

## **REPORT SNO 5099-2005**



Miljøverndepartementet Fagrapport Nr.121 Target loads for acidification of Norwegian surface waters



### Norwegian Institute for Water Research

- an institute in the Environmental Research Alliance of Norway

# REPORT

Main Office	Regional Office, Sørlandet	Regional Office, Østlandet		
P.O. Box 173, Kjelsås	Televeien 3	Sandvikaveien 41		
N-0411 Oslo, Norway	N-4879 Grimstad, Norway	N-2312 Ottestad, Norwa		
Phone (47) 22 18 51 00	Phone (47) 37 29 50 55	Phone (47) 62 57 64 00		
Telefax (47) 22 18 52 00	Telefax (47) 37 04 45 13	Telefax (47) 62 57 66 53		

**Regional Office**, Vestlandet Nordnesboder 5 N-5008 Bergen, Norway Phone (47) 55 30 22 50 Telefax (47) 55 30 22 51 **Regional Office** Midt-Norge

P.O. Box 1266 N-7462, Norway Phone (47) 73 54 63 85 / 86 Telefax (47) 73 54 63 87

Title Target loads for acidification of Norwegian surface waters	Serial No. 5099-2005	Date November 2005	
	Report No. Sub-No. O-25125	Pages Price 33	
Author(s) Thorjørn Larssen, Tore Høgåsen, Richard F. Wright	Topic groupDistributionAcid rain		
	Geographical area Norway	Printed NIVA	

Client(s)	Client ref.
The Norwegian Pollution Control Authority (SFT)	Contract 6005053

#### Abstract

Ν

Internet: www.niva.no

Target load is a logical extension of the critical load concept and incorporates the time delays in the chemical recovery from acidification. Calculations based on data from the Norwegian monitoring lakes and the dynamic acidification model MAGIC show that the increased ANC in Norwegian surface waters observed since 1990 can be expected to continue to increase to 2010 if the planned emission reductions of the Gothenburg protocol and other legislation are implemented. With no further reductions after 2010 the ANC will still increase slightly, but very slowly due to slow build up of base cations in the soils. A scenario assuming deposition reduction to background levels of sulphur and nitrogen show that there remains potential for additional increases in ANC if deposition is reduced beyond the Gothenburg protocol. Target loads are considerably lower than critical loads for southern Norway, showing that deposition reductions below the critical load are needed if the goal is to restore conditions suitable for sustainable fish populations within a few decades.

4 keywords, Norwegian	4 keywords, English	
<ol> <li>Sur nedbør</li> <li>Tålegrenser</li> <li>Balastningsmål</li> <li>Dynamisk modellering</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Acid rain</li> <li>Critical loads</li> <li>Target loads</li> <li>Dynamic modelling</li> </ol>	

Thorjørn Larssen Project manager

Brit lise Fiel will

Brit Lisa Skjelkvåle Research manager ISBN 82-577-4807-2

Øyvins Sørensen Project management director

Naturens tålegrenser

Fagrapport nr. 121

## Target loads for acidification of Norwegian surface waters

## Preface

NIVA is the Norwegian National Focal Centre in the UNECE International Cooperative Programme on Modelling and Mapping of Critical Loads & Levels and Air Pollution Effects, Risks and Trends (ICP M&M) and participates with data and methodology regarding critical loads and dynamic modelling.

In November 2004 the Coordination Center for Effects (CCE) of the ICP M&M issued a call for data for target load functions (TLF) for soils and surface waters. In response to this call, the National Focal Centre at the Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA) calculated target load functions for surface waters in Norway. The TLF data were submitted in March 2005 to the CCE.

Thanks to Max Posch at CCE, Filip Moldan at IVL and Chris Evans at CEH for help and fruitful discussions during the calculations and work with the report.

The work was conducted under contract from the Norwegian Pollution Control Authority (SFT). Contact at SFT has been Tor Johannessen.

Oslo, November 2005

Thorjørn Larssen

## Contents

Summary	5
1. Introduction	6
2. Methods	6
2.1 Target loads	6
2.2 The 2004 call for CLF and TLF data	8
2.3 The MAGIC Model	10
2.4 List of required data	11
2.5 Model Calibration	12
3. Data	13
4. Results	15
4.1 Scenario analyses	15
4.2 Target load functions	18
5. Conclusions	21
References	21
Appendix A. Figures of induvidual target load and critical load functions for all modelled lakes	23

## Summary

The concept of critical loads has been well established as a scientific basis in developing emission reduction plans of sulphur and nitrogen emissions in Europe. As the deposition of sulphur and nitrogen in Europe has decreased, it has been approaching the critical loads. It has been increasingly evident that a dynamic modelling approach as supplement to the static, steady state critical loads approach would be useful. As a response to this, a concept dynamic model approach compatible with and supplementary to the critical loads, called target loads, has been developed.

Within the framework of the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention of Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP) a call for data on targets loads was issued in November 2004. Norway submitted data in response to the call. The Norwegian data are summarized and presented in this report.

Data was submitted for surface waters in Norway south of 62.5°N for 131 lakes from the national monitoring programme. The calculations were done using the MAGIC model. Results are presented as scenarios for development in the acid neutralizing capacity (ANC) and as target loads for three different years (2030, 2050, 2100).

The results show that the increased ANC in Norwegian surface waters observed since 1990 can be expected to continue to increase to 2010 if the planned emission reductions of the Gothenburg protocol and other legislation are implemented. With no further reductions after 2010 the ANC will still increase slightly, but only very slowly due to slow build up of base cations in the soils. A scenario assuming deposition reduction to background levels of sulphur and nitrogen show that there remains potential for additional increases in ANC if deposition is reduced beyond the Gothenburg protocol.

Target loads are considerably lower than critical loads for southern Norway, showing that deposition reductions below the critical load are needed if the goal is to restore conditions suitable for sustainable fish populations within a few decades.

## **1. Introduction**

The link between the emission of sulphur and nitrogen compounds to the atmosphere and the acidification of soils and surface waters is now well established and understood. These links form the basis for calculation of critical loads for surface waters and soils. Critical loads contributed to the scientific basis for the 1994 Oslo Protocol and the 1999 Gothenburg Protocol to the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention of Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) (internet web site www.unece.org/env/lrtap).

Ongoing work within the Convention now includes revision of these protocols. It has become increasingly clear that the static model approach used in estimating critical loads may be insufficient to determine the reduction in level of acid deposition necessary to allow recovery of acidified ecosystems within acceptable time. Deposition may have to be reduced to a target load below the critical load.

In November 2004 the Coordination Centre for Effects (CCE) of the International Cooperative Programme for Modelling and Mapping (ICP M&M) issued a call for data for target load functions (TLF) for soils and surface waters. In response to this call, the National Focal Centre at the Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA) calculated target load functions for surface waters in the region of Norway south of Trondheim (south of 62.5°N latitude). The work was conducted under contract from the Norwegian Pollution Control Authority (SFT). The TLF data were submitted in March 2005 to the CCE. Sweden and the UK also submitted TLF data for surface waters. All three countries used the same dynamic model (MAGIC) and largely the same procedures to calculate TLFs. Here we describe the data used, the calculation procedures and the results obtained for Norway. The results for TLF for both waters and soils for all of Europe are reported by CCE (Posch, Slootweg et al. 2005).

## 2. Methods

#### **2.1 Target loads**

Outputs from dynamic models can be summarised and presented as a logical extension to the critical loads approach already used in the Integrated Assessment Modelling (IAM) process. For example, in the same way in which the critical loads of S and N are represented as a function for input to the Integrated Assessment Models (Figure 1), the deposition required to achieve a given chemistry within a specified time can be calculated from the dynamic models and expressed as a target load function (TLF) (Figure 1) (Jenkins, Cosby et al. 2003). At every combination of S and N deposition on the target load function, a target ANC will be reached in the specified target year. The major difference between the TLF and the critical load function (CLF) is the concept of time to reach the target year), the critical load refers to achievement given infinite time (Figure 2). The shapes of the CLF and TLF are similar; the 'shelf' at low N deposition represents the long-term capability of the system to utilise N. For systems in which the critical load is exceeded and damage has occurred, the TLF will always be lower than the CLF. For a target chemistry to be reached in the very long term (infinite timescale) the TLF and CLF are the same.



Figure 1. The critical load function (upper) as constructed and used by the Integrated Assessment Models for calculation of optimal deposition reduction scenarios. Emission reductions must be achieved at some future time to achieve the target chemistry at some (unknown) point in the future. The target load function is essentially the same <u>but</u> the timing of emission reductions must be specified to achieve the specified chemistry in a given year. Figure from (Jenkins, Cosby et al. 2003).



Figure 2. The effect of different target years on the target load function for a given target chemistry at an individual site. Here, for example, if the target is to achieve recovery of the ecosystem by the year 2015, a greater reduction in deposition is required, whereas if the target is later, for example the year 2035, a lesser reduction in deposition will be sufficient. Given infinite time the TLF will converge to the CLF. Figure from (Jenkins, Cosby et al. 2003).

At an acidified site the reduction in deposition required to reach a specified target within 15 years will be greater than that required to reach the same target over a longer timescale (Figure 2). Because costs increase with greater reduction of N and S, there is an increased cost associated with selecting a more immediate ecosystem recovery. The slopes of the TLFs under different target years will vary from site to site as a function of the buffering capacity of the soils (weathering rate, soil exchangeable base cations), the deposition (historical and current), and the predicted level of future deposition.

The specification of the TLF from a dynamic model requires one further specification, namely the years of implementation of emission reductions. The start (protocol year) and end (implementation) years of the required reductions must be specified, because any delay in emission reductions and the time period over which they are implemented will affect the position of the TLF.

In terms of achieving an optimal solution to emission reduction, therefore, the policy maker needs to make key decisions regarding: (i) the year in which the target chemistry is required (target year); (ii) the year in which emission reductions will start to be implemented (protocol year); and (iii) the year in which the emission reduction must be completed (implementation year). The regional TLF constructed for use by the IAM will incorporate the results of these three specifications. There is also the possibility to 'optimise' these three assumptions/decisions prior to application of the IAM.

### 2.2 The 2004 call for CLF and TLF data

The call for data issued in November 2004 by the CCE contained a number of specifications designed to obtain consistent and harmonised CLF and TLF for all countries in Europe. The CCE specified the three key years for which the TLFs were to be calculated: (i) the protocol year, (ii) the implementation year, and (iii) the target year. These were defined as follows:

The *protocol year* for dynamic modelling is the year up to which the deposition path is assumed to be known and cannot be changed. This can be the present year or a year in the (near) future, for which emission reductions are already agreed.

For this call the protocol year was 2010, and the deposition of S and N in 2010 was assumed to be that obtained following implementation of the Gothenburg Protocol, the EU NEC Directive and other (national) legislation. This is termed the current legislation scenario (CLE). The CCE provided S and N deposition data for years from 1860 to 2010 for each grid square in the EMEP 50x50 km grid for Europe.

The *implementation year* for dynamic modelling is the year in which all reduction measures to reach the final deposition (the target load) are assumed to be implemented. Sometimes the prefix 'DM' for 'dynamic modelling' is added to avoid confusion with the term 'implementation year' as used by integrated assessment modellers. For this call the DM-implementation year was specified to 2020, and deposition is assumed to change linearly between the protocol year and the implementation year (Figure 3).

The *target year* for dynamic modelling is the year in which the chemical criterion (e.g., the lake water ANC) is met (for the first time). Again, 'DM' is prefixed to emphasise the use of the term in dynamic modelling. For this call there were three target years specified: 2030, 2050, and 2100.



Figure 3. Illustration of a deposition path (for example, S) and the terminology used in target load calculations (from the CCE 2004 call document). (i) The year up to which the deposition is fixed (protocol year); (ii) the year in which the emission reductions leading to a target load are implemented (DM implementation year); and (iii) the years in which the chemical criterion is to be achieved (DM target years).

There were a number of other considerations regarding the relationship between CLF and TLF included in the call. First, the TLF should always be equal to or less than the CLF. Second, the chemical criterion reached in the target year should be maintained thereafter; that is, the ecosystem should not deteriorate later in the future. An example of this situation could be caused by increased nitrogen saturation in the future. If at present the ecosystem retains a large fraction of nitrogen deposition, the target load for N may be quite large. But this target load for N will cause reacidification of the system if in the future a decreasing fraction of N deposition is retained. Third, if the critical load is at present not exceeded and the chemical criterion not violated, the site is safe and no TLF is necessary. And fourth, there are some cases for which TLF need not be calculated; these are specified in the decision tree shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Decision tree for determining whether a target load has to be calculated. "Safe" means that the critical load is not exceeded and the criterion is not violated (adapted from the CCE 2004 call document).

#### 2.3 The MAGIC Model

The dynamic model MAGIC was used to calculate the target load functions for Norwegian surface waters. MAGIC (Model of Acidification of Groundwater In Catchments) is a lumped-parameter model of intermediate complexity, developed to predict the long-term effects of acidic deposition on soils and surface water chemistry (Cosby, Hornberger et al. 1985; Cosby, Wright et al. 1985; Cosby, Ferrier et al. 2001). The model simulates soil solution chemistry and surface water chemistry to predict the annual average concentrations of the major ions in lakes and streams. MAGIC represents the catchment with aggregated, uniform soil compartments (one or two) and a surface water compartment that can be either a lake or a stream. MAGIC consists of (1) a section in which the concentrations of major ions are assumed to be governed by simultaneous reactions involving sulphate adsorption, cation exchange, dissolution-precipitation-speciation of aluminium and dissolutionspeciation of inorganic and organic carbon, and (2) a mass balance section in which the flux of major ions to and from the soil is assumed to be controlled by atmospheric inputs, chemical weathering inputs, net uptake in biomass and losses to runoff. At the heart of MAGIC is the size of the pool of exchangeable base cations in the soil. As the fluxes to and from this pool change over time owing to changes in atmospheric deposition, the chemical equilibria between soil and soil solution shift to give changes in surface water chemistry. The degree and rate of change in surface water acidity thus depend both of flux factors and the inherent characteristics of the affected soils.

The soil layers can be arranged vertically or horizontally to represent important vertical or horizontal flowpaths through the soils. If a lake is simulated, seasonal stratification of the lake can be implemented. Time steps are monthly or yearly. Time series inputs to the model include annual or

monthly estimates of (1) deposition of ions from the atmosphere (wet plus dry deposition; (2) discharge volumes and flow routing within the catchment; (3) biological production, removal and transformation of ions; (4) internal sources and sinks of ions from weathering or precipitation reactions; and (5) climate data. Constant parameters in the model include physical and chemical characteristics of the soils and surface waters, and thermodynamic constants. The model is calibrated using observed values of surface water and soil chemistry for a specific period.

MAGIC has been modified and extended several times from the original version of 1984. In particular, organic acids have been added to the model (version 5; (Cosby, Wright et al. 1995)) and most recently nitrogen processes have been added (version 7; (Cosby, Ferrier et al. 2001)).

The MAGIC model has been extensively applied and tested over a 17 year period at many sites and in many regions around the world (Cosby, Ferrier et al. 2001). Overall, the model has proven to be robust, reliable and useful in a variety of scientific and environmental management activities (Cosby, Wright et al. 1995; Ferrier, Wright et al. 1995; Jenkins, Wright et al. 1998; Wright, Beier et al. 1998). Recently MAGIC was used to assess the recovery of European surface waters given the CLE (Gothenburg protocol and other agreed legislation) deposition scenario (Wright, Larssen et al. 2005).

#### 2.4 List of required data

The data required to run MAGIC must be spatially and temporally averaged (or 'lumped') to represent the whole catchment area (divided into one or several soils and waters compartments) and the time step of the model (annual or monthly). If physical and chemical data are available at only one point in the catchment or from one point in time, it must be assumed that this is representative of the whole catchment at that time step. Any uncertainty in these data and in the representativeness is incorporated into the model and the prediction must be interpreted accordingly. The minimum data requirement is given below:

Hydrological parameters:

- Annual runoff (m/yr)
- Annual precipitation volume (m/yr)

Soil parameters:

- Soil depth (m)
- Porosity (%)
- Bulk density  $(kg/m^3)$
- CEC (meq/kg)
- SO<sub>4</sub> maximum adsorption capacity (meq/kg)
- $SO_4$  half-saturation coefficient (meq/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Dissociation constant for aluminium hydroxide solid phase, K<sub>Al</sub> (log<sub>10</sub>)
- Temperature (annual average) (°C)
- pCO<sub>2</sub> (%)
- Organic acids (mmol C/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Dissociation constants for organic acids (pK)
- Nitrification (% of input)

Surface water parameters:

- Retention time (Yr)
- Relative area (%)
- Temperature (annual average) (°C)
- Dissociation constant for aluminium hydroxide solid phase, K<sub>Al</sub> (log<sub>10</sub>)

- pCO<sub>2</sub> (%)
- Organic acids (mmol C/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Dissociation constants for organic acids (pK)
- Nitrification (% of input)

Surface water/soil chemistry in calibration year (i.e. 2001):

- Concentration of major ions (Ca, Mg, Na, K, NH<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub>, Cl, NO<sub>3</sub>) (meq/m<sup>3</sup>)
- Soil exchangeable base cations (Ca, Mg, Na, K) (meq/kg)

Deposition parameters in calibration year (i.e. 2001):

- Concentration of major ions (Ca, Mg, Na, K, NH<sub>4</sub>, SO<sub>4</sub>, Cl, NO<sub>3</sub>)
- Time sequence of change in:
  - deposition concentration
  - dry deposition factors for each ion (= total deposition/wet deposition)

The historical sequences of changing deposition of sulphur and nitrogen were derived from estimates made by EMEP (Schöpp, Posch et al. 2003). These historical 'trajectories' at the scale of the EMEP grid are usually modified at a site or regional scale to incorporate more detailed measurements or estimates, particularly in more recent years. Updated deposition histories for the EMEP 50x50 km grid were provided by the CCE (available on the website www.mnp.nl/cce).

#### **2.5 Model Calibration**

MAGIC was calibrated in the usual manner in a series of steps. First, the concentration of chloride (Cl) in surface water was matched (simulated equal observed for the calibration year) under the assumption that the only source is atmospheric deposition and that there is no retention in the catchment or lake. Second, the concentration of sulphate ( $SO_4$ ) in surface water was matched under the assumption that atmospheric deposition and weathering are the sole sources of  $SO_4$  and that adsorption in the soil is at steady-state. Third, the concentration of nitrate ( $NO_3$ ) in surface water was matched under the assumptions that all ammonium deposition was nitrified to nitrate ( $NO_3$ ) and that the catchment and lake retain a fixed percent of incoming N deposition. This percentage retention is assumed to be constant throughout the model simulation. Fourth, the concentrations of the four major base cations in surface water and on the soil solid phase (expressed as a percentage of cation exchange capacity) were matched by adjusting the cation exchange selectivity coefficients and the base cation weathering rates. Finally, surface water pH, Al and organic anion concentrations were matched by adjusting the aluminium solubility coefficient and total organic acid concentration in surface water.

For SO<sub>4</sub>, if surface water data is available for only one point in time the adsorption parameters (maximum adsorption capacity =  $E_{mx}$  and half-saturation constant = C) are required and must be estimated from soils data. For regions with geologically 'young' soils, SO<sub>4</sub> adsorption is generally small. For the applications with long time-series the C and  $E_{mx}$  can be calibrated to match the observed trend in surface water SO<sub>4</sub> given an observed trend in SO<sub>4</sub> deposition.

The base cation calibration follows an iterative process whereby the base cation selectivity coefficients are set, values are chosen for base cation weathering, the model is run from some background, preacidification condition, the simulated values of base cations in soil and surface waters are compared with observed. This process is repeated, adjusting the selectivities and weathering rates until the observed target concentrations are achieved. If time-series data are available further adjustment may be undertaken to match trends. This part of the calibration procedure can be undertaken automatically using an appropriate numerical optimisation procedure.

## 3. Data

We calculated target load functions for surface waters according to the specifications in the call. The calculations are based on a population of 131 lakes in southern Norway. These are lakes included in the national monitoring program for which we have sufficient data to calculate target load functions with the dynamic model MAGIC. Due to resource limitations we confined our work to lakes south of  $62.5^{\circ}$  N latitude. Target loads were not calculated for lakes having measured ANC values in 1995-1997 (average) higher than ANC<sub>limit</sub>. The variable ANC<sub>limit</sub> was calculated in accordance with the Mapping Manual, except that BC<sup>\*</sup><sub>0</sub> was taken from the calibrated initial concentrations from the MAGIC model calibration. 83 of the lakes had ANC below ANC<sub>limit</sub> and TLFs were calculated for these lakes. Ranges of model inputs and parameters and comments on their sources and justifications are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Ranges of model inputs and parameters and comments on their sources and justifications. Parameter codes are given in the Mapping Manual.

Var	Unit	Min	Max	Assumptions, data sources and justifications
EcoArea	%	100%	100%	We consider 100% of the land area to contain catchments for lakes and rivers. We have not calculated the area of the EMEP grid cells, which should be given here (minus the part of the cell covering ocean).
CLmaxS	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	5.36	73.24	
CLminN	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	3.20	42.32	Calculated with FAB model (according to Mapping Manual, except $BC_{0}^{*}$ taken from MAGIC calibrations (1860))
CLmaxN	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	11.78	118.42	
CLnutN				Not applicable
crittype		6	6	ANC is used as criterion for all lakes
critvalue	µeq L <sup>-1</sup>	1.27	18.15	Variable ANC <sub>limit</sub>
SoilYear		1995	1995	Same year (1995) used for all soil analyses
ExCa	%	2.17	40.41	
ExMg	%	0.69	24.47	
ExNa	%	0.75	6.75	Taken from nearest relevant soil compline leastions or os o
ExK	%	0.26	7.43	combination of nearest forested and non-forested soil sampling
thick	m	0.03	0.89	location. Data from forested catchments from the National
BulkDens	kg m <sup>-3</sup>	192.30	906.98	Forest Inventory; data from non-forested catchments from various research and monitoring projects.
CEC	meq kg <sup>-1</sup>	12.31	242.52	or J
Cpool	g m <sup>-2</sup>	2080	85371	
Npool	g m <sup>-2</sup>	99	4914	
Porosity	%	50	50	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Nimacc	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	34	34	Default values for FAB model from Mapping Manual
UptCa	meq m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	29.74	
UptMg	meq $m^{-2} a^{-1}$	0.00	5.61	
UptK	meq m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	6.44	Based National Forest Inventory
UptNa	meq m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	1.06	Based National Forest Inventory.
UptSO4	meq m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
UptNH4	meq m <sup>-2</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
HlfSat	µeq L <sup>-1</sup>	100	100	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Emx	meq kg <sup>-1</sup>	1.00	1.00	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Nitrif	%	100	100	Assumption based on the fact that ammonium concentrations are

Denitrf	%	0.00	0.00	very low.
CNRange		11.00	11.00	Constant range based on empirical data from (Gundersen, Callesen et al. 1998)
CNUpper		10	73.4	Calibrated
DepYear		1995	1995	
Cldep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	66.78	5366	Deposition flux of chloride, set equal to catchment output flux
Cadep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	2.47	198.6	
Mgdep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	13.09	1039.8	Calculated from [Cl <sup>-</sup> ] using standard sea salt ratios and assuming
Nadep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	57.30	4363	no non-sea salt deposition
Kdep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	1.20	96.60	
NH4dep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	74.04	811.0	Calculated from observed ratios in deposition to SO4. SO4
NO3dep	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	74.77	695.5	deposition was calculated from runoff flux assuming geological contribution and background deposition as described in mapping manual.
LakeYear		1995	1995	
Calake	μmol L <sup>-1</sup>	2.99	39.25	
Mglake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	1.78	37.43	
Nalake	μmol L <sup>-1</sup>	7.39	247.94	
Klake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	1.02	16.37	Program (SFT 2004). Average for 1995-1997 was used.
NH4lake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
SO4lake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	4.51	68.36	
Cllake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	8.46	302.75	
NO3lake	$\mu$ mol L <sup>-1</sup>	1.38	34.76	
DOC	µmol L <sup>-1</sup>	0.73	31.96	Organic acid fraction of DOC assuming tri-protic acid and charge density of 10.2 µeq/mg C (From (Hruska, Kohler et al. 2003))
RelArea	%	0.41	36.36	Data for each catchment taken from maps
RelForArea				
RetTime	yr	0.50	0.50	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Qs	m	0.41	4.49	Runoff taken from digital 30-year normal runoff database.
expAllake		3.00	3.00	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
pCO2	%	0.05	0.05	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Nitrifilake	%	100	100	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Cased	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
Mgsed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
Nased	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	Assumption Constant solar and for all sites
Ksed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
SO4sed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
Clsed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	0.00	0.00	
NH4sed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	5.00	5.00	Assumption, based on generalization described in Mapping
NO3sed	m a <sup>-1</sup>	5.00	5.00	Manual
UptNH4lake	%	0.00	0.00	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
UptNO3lake	%	0.00	0.00	Assumption. Constant value used for all sites.
Sdep2010	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	80.43	621.5	Calculated from estimate of total input in 1995 and Current
NOxdep2010	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	49.35	459.0	Legislation forecast scenarios taken from (Schöpp, Posch et al.
NH3dep2010	eq ha <sup>-1</sup> a <sup>-1</sup>	71.08	778.6	2003).

## 4. Results

Results from dynamic modelling and target load function calculation can be summarized in various ways. Box and whisker plots show the aggregated results for several scenarios (Figure 5), so called "snail trails" show the development over time for a single scenario (Figure 6), as do maps with coloured dots for each of the lakes included in the analysis (Figure 7 and Figure 8). The map presentation can be modified to show the results aggregated to EMEP grid cells (Figure 10). ANC is a suitable parameter, since ANC is the parameter used to link water chemistry to biological response through the ANC<sub>limit</sub>. In order to illustrate target loads on maps and other aggregated presentations, we use the TL<sub>max</sub>(S) values (this is the value for sulphur deposition where the N deposition is 0, parallel to  $CL_{max}(S)$  for critical loads). The complete target load functions (the relationship between S and N deposition) and the critical load functions are shown for all lakes in the Appendix.

#### 4.1 Scenario analyses

Results for the two forecast scenarios (the Gothenburg protocol and a scenario with only background deposition) are shown in the box and whisker plot (Figure 5). These plots and the "snail trails" (Figure 6) show how the distribution of ANC in the lakes shifts to higher values in 2010 (compared to the base year 1990) given implementation of the Gothenburg protocol. Only small increases in ANC values are predicted further into the future under the assumption that there are no further emission reductions than the Gothenburg protocol. For the scenario with background deposition only, there is a considerable increase in the ANC compared to the Gothenburg protocol scenario from 2010 to 2030. This scenario shows the maximum possible increase in the ANC and would in the very long run bring the ANC back to the pre-acidification level. The background deposition scenario also indicates that there is only a small increase in the ANC from 2030 and onwards. The small changes after 2030 show that the Norwegian lakes respond fast to changes in deposition and that the build-up of exchangeable base cations in the soil from weathering is very slow.

On the maps (Figure 7) we show modelled ANC for each of the lakes in 2000, 2030, 2050 and 2100 under the Gothenburg protocol scenario and the background deposition scenario. The ANC values are split into three colour-coded classes:

- Red: ANC below 0 μeq L<sup>-1</sup>; very low probability for a healthy self-reproducing brown trout population.
- Yellow: ANC between 0 and 20 μeq L<sup>-1</sup>; moderate probability for a healthy selfreproducing brown trout population; extremely sensitive unacidified lakes may naturally fall into this category.
- Blue: ANC above 20  $\mu$ eq L<sup>-1</sup>; high probability for a healthy self-reproducing brown trout population.

The map for ANC in 2000 shows ANC values below 0  $\mu$ eq L<sup>-1</sup> for very many of the lakes<sup>1</sup>. A considerable increase in ANC is predicted to 2030 with implementation of the Gothenburg protocol. About half of the lakes have shifted to one category better from 2000 to 2030, but still nearly half of the lakes have ANC below 0  $\mu$ eq L<sup>-1</sup>. From 2030 to 2050 and 2100 there are only small improvements, and only a few lakes shift to one category better.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> It is important to remember here that to be included in the dataset modelled, the criterion ANC in 1990 below the  $ANC_{limit}$  had to be met. Hence the selection of lakes is purposely skewed towards acid lakes. The results should therefore be viewed as representative for acid-sensitive lakes and not the entire population of lakes in southern Norway.

For the background deposition scenario most lakes shift to a better category from 2000 to 2030 and only two of the lakes remain in the lowest category (red) in 2030. These two lakes shift to the intermediate category (yellow) further into the future. This means that with the background deposition scenario in the long run no lakes remain with ANC below 0  $\mu$ eq L<sup>-1</sup>, although several decades are necessary for recovery.



Figure 5. Box and whisker plots of distribution of ANC for the two different scenarios in different years. The green box shows the distribution of ANC in the lakes in 1990; the orange boxes the modelled distribution of ANC in the lakes under the Gothenburg protocol scenario for 2010 and 2030. The blue boxes show the distribution for modelled ANC for the scenario with only background deposition. The heavy line shows the median, the box shows the quartiles, the whiskers the 95% interval and the dots outliers.



Figure 6. Distribution of ANC modelled in lakes from 1860 to 2100given implementation of the Gothenburg protocol. Lines (from top down) are 95<sup>th</sup>, 75<sup>th</sup>, median, 25<sup>th</sup> and 5th percentiles; dark blue band encompasses 50% of lakes; the whole coloured band 90% of lakes.



Figure 7. Observed ANC values in year 2000 in the lakes for which TLFs were calculated (upper panel) and modelled ANC values in 2000, 2030, 2050 and 2100 for two future deposition scenarios. Left-hand column: the Gothenburg protocol; right-hand column: the background scenario. Only lakes not safee with the 2010 deposition are included (see decision tree in Figure 4).

#### **4.2 Target load functions**

Target loads were calculated for three target years (2030, 2050 and 2100); the results for each lake are summarized as  $TL_{max}(S)$  in maps in Figure 8. Target load functions for each individual lake are shown in the appendix.

The map of the target loads reflects the geographical pattern of the critical loads, but with lower values. The differences between the target loads for various target years are shown in Figure 9. There is an increase in  $TL_{max}(S)$  from 2030 to 2050 and further to 2100 (almost all points are above the 1:1 lines in Figure 9). This implies that larger reductions in deposition are necessary to reach the target load deposition for 2030 than for instance for 2050, and also that larger reductions in deposition are necessary to reach the target load than the critical load.



Figure 8.  $TL_{max}(S)$  for the target load functions in 2030, 2050, 2100 and the critical loads ( $CL_{max}(S)$ ) for each of the lakes included in the calculations. Only lakes not safee with the 2010 deposition are included (see decision tree in Figure 4).



Figure 9. Relationships between  $TL_{max}(S)$  for different years and between  $TL_{max}(S)$  and  $CL_{max}(S)$ .

For reporting at European scale and for use in the integrated assessment model RAINS, data from each country are aggregated to EMEP grid cells. Most EMEP grid cells in the acid sensitive areas in southern Norway are now covered with at least one target load function (for critical loads there are approximately 16 critical load values per EMEP grid cell). Figure 9 shows the target loads data on a map as they may be used on European scale. The EMEP grid cells where target loads are calculated are marked with black squares. For grid cells where no target loads are calculated, the critical loads will be used instead. When aggregating results to EMEP grid cells, a certain percentile of the data must be used for illustration on the map (e.g. the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile).

There are important differences between the maps of target loads and critical loads, with considerably lower value for the target loads (Figure 10). For four of the grid cells fall into the category "infeasible", which means that 5% or more of lakes within this grid cell will not recover within the target year even with only background deposition. Although the four grid cells remain "infeasible" for all the target load years, the number of red grid cells gets smaller for the later target load years, showing that when more time is permitted for recovery, a larger deposition can be tolerated.



Figure 10. Maps showing the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile of the target loads  $(TL_{max}(S))$  or critical loads  $(CL_{max}(S))$  for different years on the EMEP grid cell scale. This is the resolution that will be used in a European assessment. Target loads are calculated for grid squares marked with black borders. For the other cells CLs are shown.

## **5.** Conclusions

Target load is a logical extension of the critical load concept and incorporates the time delays in the chemical recovery from acidification. Calculations based on data from the Norwegian monitoring lakes and the dynamic acidification model MAGIC show that the increased ANC in Norwegian surface waters observed since 1990 can be expected to continue to increase to 2010 if the planned emission reductions of the Gothenburg protocol and other legislation are implemented. With no further reductions after 2010 the ANC will increase little and only very slowly due to slow build up of base cations in the soils. A scenario assuming deposition reduction to background levels of sulphur and nitrogen show that there remains potential for additional increases in ANC if deposition is reduced beyond the Gothenburg protocol.

Target loads are considerably lower than critical loads for southern Norway, showing that deposition reductions below the critical load are needed if the goal is to restore conditions suitable for sustainable fish populations within a few decades.

## References

Cosby, B. J., R. C. Ferrier, et al. (2001). "Modelling the effects of acid deposition: refinements, adjustments and inclusion of nitrogen dynamics in the MAGIC model." <u>Hydrology and Earth System</u> <u>Sciences</u> **5**: 499-518.

Cosby, B. J., G. M. Hornberger, et al. (1985). "Modelling the effects of acid deposition: assessment of a lumped parameter model of soil water and streamwater chemistry." <u>Water Resources Research</u> **21**: 51-63.

Cosby, B. J., R. F. Wright, et al. (1995). "An acidification model (MAGIC) with organic acids evaluated using whole-catchment manipulations in Norway." Journal of Hydrology **170**: 101-122.

Cosby, B. J., R. F. Wright, et al. (1985). "Modelling the effects of acid deposition: estimation of long term water quality responses in a small forested catchment." <u>Water Resources Research</u> **21**: 1591-1601.

Ferrier, R. C., R. F. Wright, et al. (1995). "Application of the MAGIC model to the Norway spruce stand at Solling, Germany." <u>Ecological Modelling</u> **83**: 77-84.

Gundersen, P., I. Callesen, et al. (1998). "Nitrate leaching in forest ecosystems is controlled by forest floor C/N ratio." <u>Environmental Pollution</u> **102**: 403-407.

Hruska, J., S. Kohler, et al. (2003). "Is a universal model of organic acidity possible: Comparison of the acid/base properties of dissolved organic carbon in the boreal and temperate zones." <u>Environmental Science and Technology</u> **37**: 1726-1730.

Jenkins, A., B. J. Cosby, et al. (2003). "Assessing emission reduction targets with dynamic models: deriving target load functions for use in integrated assessment." <u>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</u> **7**: 609-617.

Jenkins, A., R. F. Wright, et al. (1998). Modelling long-term hydrochemical response at ENCORE catchments in the UK and Norway. Oslo, Norwegian Institute for Water Research: 1-39.

Posch, M., J. Slootweg, et al. (2005). European critical loads and dynamic modelling: CCE Status Report 2005. Bilthoven, Netherlands: 171 pp.

Schöpp, W., M. Posch, et al. (2003). "Long-term development of acid deposition (1880-2030) in sensitive freshwater regions in Europe." <u>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</u> **7**: 436-446.

SFT (2004). The Norwegian monitoring programme for long-range transported air pollutants. Annual report - Effects 2003. Oslo, Norway, The Norwegian Pollution Control Authority (SFT): 172.

Wright, R. F., C. Beier, et al. (1998). "Effects of nitrogen deposition and climate change on nitrogen runoff at Norwegian boreal forest catchments: The MERLIN model applied to Risdalsheia (RAIN and CLIMEX projects)." <u>Hydrology and Earth System Sciences</u> **2**: 399-414.

Wright, R. F., T. Larssen, et al. (2005). "Recovery of acidified European surface waters." <u>Environmental Science & Technology</u> **39**: 64A-72A.

# Appendix A. Figures of induvidual target load and critical load functions for all modelled lakes











#### Naturens Tålegrenser - Oversikt over utgitte rapporter

- 1 Nygaard, P. H., 1989. Forurensningers effekt på naturlig vegetasjon en litteraturstudie. Norsk institutt for skogforskning (NISK), Ås.
- Uten nr. Jaworovski, Z., 1989. Pollution of the Norwegian Arctic: A review. Norsk polarinstitutt (NP), rapportserie nr. 55. Oslo.
- 2 Henriksen, A., Lien, L. & Traaen, T.S. 1990. Tålegrenser for overflatevann. Kjemiske kriterier for tilførsler av sterke syrer. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89210.
- 3 Lien, L., Henriksen, A., Raddum ,G. & Fjellheim, A. 1989. Tålegrenser for overflatevann. Fisk og evertebrater. Foreløpige vurderinger og videre planer. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89185.
- 4 Bølviken, B. & medarbeidere, 1990. Jordforsuringsstatus og forsuringsfølsomhet i naturlig jord i Norge. Norges geologiske undersøkelse (NGU), NGU-rapport 90.156. 2 bind (Bind I: Tekst, Bind II:Vedlegg og bilag).
- 5 Pedersen, H. C. & Nybø, S. 1990. Effekter av langtransporterte forurensninger på terrestriske dyr i Norge. En statusrapport med vekt på SO<sub>2</sub>, NOx og tungmetaller. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Utredning 005.
- 6 Frisvoll, A. A., 1990. Moseskader i skog i Sør-Norge. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 018.
- 7 Muniz, I. P. & Aagaard, K. 1990. Effekter av langtransportert forurensning på ferskvannsdyr i Norge virkninger av en del sporelementer og aluminium. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Utredning 013.
- 8 Hesthagen, T., Berger, H. M. & Kvenild, L. 1992. Fiskestatus i relasjon til forsuring av innsjøer. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Forskningsrapport 032.
- 9 Pedersen, U., Walker, S.E. & Kibsgaard, A. 1990. Kart over atmosfærisk avsetning av svovel- og nitrogenforbindelser i Norge. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU), OR 28/90.
- 10 Pedersen, U. 1990. Ozonkonsentrasjoner i Norge. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU), OR 28/90.
- 11 Wright, R. F., Stuanes, A. Reuss, J.O. & Flaten, M.B. 1990. Critical loads for soils in Norway. Preliminary assessment based on data from 9 calibrated catchments. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89153.
- 11b Reuss, J. O., 1990. Critical loads for soils in Norway. Analysis of soils data from eight Norwegian catchments. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89153.
- 12 Amundsen, C. E., 1990. Bufferprosent som parameter for kartlegging av forsuringsfølsomhet i naturlig jord. Universitetet i Trondheim, AVH (stensil).
- 13 Flatberg, K.I, Foss, B., Løken, A. & Saastad, S.M. 1990. Moseskader i barskog. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning (DN), notat.
- 14 Frisvoll, A.A., & Flatberg, K.I., 1990. Moseskader i Sør-Varanger. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 55.
- 15 Flatberg, K.I., Bakken, S., Frisvoll, A.A., & Odasz, A.M. 1990. Moser og luftforurensninger. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 69.
- 16 Mortensen, L.M. 1991. Ozonforurensning og effekter på vegetasjonen i Norge. Norsk landbruksforsk. 5:235-264.
- 17 Wright, R.F., Stuanes, A.O. & Frogner, T. 1991. Critical Loads for Soils in Norway Nordmoen. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89153.
- 18 Pedersen, H.C., Nygård, T., Myklebust, I. og Sæther, M. 1991. Metallbelastninger i lirype. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 71.
- 19 Lien, L., Raddum, G.G. & Fjellheim, A. 1991. Tålegrenser for overflatevann evertebrater og fisk. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), Rapport 0-89185,2.

- 20 Amundsen, C.E. 1992. Sammenlingning av parametre for å bestemme forsuringsfølsomhet i jord. NGU-rapport 91.265.
- 21 Bølviken, B., R. Nilsen, J. Romundstad & O. Wolden. 1992. Surhet, forsuringsfølsomhet og lettløselige basekationer i naturlig jord fra Nord-Trøndelag og sammenligning med tilsvarende data fra Sør Norge. NGUrapport 91.250.
- 22 Sivertsen, T. & medarbeidere. 1992. Opptak av tungmetaller i dyr i Sør-Varanger. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning, DN-notat 1991-15.
- 23 Lien, L., Raddum, G.G. & A. Fjellheim. 1992. Critical loads of acidity to freshwater. Fish and invertebrates. Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Rapport O-89185,3.
- 24 Fremstad, E. 1992. Virkninger av nitrogen på heivegetasjon. En litteraturstudie. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 124.
- 25 Fremstad, E. 1992. Heivegetasjon i Norge, utbredelseskart. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 188.
- 26 Flatberg, K.I. & Frisvoll, A. 1992. Undersøkelser av skader hos to sigdmoser i Agder. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 134.
- 27 Lindstrøm, E.A.1992. Tålegrenser for overflatevann. Fastsittende alger. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-90137/E-90440, rapport-2.
- 28 Brettum, P. 1992. Tålegrenser for overflatevann. Planteplankton. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-90137/E-90440, rapport-3.
- 29 Brandrud, T.E., Mjelde, M. 1992. Tålegrenser for overflatevann. Makrovegetasjon. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-90137/E-90440, rapport-1.
- 30 Mortensen, L.M. & Nilsen, J. 1992. Effects of ozone and temperature on growth of several wild plant species. Norwegian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 6: 195-204.
- 31 Pedersen, H.C., Myklebust, I., Nygård, T. & Sæther, M. 1992. Akkumulering og effekter av kadmium i lirype. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 152.
- 32 Amundsen, C.E. 1992. Sammenligning av relativ forsuringsfølsomhet med tålegrenser beregnet med modeller, i jord. Norges geologiske undersøkelse. NGU-rapport 92.294.
- 33 Frogner, T., Wright, R.F., Cosby, B.J., Esser, J.M., Håøya, A.-O. & Rudi, G. 1992. Map of critical loads for coniferous forest soils in Norway. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-91147.
- 34 Henriksen, A., Lien, L., Traaen, T.S. & Taubøll, S. 1992. Tålegrenser for overflatevann Kartlegging av tålegrenser og overskridelser av tålegrenser for tilførsler av sterke syrer. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89210.
- 35 Lien, L. Henriksen, A. & Traaen, T.S. 1993. Tålegrenser for sterke syrer på overflatevann -Svalbard. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-90102.
- 36 Henriksen, A., Hesthagen, T., Berger, H.M., Kvenild, L., Taubøll, S. 1993. Tålegrenser for overflatevann -Sammenheng mellom kjemisk kriterier og fiskestatus. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-92122.
- 37 Odasz, A.M., Øiesvold, S., & Vange, V. 1993. Nitrate nutrition in *Racomitrium lanuginosum* (Hedw.)Brd., a bioindicator of nitrogen deposition in Norway. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning. Utredning for DN 1993-2.
- 38 Espelien, I.S. 1993. Genetiske effekter av tungmetaller på pattedyr. En kunnskapsoversikt. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Utredning 051.
- 39 Økland, J. & Økland, K.A. 1993. Database for bioindikatorer i ferskvann et forprosjekt . Laboratorium for ferskvannsøkologi og innlandsfiske (LFI), Zoologisk Museum, Oslo, Rapport 144, 1993.
- 40 Aamlid, D. & Skogheim, I. 1993. Nikkel, kopper og andre metaller i multer og blåbær fra Sør-Varanger, 1992. Rapport Gkogforsk 14/93. 14/93.

- 41 Kålås, J.A., Ringsby, T.H. & Lierhagen, S. 1993. Metals and radiocesium in wild animals from the Sør-Varanger area, north Norway. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 212.
- 42 Fløisand, I. & Løbersli, E. (red.)1993. Tilførsler og virkninger av lufttransporterte forurensninger (TVLF) og Naturens tålegrenser. Sammendrag av foredrag og postere fra møte i Stjørdal, 15.-17.februar 1993. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU), OR 17/93.
- 43 Henriksen, A. & Hesthagen, T. 1993. Critical load exceedance and damage to fish populations. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-89210.
- 44 Lien, L., Henriksen, A. & Traaen, T.S. 1993. Critical loads of acidity to surface waters, Svalbard. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-90102.
- 45 Løbersli, E., Johannessen, T. & Olsen, K.V (red.) 1993. Naturens tålegrenser. Referat fra seminar i 1991 og 1992. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning, DN-notat 1993-6.
- Bakken, S. 1993. Nitrogenforurensning og variasjon i nitrogen, protein og klorofyllinnhold hos barskogsmosen blanksigd (*Dicranum majus*). Direktoratet for naturforvaltning (DN). Utredning for DN 1994-1.
- 47 Krøkje, Å. 1993. Genotoksisk belastning i jord . Effektstudier, med mål å komme fram til akseptable grenser for genotoksisk belastning fra langtransportert luftforurensning. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning (DN). Utredning for DN 1994-2.
- 48 Fremstad, E. 1993. Heigråmose (*Racomitrium lanuginosum*) som indikator på nitrogenbelastning. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA) Oppdragsmelding 239.
- 49 Nygaard, P.H. & Ødegaard, T.H. 1993. Effekter av nitrogengjødsling på vegetasjon og jord i skog. Rapport Skogforsk 26/93.
- 50 Fløisand, I. og Johannessen, T. (red.) 1994. Langtransporterte luftforurensninger. Tilførsler, virkninger og tålegrenser. Sammendrag av foredrag og postere fra møte i Grimstad, 7.-9.3.94. Norsk institutt for luftforskning NILU OR: 17/94
- 51 Kleivane, L. Skåre, J.U. & Wiig, Ø. 1994. Klorerte organiske miljøgifter i isbjørn. Forekomst, nivå og mulige effekter. Norsk Polarinstitutt Meddelelse nr. 132.
- 52 Lydersen, E., Fjeld, E. & Andersen, T. 1994. Fiskestatus og vannkjemi i norske innsjøer. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) O-93172
- 53 Schartau, A.K.L. (red.) 1994. Effekter av lavdose kadmium-belastning på littorale ferskvannspopulasjoner og -samfunn. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA) Forskningsrapport 055.
- 54 Mortensen, L. (1994). Variation in ozone sensitivity of *Betula pubescens* Erh. from different sites in South Norway. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning (DN). Utredning for DN, Nr. 1994-6.
- 55 Mortensen, L. (1994). Ozone sensitivity of *Phleum alpinum* L. from different locations in South Norway. Direktoratet for naturforvaltning (DN). Utredning for DN, Nr. 1994-7.
- 56 Frogner, T., Wright, R.F., Cosby, J.B. and Esser, J.M. (1994). Maps of critical loads and exceedance for sulfur and nitrogen to forest soils in Norway. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) O-91147.
- 57 Flatberg, K.I. & Frisvoll, A.A. 1994. Moseskader i Agder 1989-92 (1994). Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 298.
- 58 Hesthagen, T. & Henriksen, A. (1994). En analyse av sammenhengen mellom overskridelser av tålegrenser for overflatevann og skader på fiskebestander. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA) Oppdragsmelding 288.
- 59 Skåre, J.U., Wiig, Ø. & Bernhoft, A. (1994). Klorerte organiske miljøgifter; nivåer og effekter på isbjørn. Norsk Polarinstitutt Rapport nr. 86 - 1994.
- 60 Tørseth, K. & Pedersen, U. 1994. Deposition of sulphur and nitrogen components in Norway. 1988-1992. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU): OR 16/94.

- 61 Nygaard, P.H. 1994. Virkning av ozon på blåbær (*Vaccinium myrtillus*), etasjehusmose (*Hylocomium splendens*), furumose (*Pleurozium schreberi*) og krussigd (*Dicranum polysetum*). Rapport Skogforsk 9/94.
- 62 Henriksen, A. & Lien, L. 1994. Tålegrenser for overflatevann: Metode og usikkerheter. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-94122.
- 63 Hilmo, O. & Larssen, H.C. 1994. Morfologi hos epifyttisk lav i områder med ulik luftkvalitet. ALLFORSK Rapport 2.
- 64 Wright, R.F. 1994. Bruk av dynamiske modeller for vurdering av vann- og jordforsuring som følge av redusert tilførsel av sur nedbør. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA), O-94112.
- 65 Hesthagen, T., A. Henriksen & Kvenild, L. 1994. Overskridelser av tålegrenser for overflatevann og skader på fiskebestander i norske innsjøer med spesiell vekt på Troms og Finnmark. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Oppdragsmelding 298.
- 66 Sagmo Solli, I.M, Flatberg, K.I.F., Söderström, L., Bakken S. & Pedersen, B. 1996. Blanksigd og luftforurensningsstudier. NTNU. Vitenskapsmuseet. Rapport botanisk serie 1996-1.
- 67 Stuanes, A. & Abrahamsen, G. 1996. Tålegrenser for nitrogen i skog en vurdering av kunnskapsgrunnlaget. Aktuelt fra Skogforsk 7-96.
- 68 Ogner, G. 1995. Tålegrenser for skog i Norge med hensyn til ozon. Aktuelt fra Skogforsk 3-95.
- 69 Thomsen, M., Nellemann, C. Frogner, T., Henriksen A., Tomter, S. & Mulder, J. 1995. Tilvekst og vitalitet for granskog sett i relasjon til tålegrenser og forurensning. Rapport fra Skogforsk 22-95.
- 70 Tomter, S. M. & Esser, J. 1995. Kartlegging av tålegrenser for nitrogen basert på en empirisk metode. Norsk institutt for jord- og skogkartlegging (NIJOS). Rapport nr 10/95.
- 71 Pedersen, H.Chr. (red.). 1995. Kadmium og bly i lirype: akkumulering og cellulære effekter. Stiftelsen for naturforskning og kulturminneforskning (NINA-NIKU) Oppdragsmelding 387
- 72 Bakken, S. & Flatberg, K.I.F. 1995. Effekter av økt nitrogendeposisjon på ombrotrof myrvegetasjon. En litteraturstudie. ALLFORSK Rapport 3.
- 73 Sogn, T.A., Stuanes, A.O. & Abrahamsen, G. 1995. Akkumulering av nitrogen en kritisk parameter for beregning av tålegrenser for nitrogen i skog. Rapport fra Skogforsk 21/95.
- 74 Nygaard, P.H. & Eldhuset, T. 1996. Forholdet mellom basekationer og aluminium i jordløsning som kriterium for tålegrenser i skogsjord. Norsk institutt for skogforskning (NISK). Rapport fra Skogforsk 1/96
- 75 Mortensen, L. 1993. Effects of ozone on growth of several subalpine plant species. Norw. J. Agric. Sci. 7: 129-138.
- 76 Mortensen, L. 1994. Further studies on the effects of ozone concentration on growth of subalpine plant species. Norw. J. Agric. Sciences 8:91-97.
- Fløisand, I. & Løbersli, E. (red.) 1996. Lufttransporterte forurensninger tilførsler, virkninger og tålegrenser.
   Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU) OR 2/96.
- 78 Thomsen, M.G., Esser; J., Venn, K. & Aamlid, D. 1996. Sammenheng mellom trærs vitalitet og næringsstatus i nåler og humus på skogovervåkingsflater i Sørøst-Norge (in prep).
- 79 Tørseth, K., Mortensen, L. & Hjellbrekke, A.-G. 1996. Kartlegging av bakkenær ozon etter tålegrenser basert på akkumulert dose over 40 ppb. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU) OR 12/96.
- 80 Esser, J.M. & Tomter, S.M. 1996. Reviderte kart for tålegrenser for nitrogen basert på empriske verdier for ulike vegetasjonstyper. Norsk institutt for jord- og skogkartlegging (NIJOS).
- 81 Henriksen, A., Hindar; A., Styve, H., Fjeld, E. & Lien, L. 1996. Forsuring av overflatevann, beregningsmetodikk, trender og mottiltak. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA). Rapport LNR 3528-96.

- 82 Henriksen, A., Hesthagen, T. & Fjeld, E. 1996. Overskridelser av tålegrenser for overflatevann og skader på fiskebestander. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA). Rapport LNR 3565-96.
- 83 Wright, R. F., Raastad, I.A., & Kaste, Ø. 1996. Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen, runoff of organic nitrogen, and critical loads for soils and waters. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 3592-97
- 84 Mortensen, L.M. 1995. The influence of ozone pollution on growth of young plants of Betula pubescens Ehrh. And Phleum alpinum L. Dose-response relations. Norw. J. Agr. Sci. 9:249-262
- 85 Mortensen, L.M. 1996. Ozone senistivity of Betula pubescens at different growth stages after budburst in spring. Norw. J. Agr. Sci. 10:187-196.
- 86 Tørseth, K., Rosendahl, K.E., Hansen, A.C., Høie, H. & Mortensen, L.M. 1997. Avlingstap som følge av bakkenært ozon. Vurderinger for perioden 1989-1993. SFT-rapport.
- 87 Rognerud, S, Hognve, D. & Fjeld, E. 1997. Naturlige bakgrunnskonsentrasjoner av metaller. Kan amosfæriske avsetninger påvirke metall-konsentrasjoner slik at det ikke reflekterer berggrunnens geokjemi? Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Rapport LNR 3670-97
- Skjelkvåle, B.L., Wright, R.F. & Tjomsland, T. 1997. Vannkjemi, forsuringsstatus og tålegrenser i nasjonalparker; Femundsmarka og Rondane. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Rapport LNR 3646-97
- 89 Nordbakken; J.-F. 1997. Småskalaendringer i ombrotrof myrvegetasjon i SØ-Norge 1990/91-96. Botanisk Hage og Museum, Univ. Oslo Rapp. 1
- 90 Sogn, T.A., Kjønnås, J., Stuanes, A.O., & Abrahamsen, G. 1997. Akkumulering av nitrogen variasjoner avhengig av bestandsutvikling, nitrogentilførsel og simulert snødekke. Norges Landbrukshøgskole, Institutt for jord- og vannfag, Rapport nr. 10/97.
- 91 Nygaard, P.H., Ødegård, T. & Flatberg, K.I.F. Vegetasjonsendringer over 60 år i fattig skog- og myrvegetasjon i Karlshaugen skogreservat. Skogforsk (in prep)
- 92 Knutzen, J., Gabrielsen, G.W., Henriksen, O.E., Hylland, K., Källqvist, T. Nygård, T., Pacyna, J.S. Skjegstad, N. & Steinnes, E. 1997. Assessment of the applicability for pollution authorities of the concept "critical load" of longrange transported micrpollutants in relation to aquatic an terrestrial ecosystems. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 3751-97.
- 93 Tørseth, K. & Semb, A. 1997. Deposition of major inorganic components in Norway 1992-1996. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU), OR 67/97.
- 94 Henriksen, A. 1998. Application of the first order acicvity balance (FAB) model to Norwegian surface waters. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 3809-98
- 95 Sogn, T.A. & Wright, R.F. 1998. The model MERLIN applied to Nordmoen, Norway. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 3844-98
- 96 Skjelkvåle, B.L. & A. Henriksen, 1998. Vannkjemi, forsuringsstatus og tålegrenser i nasjonalparker; Hardangervidda. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA). Report SNO 3895-98
- 97 Henriksen, A. 1998. Binding grid cells Norway. An evaluation. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 3942-98
- 98 Lükewille, A. & A. Semb. 1998. Deposition in Norwegian Mountain areas. Norsk institutt for luftforskning (NILU) OR 66/97
- 99 Strand, L.T., Stuanes, A.O. & G. Abrahamsen. 1998. Akkumulering av karbon og nitrogen i unge jordsmonn. Institutt for jord og vannfag, rapport nr 9/98.
- 100 Wright, R.F. & Henriksen, A. 1999. Gap closure; use of MAGIC model to predict time required to achieve steadystate following implementation of the Oslo protocol. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 4012-99
- Henriksen, A. 1999. Tålegrenser i fjellområder. Hva vet vi og hva bør vi vite? Rapport fra seminar 16.-17. Februar
   1999. Rondablikk Føyfjellshotell. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Rapport LNR 4017-99

- 102 Wright, R.F. 1999. Risk of N leaching from forests to surface waters in Norway. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 4038-99
- 103 Wright, R.F., Mulder, J. & Esser, J.M., 1999. Soils in mountain uplands regions of southwestern Norway: nitrogen leaching and critical loads. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Report SNO 4130-99
- 104 Lindstrøm, E.A., Kjellberg, G. & Wright, R.F. 1999. Tålegrensen for nitrogen som næringsstoff i norske fjellvann: økt "grønske"? Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA) Rapport LNR 4187-2000
- 105 Thomsen, M.G. & Nellemann, Chr. 2000. Mortalitet og tilvekst i relasjon til forurensningsbelastningen i Sør Norge 1920-2000 (under trykking)
- 106 Henriksen, A & Buan, A.K. 2000. Tålegrenser og overskridelse av tålegrenser for overflatevann, skogsjord og vegetasjon i Norge. Norsk institutt for vannforskning (NIVA). Rapport LNR 4179-2000
- 107 Aarrestad, P.A. & Vandvik, V. 2000. Vegetasjonsendringer i vestnorsk kystlynghei effekter av skjøtselsformene brann og sauebeite ved rehabilitering av gammel lynghei på Lurekalven i Hordaland. - NINA fagrapport 044.
- 108 Oredalen, J.T. & Aas, W. 2000. Vurdering av atmosfærisk fosforavsetning i sørøst-Norge. Norsk institutt for vannforskning Rapport LNR 4310-2000.
- 109 Bruteig, I., Thomsen, M.G. & Altin, D. 2001. Vekstrespons hos tre aerofyttiske algar på tilførsel av nitrogen. NINA oppdragsmelding 680. (*Rapporten er feilnummerert og har fått nummer 108*)
- 110 Sogn; T.A., Mulder, J., Haugen, L.E., Berge, G., Rustad, K.B. & Stuanes, A. 2001. N-omsetning i hei-/fjellområder: En første tilnærming til dynamiks modellering av N-omsetningen. Institutt for jord- og vannfag, Norges landbrukshøgskole. Rapport nr 11/2001.
- 111 Kroglund, F., Wright, R.F. & Burchart, C. 2001. Acidification and Atlantic salmon: critical limits for Norwegian rivers. Norwegian Institute for Water Research Report No O-20191
- 112 Lindstrøm, E.A. 2001. Økt plantevekst i uberørt fjellvann: et samspill mellom langtransporterte forurensninger og klima. Norsk institutt for vannforskning. Rapport LNR 4459-2001.
- 113 Larssen; T.; Clarke, N., Tørseth, K. & Skjelkvåle, B.L. 2002. Prognosis for future recovery from acidification of water, soils and forests: Dynaic modelling of Norwegina data from ICP Forests, ICP IM and ICP Waters. Norsk institutt for vannforskning. Report no. O-21172
- 114 Hindar, A. Tørseth, K., Henriksen, A. & Orsolini, Y. 2002. Betydningen av den nordatlantiske svingning (NAO) for sjøsaltepisoder og forsuring i vassdrag på Vestlandet og i Trøndelag. Norsk institutt for vannforskning. Rapport LNR 4592-2002
- Hole, L. R. & Tørseth, K. 2003. Deposition of major inorganic compunds in Norway 1978-1982 and 1997-2001: status and trends. Norsk institutt for luftforskning OR 61/2002. ISBN 82-425-1410-0.
- 116 Larssen, T. & Høgåsen, T. 2003. Tålegrenser og overskridelse av tålegrenser i Norge. Norsk institutt for vannforskning. Rapport LNR 4722-2003.
- 117 Hesthagen, T., Kristensen, T., Rosseland, B.O. & Saksgård, R. 2004. Relativ tetthet og rekruttering hos aure i innsjøer med forskjellig vannkvalitet En analyse basert på prøvefiske med garn og vannets syrenøytraliseriende kapasitet (ANC). NINA Oppdragsmelding 806
- 118 Lindstrøm, E.-A., Brettum, P., Johansen, S.W. & Mjelde, M. 2004. Vannvegetsjon i norske vassdrag. Kritiske grenseverdier for forsuring. Effekter av kalking. Norsk institutt for vannforskning. Rapport LNR 4821-2004.
- 119 Larssen, T., Skjelkvåle, B.L. og Høgåsen, T., 2004. Critical loads for lead (Pb) and cadmium (Cd) in surface waters in Norway - evaluation of methodology and preliminary maps. NIVA-rapport 4808-2004. 18 s.
- 119 Hindar, A. og Larssen, T. 2005. Modifisering av ANC- og tålegrenseberegninger ved å inkludere sterke organiske syrer. NIVA-rapport 5030-2005. 39 s.
- 120 Hindar, A., de Wit, H. og Hole, L. 2005. Betydningen av klimavariasjon for nitrogen i vassdrag og feltforskningsområder. NIVA-rapport 5064-2005. 61 s.

Henvendelser vedrørende rapportene rettes til utførende institusjon