard 73
DK-2300 Copenhagen
Phone (45) 8896 9670


Title Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 2017	Serial number NIVAs løpenr. 7198-2017	Date 26.10.2017
Author(s) <i>Godtfred Anker Halvorsen, Uni Research Environment AS, Bergen, Norway</i> <i>Arne Johannessen, Uni Research Environment AS, Bergen, Norway</i> <i>Torunn Svanevik Landås, Uni Research Environment AS, Bergen, Norway</i>	Topic group Environmental contaminants - freshwater	Distribution Open
	Geographical area Europe	Pages 28


Client(s) Norwegian Environment Agency United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE)	
Client's publication: ICP Waters report 133/2017	Printed NIVA Project number 10300

<p>Summary</p> <p>The 21th intercalibration of invertebrates in the ICP Waters programme had contribution from four laboratories. The biological intercalibration is important for harmonizing taxonomic work across countries and will be of high value for programmes where community analyses are in focus, such as classification of ecological status according to EU's Water Framework Directive. The biological intercalibration under the ICP Waters programme was the first regular test comprising identification of organisms down to the level of individual species.</p> <p>The laboratories identified a high proportion of the individuals in the test samples, 89.2 % of the total number of species was correctly identified. Few faults were recorded on genus level. The mean Quality assurance index ranged between 77.4 and 100. Only one laboratory had a value below 80 – the limit for acceptable taxonomic work, while one other laboratory had all identifications correct.</p>
--

Four keywords	Fire emneord
1. Intercalibration	1. Interkalibrering
2. Invertebrates	2. Invertebrater
3. Aquatic fauna	3. Akvatisk fauna
4. Monitoring	4. Overvåking

This report is quality assured in accordance with NIVA's quality system and approved by:


Godtfred Anker Halvorsen
Project Manager


Øyvind Garmo
Quality assurance


Heleen de Wit
Research Manager

ISBN 978-82-577-6933-8
NIVA-report ISSN 1894-7948

Biological Intercalibration: Invertebrates 2017

Prepared at the ICP Waters Programme Subcentre
Uni Research AS, P.O. box 7810 N-5020 Bergen, Norway
October 2017

Preface

The international cooperative programme on assessment and monitoring of air pollution on rivers and lakes (ICP Waters) was established under the Executive Body of the UNECE Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP) in July 1985. Since then ICP Waters has been an important contributor to document the effects of implementing the Protocols under the Convention. Numerous assessments, workshops, reports and publications covering the effects of long-range transported air pollution have been published over the years.

The ICP Waters Programme Centre is hosted by the Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), while the Norwegian Environment Agency manages the programme. A programme subcentre is established at Uni Research, Bergen. The Programme Centre's work is supported financially by the Norwegian Environment Agency and from the UNECE LRTAP Trust Fund.

The main aim of the ICP Waters Programme is to assess, on a regional basis, the degree and geographical extent of the impact of atmospheric pollution, in particular acidification, on surface waters. More than 20 countries in Europe and North America participate in the programme on a regular basis.

The Programme objective is to establish and maintain an international network of surface water monitoring sites and promote international harmonisation of monitoring practices. A tool in this work is the inter-laboratory quality assurance tests. The bias between analyses carried out by the individual participants of the Programme has to be identified and controlled. The tests will also be a valuable tool in improving the taxonomic skill of the participating laboratories.

We here report the results from the 21th intercalibration on the invertebrate fauna.



Godtfred Anker Halvorsen

ICP Waters Programme Subcentre
Bergen, October 2017

Table of contents

Summary.....	5
1 Introduction	6
2 Methods.....	7
3 Results and discussion	10
4 Evaluation and conclusion	13
5 References	14
6 Appendix A. Responsible laboratories	15
7 Appendix B. Species lists.....	16
Table 1. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 1	16
Table 2. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 2	17
Table 3. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 3	19
Table 4. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 4	21
Reports and publications from the ICP Waters programme	23

Summary

The 21th intercalibration of invertebrates in the ICP Waters programme had contribution from four laboratories. The biological intercalibration is important for harmonizing taxonomic work across countries and will be of high value for programmes where community analyses are in focus, such as classification of ecological status according to EU's Water Framework Directive. The biological intercalibration under the ICP Waters programme was the first regular test comprising identification of organisms down to the level of individual species.

The laboratories identified a high proportion of the individuals in the test samples, 93 % of the total number of species were correctly identified. Few faults were recorded on genus level. The mean Quality assurance index ranged between 77.4 and 100. Only one laboratory had a value below 80 – the limit for acceptable taxonomic work, while one other laboratory had all identifications correct.

1 Introduction

The purpose of the biological intercalibration is to evaluate the quality of the taxonomic work producing data for submission to the Programme subcentre. The quality can influence on the evaluation of the samples, which is based on the species and their tolerance (Raddum *et al.* 1988, Fjellheim and Raddum 1990, Raddum 1999). The control is therefore important for evaluation of the significance of trends in biotic indexes both for a specific site/watershed, as well as for comparisons of trends between different regions and countries. The material is also used in multivariate statistical analysis (Larsen *et al.* 1996, Skjelkvåle *et al.* 2000, Halvorsen *et al.* 2002, Halvorsen *et al.* 2003), and in analyses of biodiversity (Velle *et al.*, 2013, Velle *et al.* 2016). The results of this type of data treatment are especially sensitive to the quality of the species identification. The biological intercalibration focuses on the taxonomic skills of the participants and is a tool for improving the quality of work at the different laboratories as well as harmonisation of the biological database.

The methods for intercalibration of biological material were outlined in 1991 at the 7th ICP Waters Task Force meeting in Galway, Ireland. The different countries/laboratories have to know, first of all, their native fauna. Since the fauna in different geographical regions vary, it is necessary to prepare specific samples for each participating laboratory, based on their native fauna. It is a problem for the exercise of the intercalibration that it is not possible to use standardised samples for all participants. To solve this problem, each laboratory send identified samples of invertebrates from their own monitoring sites to the Programme centre. The Programme centre will additionally add species known to be present in the region of the specific laboratory. Based on this, each laboratory receives individual test samples composed of species representing their own monitoring region.

The taxonomic skill of the different participants is measured by using a quality assurance index, see Raddum (2005). This index evaluates the skill of identifying the species as well as the genus. It also takes into account the effort of identifying all specimens in the sample. The highest index score is 100, while a value of 80 is set as the limit of good taxonomic work.

2 Methods

Preparation of the test-samples

Samples of identified invertebrates were received from all participating laboratories. These samples were used to compose test samples, with the addition of specimens from earlier exercises and from own stocks. The geographical distribution of species was checked by the use of the Fauna Europaea Web Service 2013 (<http://www.faunaeur.org>). This is a database of the scientific names and distribution of multicellular European land and fresh-water animals (see example in Figure 1).



Figure 1. Geographical distribution of the caddisfly *Rhyacophila nubila* in Europe. This species is widely distributed, but is absent from several West-European countries. Map after Fauna Europaea Web Service, <http://www.faunaeur.org>, Photo: Arne Fjellheim

Identification

To minimize possible faults, the following procedure is used in preparing the test samples:

- The participating country has first identified the source material for the test samples. Two of us have verified the identification of the species/taxa as far as possible without damaging the individuals.
- The content of the two test samples for each laboratory, with respect to species and numbers, is listed in a table. Two persons control that the correct number and species is placed in the test samples according to the list.

Damages of the material

The quality of the test material may be reduced during handling and shipping. Taxonomically important parts of the body, as gills, legs, cerci, mouthparts etc., can be lost or destroyed in actions connected with identification, sample composition and transportation. Contamination of larvae may also occur during these processes as well as during the identification work at the participating laboratories. All mentioned possibilities for faults could influence on the results of the identifications and disturb the results in a negative way.

Evaluation

The results of the tests are sent to the laboratories for eventual comments before publishing the report. In this way, we can remove taxonomical biases - for example misidentified or destroyed test material. In cases of disagreement, material may be sent back to the programme subcentre for control. This procedure may act educational for both parts.

For calculation of faults (in percent), we must take into account possible destructions of the material as mentioned above. Further, a wrong identification of a species is one fault even if the sample contains many individuals of the species. We encourage the participants to give comments on matters that may impede the identification. For example, misidentification of species in cases where important taxonomic characters have been destroyed may be neglected, if this is pointed out by the participants.

We have discriminated between “short coming” identification, probably due to damaged material, and virtual fault (wrong species – or genus name). Due to this, some subjective evaluations of the results have to be made. The percent of faults is therefore not always the exact calculated percent of faults, but can be a modified value where some “expert judgement” is taken into account.

It is also of interest to know how many individuals that have been identified of the total number in the sample. This is named *percent identified*. A low percent means that many individuals were not identified and will consequently reduce the value of the taxonomic work.

Available material for making test samples varies. Normally each laboratory gets between 60 and 130 individual species in the two samples. Samples with low diversity will be easier to handle than samples with high diversity, see Appendix tables. This should also be kept in mind when the results are evaluated. Small samples should be avoided, as only a few misidentifications could result in a low score.

According to Fauna Europaea, the total number of European mayfly, stonefly and caddisfly species (per 2015) is 1814. However, the biodiversity differs between countries. Normally the freshwater fauna gets poorer moving from South Europe towards the Northern countries. This is also a fact to

bear in mind when judging taxonomical capacity. As an example of this, the freshwater fauna of Switerland is much richer than in Norway and Sweden – despite the fact that the area of Switerland is approximately 1/10 of the two Nordic countries (Figure 2).




Figure 2. Species (EPT) diversity of mayflies (*Ephemeroptera*), stoneflies (*Plecoptera*) and caddisflies (*Trichoptera*) in Norway, Sweden and Switzerland (after Fauna Europaea Web Service, <http://www.faunaeur.org>).

Quality assurance index

We have calculated the quality assurance index, Q_i , for important groups of invertebrates as well as the mean index for each participant. The Q_i integrates the separate levels of the identifications as follows:

$$Q_i = (\% \text{ correct species}/10) * (\% \text{ correct genus}/10) * (\% \text{ identified individuals}/100)$$

Q_i will be a number between 0 and 100. 100 are the highest score that can be obtained. A score ≥ 80 is regarded as acceptable taxonomical work.

Test of the subcentre

The ICP waters subcentre in Bergen, Norway is tested with the help from Sweden every second year (not in 2017). The Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences in Uppsala prepares and evaluates the test of the subcentre. Methodology and implementation is otherwise identical to the other tests.

3 Results and discussion

Four laboratories participated in the intercalibration of invertebrates in 2017 (Appendix A). The content of species in the test samples delivered, and the results of the identification by the different laboratories, are shown in Appendix B, Tables 1 – 4.

Mayflies

The identification of the mayflies (Figure 3) ranged from below acceptable to excellent. The low Qi for Laboratory 1 is a result of too many specimens identified to genus, but not to species.




Figure 3. Results of the identification of the mayflies. The red line indicates the level of acceptance.

Stoneflies

The identification of the stoneflies is shown in Figure 4.




Figure 4. Results of the identification of stoneflies. The red line indicates the level of acceptance.

The results were below the level of acceptance for two of the laboratories. An explanation for the poor result for Laboratory 2 may be that this lab did not send enough specimens of the group, and got material from another country sent in by another lab. These specimens are recorded in the country, but may not be common or well known in the lab's rivers. The specimens were, however, identified to correct genus with the exception of one specimen. This gave a Qi well below the level of acceptance. The one erroneously identified specimen from Laboratory 3 also made the Qi from this lab to drop below the level of acceptance.

Caddisflies

The identification of the caddisflies was excellent for three of the labs, but below the level of acceptance for Laboratory 1 (Figure 5).




Figure 5. Results of the identification of caddisflies. The red line indicates the level of acceptance.

Other groups

In this intercalibration we have included water beetles (Coleoptera), larger crustaceans (Malacostraca), leeches (Hirudinea), molluscs (Gastropoda), alderflies (Megaloptera), Diptera etc. Both larvae and imagines have been included for some of the groups. Leeches, molluscs and larger crustaceans are sensitive to acid water and important for the evaluation of acidification. The tolerance of the species in Coleoptera, Megaloptera, Diptera is little known, but generally they are regarded as tolerant to acidic water and consequently have low importance for evaluation of acidity indices. However, all species will be important for invertebrate community analysis. Figure 6 and Appendix tables 1 – 4 shows the results of the identification of these groups.




Figure 6. Results of the identification of miscellaneous groups. The red line indicates the level of acceptance.

The identifications made by all laboratories were very good with only one error in Laboratory 2. This was a specimen sent in by the lab, but not identified. This may very well just be an error of lapse.

Total number of species in the sample

A total of 269 individuals were sent to the four different laboratories. Of these, all but one specimen were reported back to the programme subcentre.

4 Evaluation and conclusion

The laboratories generally identified a high portion of the total number of species in the test samples. The mean skill of identifying species, genus and Qi score per laboratory is shown in Figure 7. The mean score ranged from just below the acceptance limit to 100 in Laboratory 4, which means that all identifications were correct. The slight dip below the acceptance limit for Laboratory 2 is a consequence of the Qi for the stoneflies in this lab where too many specimens were identified to genus only. This emphasizes the importance of sending an abundance of specimens to the programme subcentre, and to try to identify specimens to the species level.




Figure 7. Mean skill in percent of identifying species and genus, and mean Qi for each laboratory. The red line indicates the level of acceptance.

The biological intercalibration is important for harmonizing biological material/databases and will be of high value in projects where community analyses is in focus, or where the ecological status of waterbodies should be stated. The biological intercalibration under the ICP Waters programme was the first regular test aiming to test taxonomic skills in identifying benthic invertebrates. Today, similar tests are run by the the North American Benthological Society (<http://www.nabstcp.com>) and by the Natural History museum, London (Identification Qualifications – IdQ test. The invertebrate groups covered in the latter test are those used in the BMWP water quality score system (Armitage et al., 1983) and include groups used for monitoring freshwater environments under the EU water framework directive (Schartau et al. 2008). From 2017 and onwards, Uni Research Environment are also running a test similar to the ICP waters intercalibration for different Norwegian Laboratories.

5 References

- Armitage, P. D., D Moss, J. F. Wright and M. T. Furse, 1983. The performance of a new biological water quality score system based on macroinvertebrates over a wide range of unpolluted running-water sites. – *Water Res.* 17: 333–347.
- Fauna Europaea Web Service (2013) Fauna Europaea version 2.6.2, Available online at <http://www.faunaeur.org>
- Fjellheim, A. and G. G. Raddum, 1990. Acid precipitation: biological monitoring of streams and lakes. *The Science of the Total Environment*, 96, 57-66.
- Halvorsen, G. A., E. Heegaard and G.G. Raddum, 2002. Tracing recovery from acidification – a multivariate approach. NIVA- Report SNO 4208/2000, ICP Waters Report 69/2002, 34 pp.
- Halvorsen, G.A., Heegaard, E., Fjellheim, A. & Raddum, G.G. 2003. Tracing recovery from acidification in the Western Norwegian Nausta watershed. *Ambio*, 32(3): 234-239.
- Larsen, J., H.J.B. Birks, G.G. Raddum & A. Fjellheim 1996. Quantitative relationships of invertebrates to pH in Norwegian river systems. *Hydrobiologia* 328: 57-74.
- Raddum, G. G. 1999. Large scale monitoring of invertebrates: Aims, possibilities and acidification indexes. In Raddum, G. G., Rosseland, B. O. & Bowman, J. (eds.) *Workshop on biological assessment and monitoring; evaluation of models*. ICP-Waters Report 50/99, pp.7-16, NIVA, Oslo.
- Raddum, G. G. 2005. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 0905. NIVA-report SNO 5067 2005, ICP Waters report 81/2005.
- Raddum, G. G., A. Fjellheim and T. Hesthagen, 1988. Monitoring of acidification through the use of aquatic organisms. *Verh. Int. Verein. Limnol.* 23: 2291-2297.
- Schartau, A.K., Moe, J., Sandin, L., McFarland, B. and Raddum, G. G. 2008. Macroinvertebrate indicators of lake acidification: analysis of monitoring data from UK, Norway and Sweden. *Aquatic Ecology*, 42: 293–305.
- Skjelkvåle, B. L.; Andersen, T.; Halvorsen, G. A.; Raddum, G. G.; Heegaard, E.; Stoddard, J. and Wright, R. F. 2000. The 12-year report: Acidification of Surface Water in Europe and North America; Trends, biological recovery and heavy metals. ICP Waters report, nr. 52/2000. Oslo: Norwegian Institute for Water Research; 2000. 115 pp.
- Velle, G., Telford, R. J., Curtis, C., Erikson, L., Fjellheim, A., Frolova, M., Fölster, J., Grudule, N., Halvorsen, G. A., Hildrew, A., Hoffmann, A., Inderiksone, I., Kamasová, L., Kopàček, Orton, S., Krám, P., Monteith, D. T., Senoo, T., Shilland, E. M., Stuchlik, E., Wiklund, M-L., deWit, H. and Skjelkvaale, B. L. 2013. Biodiversity in freshwaters: temporal trends and response to water chemistry. ICP Waters Report 6580-2013, Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Oslo.
- Velle, G., Mahlum, S., Monteith, D., de Wit, H., Arle, J., Eriksson, L., Fjellheim, A., Frolova, M., Fölster, J., Grudule, N., Halvorsen, G.A., Hildrew, A., Hruska, J., Indriksone, I., Kamasová, L., Kopacek, J., Krám, P., Orton, S., Senoo, T., Shilland, E.M., Stuchlik, E., Telford, R.J., Wiklund, Unfermanova, L., Wiklund & Wright, R. 2016. Biodiversity of macro-invertebrates in acid-sensitive waters: trends and relations to water chemistry and climate. ICP Waters report 127/2016. 33 pp.

6 Appendix A. Responsible laboratories

Each participating laboratory is identified by a number, which is identical with the table number. Laboratories participating in the intercalibration of invertebrates in 2017 and their code numbers are:

1. Bomio & Fürst SA, Via Pobbia 16, 6514 Sementina, **Switzerland**.
Responsible taxonomist: Dr. Sebastiano Schneebeili
2. Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz NRW, FB 55: Ökologie und Chemie der Oberflächengewässer, Leibnizstraße 10, 45659 Recklinghausen **Germany**. Responsible taxonomist: Dr. Reinhold Ludwig.
3. Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Dept. of Environmental Assessment, P.O. Box 7050, S-75007 Uppsala, **Sweden**. Responsible taxonomist: Dr. Magda-Lena Wiklund.
4. Estonian Environmental Research Centre, Tartu Department, Vaksali 17a, 50410 Tartu, **Estonia**. Responsible taxonomist: Dr. Triinu Punder

7 Appendix B. Species lists

Table 1. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 1

Taxa:	Sample 1		Sample 2	
	Delivered	Identified	Delivered	Identified
Ephemeroptera				
<i>Baetis alpinus</i>	1		1	
<i>Baetis</i> sp.		1	1	2
<i>Ecdyonurus helveticus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Eporeus alpicola</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Rhithrogena degrangei</i>	1	1	1	
<i>Rhithrogena</i> sp.			1	2
Plecoptera				
<i>Amphinemura sulcicollis</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Amphinemura</i> sp.			1	1
<i>Isoperla rivulorum</i>	1		1	1
<i>Isoperla</i> sp.		1		
<i>Nemoura mortoni</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Perla grandis</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Perlodes intricatus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Protonemura rimborum</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Rhabdiopteryx neglecta</i>	1	1	1	1
Trichoptera				
<i>Allogamus uncatus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Drusus annulatus</i>			1	
<i>Melampophylax mucoreus</i>	1			1
<i>Drusus melanchaetes</i>	1			
<i>Drusus biguttatus</i>		2		
<i>Drusus discolor</i>			1	1
<i>Hydropsyche modesta</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Oligotricha striata</i>			1	1
<i>Philopotamus montanus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Rhyacophila praemorsa</i>			1	
<i>Rhyacophila</i> sp. (s. stricto)				1
<i>Rhyacophila torrentium</i> ¹	1	1	1	1
<i>Rhyacophila tristis</i>	1		1	
<i>Rhyacophila stigmatica/hirticornis</i> ¹		1		1
<i>Rhyacophila</i> gr. <i>dorsalis</i>	1			
<i>Rhyacophila</i> sp. (s. stricto)		1	1	1
<i>Wormaldia copiosa</i>	1	1	1	1
Miscellaneous				
Odonata				
<i>Aeshna</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
Coleoptera				
<i>Esolux</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
<i>Hydraena</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
Diptera				
<i>Atherix</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
<i>Hexatomia</i> sp.			1	1

¹ As *Hyporhyacophila*

Table 2. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 2

Taxa:	Sample 1		Sample 2	
	Delivered	Identified	Delivered	Identified
Ephemeroptera				
<i>Alainites muticus</i>	1	1		
<i>Baetis rhodani</i>			1	1
<i>Ecdyonurus torrentis</i>	1	1		
<i>Epeorus assimilis</i>			1	1
<i>Ephemera danica</i>			1	1
<i>Ephemerella muticus</i>	1	1		
<i>Paraleptophlebia submarginata</i>			1	
<i>Habroleptoides confusa</i>				1
<i>Potamanthus luteus</i>			1	1
<i>Rhitrogena hercynia</i>	1	1		
<i>Serratella ignita</i> ²			1	1
<i>Torleya major</i>	1	1		
Plecoptera				
<i>Amphinemura borealis</i>			1	
<i>Amphinemura</i> sp.				1
<i>Brachyptera risi</i>			1	1
<i>Brachyptera seticornis</i>	1	1		
<i>Isoperla difformis</i>	1	1		
<i>Leuctra hippopus</i>			1	
<i>Leuctra</i> sp.				1
<i>Protonemura meyeri</i>	1		1	
<i>Protonemura</i> sp.		1		1
<i>Rhabdiopteryx acuminata</i>	1			
<i>Brachyptera risi</i>		1		
<i>Taeniopteryx nebulosa</i>	1	1		
Trichoptera				
<i>Allogamus auricollis</i>	1	1		
<i>Brachycentrus montanus</i>			1	1
<i>Goera pilosa</i>	1	1		
<i>Hydropsyche pellucidula</i>			1	1
<i>Lepidostoma hirtum</i>	1	1		
<i>Melampophylax mucoreus</i>			1	1
<i>Micrasema minimum</i>	1	1		
<i>Micrasema setiferum</i>			1	1
<i>Philopotamus montanus</i>	1	1		
<i>Polycentropus flavomaculatus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Oecismus monedula</i>			1	1
<i>Silo nigricornis</i>			1	1
<i>Silo pallipes</i>			1	1
<i>Synagapetus iridipennis</i>	1			
Agapetinae		1		
<i>Tinodes waeneri</i>	1	1		
Miscellaneous				
Gastropoda				
<i>Ancylus fluviatilis</i>			1	1
<i>Anisus vortex</i>	1	1		
<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i>	1	1		
<i>Physa fontinalis</i>			1	1
<i>Valvata piscinalis</i>			1	1

² As *Ephemerella*

Hirudinea					
<i>Erpobdella octoculata</i>				1	1
<i>Erpobdella vilnensis</i>	1	1			
<i>Glossiphonia complanata</i>				1	1
<i>Glossiphonia nebulosa</i>	1	1			
Oligochaeta					
<i>Haplotaxis gordioides</i>				1	1
<i>Stylodrilus heringianus</i>	1	1		1	1
Malacostraca					
<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	1	1			
<i>Chelicorophium curvispinum</i>				1	1
<i>Echinogammarus berriloni</i>	1	1			
<i>Gammarus fossarum</i>				1	
<i>Gammarus pulex</i>					1
Heteroptera					
<i>Aphelocheirus aestivalis</i>				1	1
Coleoptera					
<i>Anacaena bipustulata</i>	1	1			
<i>Elmis aenea</i>	1				
<i>Elmis cf. aenea</i>		1			
<i>Elmis maugetii</i>				1	
<i>Elmis cf. maugetii</i>					1
<i>Esolus angustatus</i>	1				
<i>Haliplus lineatocollis</i>				1	1
<i>Haliplus sp.</i>	1	1			
<i>Hydraena gracilis</i>				1	
<i>Hydraena sp.</i>					1
<i>Ilybius fuliginosus</i>				1	1
<i>Limnius perrisi</i>				1	1
<i>Orectochilus villosus</i>				1	1
<i>Oulimnius tuberculatus</i>	1				
<i>Oulimnius cf. tuberculatus</i>		1			
<i>Riolus cupreus</i>	1				
<i>Riolus cf. cupreus</i>		1			
<i>Riolus sp.</i>				1	1
Megaloptera					
<i>Sialis lutaria</i>	1	1			
Diptera					
<i>Atherix ibis</i>				1	1
<i>Ibisia marginata</i>	1	1			
<i>Liponeura cinerascens</i>	1	1			
<i>Prodiamesa olivacea</i>	1	1			
<i>Prosimulium tomosvaryi</i>	1	1			
<i>Simulium argyreatum</i>				2	2
<i>Simulium variegatum</i>				1	1

Table 3. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 3

Taxa:	Sample 1		Sample 2	
	Delivered	Identified	Delivered	Identified
Ephemeroptera				
<i>Arthroplea congener</i>			1	1
<i>Baetis rhodani</i>			1	1
<i>Caenis horaria</i>			1	1
<i>Caenis luctuosa</i>	1	1		
<i>Caenis rivulorum</i>			1	1
<i>Centroptilum luteolum</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Ephemerella vulgata</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Ephemerella aroni</i> ³	1	1	1	1
<i>Heptagenia dalecarlica</i>			1	1
<i>Heptagenia sulphurea</i>	1	1		
<i>Leptophlebia vespertina</i>	1	1		
<i>Nigrobaetis niger</i>	1	1	1	1
Plecoptera				
<i>Dinocras cephalotes</i>			1	1
<i>Diura nanseni</i>	1	1		
<i>Leuctra nigra</i>	1	1	1	
<i>Capnopsis schilleri</i>				1
<i>Nemoura flexuosa</i>			1	
<i>Nemoura cinerea</i>				1
<i>Nemurella pictetii</i>			1	1
<i>Protonemura meyeri</i>	1	1		
<i>Siphonoperla burmeisteri</i>			1	1
<i>Taeniopteryx nebulosa</i>	1	1	1	1
Trichoptera				
<i>Apatania wallengreni</i>			1	
<i>Apatania sp.</i>				1
<i>Arctopsyche ladogensis</i>			1	1
<i>Brachycentrus subnubilis</i>			1	1
<i>Chimarra marginata</i>			1	1
<i>Cheumatopsyche lepida</i>	1	1		
<i>Ecclisopteryx dalecarlica</i>			1	1
<i>Ecnomus tenellus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Hydropsyche pellucidula</i>			1	1
<i>Hydropsyche silfvenii</i>			1	
<i>Hydropsyche nevae</i>				1
<i>Hydropsyche siltalai</i>			1	1
<i>Ironoquia dubia</i>			1	1
<i>Lepidostoma hirtum</i>	1	1		
<i>Molanna angustata</i>	1	1		
<i>Molannodes tinctus</i>			1	1
<i>Neureclipsis bimaculata</i>			1	1
<i>Notidobia ciliaris</i>	1	1		
<i>Oecetis testacea</i>	1	1		
<i>Philopotamus montanus</i>	1	1		
<i>Polycentropus flavomaculatus</i>			1	1
<i>Rhyacophila nubila</i>	1	1		
<i>Sericostoma personatum</i>	1	1		

³ As *Ephemerella aurivilli*

Miscellaneous				
Gastropoda				
<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i>	1	1		
<i>Gyraulus albus</i>			1	1
<i>Radix bathica</i>			1	1
<i>Theodoxus fluviatilis</i>	1	1		
Malacostraca				
<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Gammarus pulex</i>	1	1		
Odonata				
<i>Erythromma najas</i>	1	1		
<i>Pyrrhosoma nymphula</i>			1	1
Coleoptera				
<i>Elmis aenea</i>	1	1		
<i>Haliplus</i> sp.			1	1
<i>Hydraena gracilis</i>			1	1
<i>Hygrotes versicolor</i>			1	1
<i>Limnius volckmari</i>	1	1		
<i>Nebrioporus depressus</i>			1	1
Diptera				
<i>Dicranota</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
<i>Limnophora</i> sp.	1	1	1	1
<i>Tipula</i> sp.	1	1		

Table 4. Identified species/genus in sample 1 and 2 by Laboratory 4

Taxa:	Sample 1		Sample 2	
	Delivered	Identified	Delivered	Identified
Ephemeroptera				
<i>Alanaites muticus</i>	1	1		
<i>Baetis digitatus</i>	1	1		
<i>Baetis rhodani</i>			1	1
<i>Caenis luctuosa</i>	1	1		
<i>Caenis rivulorum</i>			1	1
<i>Ephemera danica</i>	1	1		
<i>Ephemera vulgata</i>			1	1
<i>Habrophlebia lauta</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Heptagenia flava</i>	1	1		
<i>Heptagenia sulphurea</i>	1	1		
<i>Leptophlebia marginata</i>	2	2		
<i>Nigrobaetis niger</i>			1	1
<i>Paraleptophlebia submarginata</i>			1	1
Plecoptera				
<i>Amphinemura borealis</i>			1	1
<i>Isoperla difformis</i>	1	1		
<i>Isoperla grammatica</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Leuctra hippopus</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Nemoura avicularis</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Nemoura cinerea</i>			1	1
<i>Protonemura meyeri</i>	1	1		
<i>Rhabdiopteryx acuminata</i>	1	1		
<i>Taeniopteryx nebulosa</i>			1	1
Trichoptera				
<i>Brachycentrus subnubilis</i>	1	1		
<i>Ceraclea annulicornis</i>	1	1		
<i>Cheumatopsyche lepida</i>	1	1		
<i>Glyptotaelius pellucidus</i>			1	1
<i>Hydropsyche angustipennis</i>			1	1
<i>Hydropsyche pellucidula</i>	1	1		
<i>Hydropsyche siltalai</i>			1	1
<i>Lepidostoma hirtum</i>	1	1		
<i>Limnephilus rhombicus</i>	1	1		
<i>Lype phaeopa</i>	1	1		
<i>Lype reducta</i>			1	1
<i>Micrasema setiferum</i>			1	1
<i>Odontocerum albicorne</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Oligostomus reticulata</i>	1	1		
<i>Plectrocnemia conspersa</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Polycentropus flavomaculatus</i>	1	1		
<i>Polycentropus irroratus</i>			1	1
<i>Potamophylax latipennis</i>			1	1
<i>Rhyacophila fasciata</i>	1	1	1	1
<i>Rhyacophila nubila</i>			1	1
<i>Sericostoma personatum</i>			1	1
<i>Silo pallipes</i>	1	1	1	1

Miscellaneous				
Gastropoda				
<i>Bithynia tentaculata</i>	1	1		
<i>Lymnaea stagnalis</i>	1	1		
<i>Physa fontinalis</i>			1	1
<i>Theodoxus fluviatilis</i>				
Hirudinea				
<i>Erpobdella octoculata</i>	1	1		
<i>Glossophonia complanata</i>	1	1		
<i>Helobdella stagnalis</i>			1	1
Malacostraca				
<i>Asellus aquaticus</i>	1	1		
<i>Gammarus pulex</i>			1	1
Odonata				
<i>Gomphus vulgatissimus</i>			1	1
Coleoptera				
<i>Elmis aenea</i>	1	1		
<i>Elmis maugetii</i>			1	1
<i>Limnius volckmari</i>	1	1		
<i>Normandia nitens</i>	1	1		
<i>Oulimnius tuberculatus</i>	1	1		
<i>Riolus cupreus</i>			1	1
Megaloptera				
<i>Sialis fuliginosa</i>	1	1		
Diptera				
<i>Atherix ibis</i>	1	1		
<i>Anthoca vitripennis</i>			1	1
<i>Tipula (Emodotipula) obscuriventris</i>	1	1		

Reports and publications from the ICP Waters programme

All reports from the ICP Waters programme from 2000 up to present are listed below. Reports before year 2000 can be listed on request. All reports are available from the Programme Centre. Reports and recent publications are also accessible through the ICP Waters website; <http://www.icp-waters.no/>

- Halvorsen, G.A., Johannessen, A., Svanevik, T. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 2017. **ICP Waters report 133/2017**
- Braaten, H.F.V., Åkerblom, S., de Wit, H.A., Skotte, G., Rask, M., Vuorenmaa, J., Kahilainen, K.K., Malinen, T., Rognerud, S., Lydersen, E., Amundsen, P.A., Kashulin, N., Kashulina, T., Terentyev, P., Christensen, G., Jackson-Blake, L., Lund, E., Rosseland, B.O. 2017. Spatial and temporal trends of mercury in freshwater fish in Fennoscandia (1965-2015). **ICP Waters report 132/2017**
- De Wit, H., Garmo, Ø. (editors). 2017. Proceedings of the 33rd Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Uppsala, May 9-11, 2017. **ICP Waters report 131/2017**
- Halvorsen, G.A., Johannessen, A., Svanevik Landås, T. 2016. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 2016. **ICP Waters report 130/2016**
- Escudero-Oñate, C. 2016. Intercomparison 1630: pH, Conductivity, Alkalinity, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. **ICP Waters report 129/2016**
- De Wit, H., Valinia, S. (editors). 2016. Proceedings of the 32st Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Asker, Oslo, May 24-26, 2016. **ICP Waters report 128/2016**
- Gaute Velle, Shad Mahlum, Don T. Monteith, Heleen de Wit, Jens Arle, Lars Eriksson, Arne Fjellheim, Marina Frolova, Jens Fölster, Natalja Grudule, Godtfred A. Halvorsen, Alan Hildrew, Jakub Hruška, Iveta Indriksone, Lenka Kamasová, Jiří Kopáček, Pavel Krám, Stuart Orton, Takaaki Senoo, Ewan M. Shilland, Evžen Stuchlík, Richard J. Telford, Lenka Ungermanová, Magda-Lena Wiklund, Richard F. Wright. 2016. Biodiversity of macro-invertebrates in acid-sensitive waters: trends and relations to water chemistry and climate. **ICP Waters report 127/2016**
- De Wit, H., Valinia, S. and Steingruber, S. Proceedings of the 31st Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Monte Verità, Switzerland 6th –8th October, 2015. **ICP Waters report 126/2015**
- De Wit, H., Hettelingh, J.P. and Harmens, H. 2015. Trends in ecosystem and health responses to long-range transported atmospheric pollutants. **ICP Waters report 125/2015**
- Fjellheim, A., Johannessen, A. and Landås, T.S. 2015. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1915. **ICP Waters report 124/2015**
- Escudero-Oñate, C. 2015 Intercomparison 1529: pH, Conductivity, Alkalinity, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. **ICP Waters report 123/2015**
- de Wit, H., Wathne, B. M. (eds) 2015. Proceedings of the 30th Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Grimstad, Norway 14th –16th October, 2014. **ICP Waters report 122/2015**
- Fjellheim, A., Johannessen, A. and Landås, T.S. 2014. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1814. **ICP Waters Report 121/2014**
- Escudero-Oñate. 2014. Intercom-parison 1428: pH, Conductivity, Alkalinity, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. **ICP Waters Report 120/2014**

- De Wit, H. A., Garmo Ø. A. and Fjellheim A. Chemical and biological recovery in acid-sensitive waters: trends and prognosis. **ICP Waters Report 119/2014**
- Fjellheim, A., Johannessen, A. and Landås, T.S. 2013. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1713. **ICP Waters Report 118/2014**
- de Wit, H., Bente M. Wathne, B. M. and Hruška, J. (eds) 2014. Proceedings of the 29th Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Český Krumlov, Czech Republic 1st –3rd October, 2013. **ICP Waters report 117/2014**
- Escudero-Oñate, C. Intercomparison 1327: pH, Conductivity, Alkalinity, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. **ICP Waters Report 116/2013**
- Holen, S., R.F. Wright, I. Seifert. 2013. - Effects of long-range transported air pollution (LTRAP) on freshwater ecosystem services. **ICP Waters Report 115/2013**
- Velle, G., Telford, R.J., Curtis, C., Eriksson, L., Fjellheim, A., Frolova, M., Fölster J., Grudule N., Halvorsen G.A., Hildrew A., Hoffmann A., Indriksone I., Kamasová L., Kopáček J., Orton S., Krám P., Monteith D.T., Senoo T., Shilland E.M., Stuchlík E., Wiklund M.L., de Wit, H., Skjelkvåle B.L. 2013. Biodiversity in freshwaters. Temporal trends and response to water chemistry. **ICP Waters Report 114/2013**
- Fjellheim, A., Johannessen, A. and Landås, T.S. 2013. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1612. **ICP Waters Report 113/2013**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Wathne, B.M., de Wit, H. and Michela Rogora (eds.) 2013. Proceedings of the 28th Task Force meeting of the ICP Waters Programme in Verbania Pallanza, Italy, October 8 – 10, 2012. **ICP Waters Report 112/2013**
- Dahl, I. 2012. Intercomparison 1226: pH, Conductivity, Alkalinity, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. **ICP Waters report 111/2012**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Wathne B. M. and Moiseenko, T. (eds.) 2010. Proceedings of the 27th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Sochi, Russia, October 19 – 21, 2011. **ICP Waters report 110/2012**
- Fjellheim, A., Johannessen, A., Svanevik Landås, T. 2011. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1511. NIVA-report SNO 6264-2011. **ICP Waters report 109/2011**
- Wright, R.F., Helliwell, R., Hruska, J., Larssen, T., Rogora, M., Rzychoń, D., Skjelkvåle, B.L. and Worsztynowicz, A. 2011. Impacts of Air Pollution on Freshwater Acidification under Future Emission Reduction Scenarios; ICP Waters contribution to WGE report. NIVA-report SNO 6243-2011. **ICP Waters report 108/2011**
- Dahl, I and Hagebø, E. 2011. Intercomparison 1125: pH, Cond, HCO₃, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 6222-2011. **ICP Waters report 107/2011**
- Skjelkvåle B.L. and de Wit, H. (Eds). 2011. Trends in precipitation chemistry, surface water chemistry and aquatic biota in acidified areas in Europe and North America from 1990 to 2008. NIVA-report SNO 6218-2011. **ICP Waters report 106/2011**
- ICP Waters Programme Centre 2010. ICP Waters Programme manual. NIVA SNO 6074-2010. **ICP Waters report 105/2010**. 91 s. ISBN 978-82-577-5953-7,
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Wathne B. M. and Vuorenmaa J. (eds.) 2010. Proceedings of the 26th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Helsinki, Finland, October 4 – 6, 2010. **ICP Waters report 104/2010**
- Fjellheim, A. 2010. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1410. NIVA-report SNO 6087-2010, **ICP Waters report 103/2010**

- Hovind, H. 2010. Intercomparison 1024: pH, Cond, HCO₃, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 6029-2010. **ICP Waters report 102/2010**
- De Wit, H. A. and Lindholm M., 2010. Nutrient enrichment effects of atmospheric N deposition on biology in oligotrophic surface waters – a review. NIVA-report SNO 6007 - 2010. **ICP Waters report 101/2010**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., De Wit, H and and Jeffries, D. (eds.) 2010. Proceedings of presentations of national activities to the 25th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Burlington, Canada, October 19-21 2009. NIVA-report SNO 5995 - 2010. **ICP Waters report 100/2010**
- Fjellheim, A. 2009. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1309. NIVA-report SNO 5883-2009, **ICP Waters report 99/2009**
- Hovind, H. 2009. Intercomparison 0923: pH, Cond, HCO₃, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, TOC, Al, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 5845-2009. **ICP Waters report 98/2009**
- Ranneklev, S.B., De Wit, H., Jenssen, M. T. S. and Skjelkvåle, B.L., 2009. An assessment of Hg in the freshwater aquatic environment related to long-range transported air pollution in Europe and North America. NIVA-report SNO 5844-2009. **ICP Waters report 97/2009.**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Jenssen, M. T. S. and De Wit, H (eds.) 2009. Proceedings of the 24th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Budapest, Hungary, October 6 – 8, 2008. NIVA-report SNO 5770-2009. **ICP Waters report 96/2008**
- Fjellheim, A and Raddum, G.G. 2008. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1208. NIVA-report SNO 5706-2008. **ICP Waters report 95/2008**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., and De Wit, H. (eds.) 2008. ICP Waters 20 year with monitoring effects of long-range transboundary air pollution on surface waters in Europe and North-America. NIVA-report SNO 5684-2008. **ICP Waters report 94/2008**
- Hovind, H. 2008. Intercomparison 0822: pH, Cond, HCO₃, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 5660-2008. **ICP Waters report 93/2008**
- De Wit, H. Jenssen, M. T. S. and Skjelkvåle, B.L. (eds.) 2008. Proceedings of the 23rd meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Nancy, France, October 8 – 10, 2007. NIVA-report SNO 5567-2008. **ICP Waters report 92/2008**
- Fjellheim, A and Raddum, G.G. 2008. Biological intercalibration: Invertebrates 1107. NIVA-report SNO 5551 – 2008. **ICP Waters report 91/2008**
- Hovind, H. 2007. Intercomparison 0721: pH, Cond, HCO₃, NO₃-N, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni, and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 5486-2007. **ICP Waters report 90/2007**
- Wright, R.F., Posch, M., Cosby, B. J., Forsius, M., and Skjelkvåle, B. L. 2007. Review of the Gothenburg Protocol: Chemical and biological responses in surface waters and soils. NIVA-report SNO 5475-2007. **ICP Waters report 89/2007**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Forsius, M., Wright, R.F., de Wit, H., Raddum, G.G., and Sjøeng, A.S.M. 2006. Joint Workshop on Confounding Factors in Recovery from Acid Deposition in Surface Waters, 9-10 October 2006, Bergen, Norway; Summary and Abstracts. NIVA-report SNO 5310-2006. **ICP Waters report 88/2006**
- De Wit, H. and Skjelkvåle, B.L. (eds). 2007. Trends in surface water chemistry and biota; The importance of confounding factors. NIVA-report SNO 5385-2007. **ICP Waters report 87/2007**
- Hovind, H. 2006. Intercomparison 0620. pH, K₂₅, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 5285-2006. **ICP Waters report 86/2006**
- Raddum, G.G. and Fjellheim, A. 2006. Biological intercalibration 1006: Invertebrate fauna. NIVA-report SNO 5314-2006. **ICP Waters report 85/2006**

- De Wit, H. and Skjelkvåle, B.L. (eds.) 2006. Proceedings of the 21th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Tallinn, Estonia, October 17-19, 2005. NIVA-report SNO 5204-2006. **ICP Waters report 84/2006**
- Wright, R.F., Cosby, B.J., Høgåsen, T., Larssen, T., Posch, M. 2005. Critical Loads, Target Load Functions and Dynamic Modelling for Surface Waters and ICP Waters Sites. NIVA-report SNO 5166-2005. **ICP Waters report 83/2006**
- Hovind, H. 2005. Intercomparison 0317. pH, K25, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 5068-2005. **ICP Waters report 82/2005**
- Raddum, G.G. 2005. Intercalibration 0307: Invertebrate fauna. NIVA-report SNO 5067-2005. **ICP Waters report 81/2005**
- De Wit, H. and Skjelkvåle, B.L (eds.). 2005. Proceedings of the 20th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Falun, Sweden, October 18-20, 2004. NIVA-report SNO 5018-2005. **ICP Waters report 80/2005**
- Fjeld, E., Le Gall, A.-C. and Skjelkvåle, B.L. 2005. An assessment of POPs related to long-range air pollution in the aquatic environment. NIVA-report SNO 5107-2005. **ICP Waters report 79/2005**
- Skjelkvåle et al 2005. Regional scale evidence for improvements in surface water chemistry 1990-2001. *Environmental Pollution*, 137: 165-176.
- Hovind, H. 2004. Intercomparison 0418. pH, K25, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 4875-2004. **ICP Waters report 78/2004**
- Raddum, G.G. 2004. Intercalibration: Invertebrate fauna 09/04. NIVA-report SNO 4863-2004. **ICP Waters report 77/2004**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L. (ed). Proceedings of the 19th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Lugano, Switzerland, October 18-20, 2003. NIVA-report SNO 4858-2004. **ICP Waters report 76/2004**
- Raddum, G.G, *et al.* 2004. Recovery from acidification of invertebrate fauna in ICP Water sites in Europe and North America. NIVA-report SNO 4864-2004. **ICP Waters report 75/2004**
- Hovind, 2003. Intercomparison 0317. pH, K25, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-report SNO 4715-2003. **ICP Waters report 74/2003**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L. (ed). 2003. The 15-year report: Assessment and monitoring of surface waters in Europe and North America; acidification and recovery, dynamic modelling and heavy metals. NIVA-report SNO 4716-2003. **ICP Waters report 73/2003**
- Raddum, G.G. 2003. Intercalibration 0307: Invertebrate fauna. NIVA-report SNO-4659-2003. **ICP Waters report 72/2003**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L. (ed.). 2003. Proceedings of the 18th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme Task Force in Moscow, October 7-9, 2002. NIVA-report SNO 4658-2003. **ICP Waters report 71/2002**
- Wright, R.F. and Lie, M.C. 2002. Workshop on models for Biological Recovery from Acidification in a Changing Climate. 9.-11. September 2002 in Grimstad, Norway. Workshop report. NIVA-report 4589-2002.
- Jenkins, A. Larssen, Th., Moldan, F., Posch, M. and Wright R.F. 2002. Dynamic Modelling of Surface Waters: Impact of emission reduction - possibilities and limitations. NIVA-report SNO 4598-2002. **ICP Waters report 70/2002.**
- Halvorsen, G.A, Heergaard, E. and Raddum, G.G. 2002. Tracing recovery from acidification - a multivariate approach. NIVA-report SNO 4564-2002. **ICP Waters report 69/2002**

- Hovind, H. 2002. Intercomparison 0216. pH, K₂₅, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-Report SNO 4558-2002. **ICP Waters Report 68/2002**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L. and Ulstein, M. (eds). 2002. Proceedings from the Workshop on Heavy Metals (Pb, Cd and Hg) in Surface Waters; Monitoring and Biological Impact. March 18-20, 2002, Lillehammer, Norway. NIVA-report SNO-4563-2002. **ICP Waters report 67/2002**
- Raddum, G.G. 2002. Intercalibration 0206: Invertebrate fauna. NIVA-report SNO-4494-2002. **ICP Waters report 66/2002**
- Bull, K.R. Achermann, B., Bashkin, V., Chrast, R. Fenech, G., Forsius, M., Gregor H.-D., Guardans, R., Haussmann, T., Hayes, F., Hettelingh, J.-P., Johannessen, T., Kryzanowski, M., Kucera, V., Kvaeven, B., Lorenz, M., Lundin, L., Mills, G., Posch, M., Skjelkvåle, B.L. and Ulstein, M.J. 2001. Coordinated Effects Monitoring and Modelling for Developing and Supporting International Air Pollution Control Agreements. *Water Air Soil Poll.* **130**:119-130.
- Hovind, H. 2001. pH, K₂₅, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-Report SNO 4416-2002. **ICP Waters report 64/2001**
- Lyulko, I. Berg, P. and Skjelkvåle, B.L. (eds.) 2001. National presentations from the 16th meeting of the ICP Waters Programme task Force in Riga, Latvia, October 18-20, 2000. NIVA-report SNO 4411-2001. **ICP Waters report 63/2001**
- Raddum, G.G. 2000. Intercalibration 0005: Invertebrate fauna. NIVA-report SNO4384-2001. **ICP Waters report 62/2001**
- Raddum, G.G. and Skjelkvåle B.L. 2000. Critical Load of Acidifying Compounds to Invertebrates In Different Ecoregions of Europe. *Water Air Soil Poll.* **130**:825-830.
- Stoddard, J. Traaen, T and Skjelkvåle, B.L. 2001. Assessment of Nitrogen leaching at ICP-Waters sites (Europe and North America). *Water Air Soil Poll.* **130**:825-830.
- Skjelkvåle, B.L. Stoddard J.L. and Andersen, T. 2001. Trends in surface waters acidification in Europe and North America (1989-1998). *Water Air Soil Poll.* **130**:781-786.
- Kvaeven, B. Ulstein, M.J., Skjelkvåle, B.L., Raddum, G.G. and Hovind, H. 2001. ICP Waters - An international programme for surface water monitoring. *Water Air Soil Poll.* **130**:775-780.
- Wright, R.F. 2001. Note on: Effect of year-to-year variations in climate on trends in acidification. NIVA-report SNO 4328-2001. **ICP Waters report 57/2001**
- Hovind, H. 2000. Trends in intercomparisons 8701-9812: pH, K₂₅, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K and aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. NIVA-Report SNO 4281-2000. **ICP Waters Report 56/2000**
- Hovind, H. 2000. Intercomparison 0014. pH, K₂₅, HCO₃, NO₃ + NO₂, Cl, SO₄, Ca, Mg, Na, K, total aluminium, aluminium - reactive and nonlabile, TOC, COD-Mn. Fe, Mn, Cd, Pb, Cu, Ni and Zn. NIVA-Report SNO 4281-2000. **ICP Waters Report 55/2000**
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Olendrzynski, K., Stoddard, J., Traaen, T.S, Tarrason, L., Tørseth, K., Windjusveen, S. and Wright, R.F. 2001. Assessment of trends and leaching in Nitrogen at ICP Waters Sites (Europe and North America). NIVA-report SNO 4383-2001. **ICP Waters report 54/2001**
- Stoddard, J. L., Jeffries, D. S., Lükewille, A., Clair, T. A., Dillon, P. J., Driscoll, C. T., Forsius, M., Johannessen, M., Kahl, J. S., Kellogg, J. H., Kemp, A., Mannio, J., Monteith, D., Murdoch, P. S., Patrick, S., Rebsdorf, A., Skjelkvåle, B. L., Stainton, M. P., Traaen, T. S., van Dam, H., Webster, K. E., Wieting, J., and Wilander, A. 1999. Regional trends in aquatic recovery from acidification in North America and Europe 1980-95. *Nature* 401:575- 578.

Skjelkvåle, B. L., Andersen, T., Halvorsen, G. A., Raddum, G.G., Heegaard, E., Stoddard, J. L., and Wright, R. F. 2000. The 12-year report; Acidification of Surface Water in Europe and North America; Trends, biological recovery and heavy metals. NIVA-Report SNO 4208/2000.
ICP Waters report 52/2000

Reports before year 2000 can be listed on request.

NIVA: Norges ledende kompetansesenter på vannmiljø

NIVA gir offentlig vannforvaltning, næringsliv og allmennheten grunnlag for god vannforvaltning gjennom oppdragsbasert forsknings-, utrednings- og utviklingsarbeid. NIVA kjennetegnes ved stor faglig bredde og godt kontaktnett til fagmiljøer i inn- og utland. Faglig tyngde, tverrfaglig arbeidsform og en helhetlig tilnæringsmåte er vårt grunnlag for å være en god rådgiver for forvaltning og samfunnsniv.



Norsk institutt for vannforskning

Gaustadalléen 21 • 0349 Oslo
Telefon: 02348 • Faks: 22 18 52 00
www.niva.no • post@niva.no