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# Development of species-specific eDNA-based test systems for monitoring of freshwater crayfish 

## Preface

We report the development of 9 species-specific eDNA-based test systems for monitoring of freshwater crayfish. The work has been funded by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency as a spinout activity from the MONIS project ('Monitoring of Non-Indigenous Species in Danish Marine Waters') and been carried out collectively by NIVA Denmark (lead partner), the Natural History Museum of Denmark and University of Aarhus.

Thanks are due to:

- Josefine Møller, Ulrik Berggreen and Kim Larsen, Danish Environmental Protection Agency,
- Jørgen Olsen, Natural History Museum of Denmark, and
- Roger F. Thoma (Midwest Biodiversity Institute, United States of America), Guenter A. Schuster (Eastern Kentucky University, Department of Biological Sciences, United States of America) and Christopher A. Taylor (Illinois Natural History Survey, Prairie Research Institute, Champaign, United States of America) for providing samples of crayfish.

More information about the MONIS project cluster (phase 1-5) can be found in:

- 'Steps toward nation-wide monitoring of non-indigenous species in Danish marine waters under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive' by Andersen et al. (2016),
- 'Development of species-specific eDNA-based test systems for monitoring of non-indigenous species in Danish marine waters' by Andersen et al. (2018),
- 'Tekniske anvisninger for eDNA-baseret overvågning af ikke-hjemmehørende marine arter' (in Danish) by Knudsen et al. (2018), and
- 'A baseline study of the occurrence of non-indigenous species in Danish harbours' by Andersen et al. (2019).
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# Dansk sammenfatning 

Titel: Udvikling af arts-specifikke eDNA-baserede testsystemer til overvågning af ferskvandskrebs År: 2019
Forfatter(e): Steen W. Knudsen, Sune Agersnap, Peter Rask Møller \& Jesper H. Andersen
Udgiver: Norsk institutt for vannforskning, ISBN 978-82-577-7182-9

For at kunne spore både hjemmehørende og ikke-hjemmehørende arter af ferskvandskrebs, ved hjælp af DNA niveauer i filtrerede vandprøver, er der for denne rapport udviklet og testet ni artsspecifikke sporingssystemer.

Ved brug af kvantitativ PCR (polymerase chain reaction) (qPCR) er det med disse ni systemer muligt at spore DNA i vandprøver fra ni arter af ferskvandskrebs, og det er muligt at vurdere niveauerne af DNA i vandprøverne fra de enkelte arter af ferskvandskrebs. Alle ni sporingssystemer er blevet designet og testet både på DNA fra vævsprøver fra den eftersøgte art, men også på DNA fra andre sameksisterende ferskvandskrebs.

Alle ni sporingssystemer er her eftervist som værende artsspecifikke og i stand til at spore DNA fra hver af de ni arter af ferskvandskrebs. Specificiteten for hvert sporingssystem er eftervist med resultater fra sammenligning af nukleotid sekvenser hvor de enkelte primere og prober binder og med qPCR tests af forskelige kombinationer af primere og prober.

Sammenligningen med nukleotid sekvenser fra andre arter af krebs blev udført ved at identificere variable gen regioner i det mitokondrielle gen: cytochrome oxidase 1 (mtDNA-co1). Nukleotid sekvenser af mtDNA-co1 blev enten indhentet fra en genetisk database eller indhentet ved de novosekventering af DNA ekstraheret fra vævsprøver indsamlet fra de ni arter af ferskvandskrebs.

Blandt de ni sporingssystemer, som der er testet, er der for hvert art udvalgt et sporingssystem der er artsspecifikt, men samtidig også er sensitivt for lave niveauer af miljø-DNA.

## 1 Introduction

Native crayfish in Europe and thus Scandinavia are threatened by the introduction of non-indigenous crayfish species (Agersnap et al., 2017; Strand et al., 2019; Manfrin et al., 2019; Wittwer et al., 2019), and its companion the lethal crayfish plague (Vrålstad et al., 2009). Because of this invasion the Indigenous crayfish populations in Europe has been reduced considerably. The number of non-indigenous crayfish species in Europe now exceeds the number of indigenous crayfish species twofold. Early detection is crucial when it comes to stopping or reducing a new invasion. Monitoring the distribution and prevalence of both native and non-indigenous species of crayfish in Danish freshwater systems filtering water and evaluating levels of environmental DNA (eDNA) offers an alternative and potentially also cheaper approach for mapping the distribution and occurrence of crayfish in Denmark. In the wake of recent studies (Agersnap et al., 2017; Strand et al., 2019; Atkinson et al., 2019) this MONIS 5 study aims at developing and testing species-specific primer- and probe assays for nine species of crayfish that can occur in Northern European freshwaters. The non-indigenous species are listed on the union list of alien invasive species under the Regulation (EU) 1143/2014 on invasive alien species or on the Danish national list of invasive alien species.

Before any of the species-specific primer- probe assays can be used to detect environmental DNA in filtered water samples in a quantitative PCR (qPCR) setup, the assays must be tested with specific positive outcome in two stages: The in silico test and the in vitro test. In the in silico test stage each of the specific primer- probe assay must be tested in the initial computer setup with comparison of known DNA sequences from similar gene regions from closely related co-occurring species and the same gene region for the target species. These gene regions can be obtained from gene bank databases, such as the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). If comparative gene regions are scarcely represented in gene bank databases, de novo sequencing on extracted DNA from closely related co-occurring species should be done prior to comparison of sequence data. Samples from natural history museum collections provide the possibility of re-validating species identification, and samples from such collections should be prioritized for any eventual de novo sequencing and for any match in an in vitro setup that is supposed to check if closely related sister species can give rise to false positive amplification. The subsequent in vitro test must include the in silico designed primers and probes and ensure their specificity in a qPCR setup performed on DNA extracted from the target species and DNA from potential co-occurring non-target species. The most closely related sister species co-occurring in the geographical area where the filtered water samples are to be collected must be included in such an in vitro test.

A qPCR in vitro test will help to show whether the developed primers and probe can unintentionally return false positive amplification on DNA from co-occurring sister species. In case an in vitro test results in positive amplification on DNA from co-occurring sister species, this can be a result of the gene region targeted and the primer binding sites have less than 5 base pair differences in difference. The species-specific primers and probes presented in this report do not cover species that were unknown or are without sequence data deposited on gene databases at the onset of this project. Once an in vitro test has been completed with a validated and positive result for the species-specific assay that only returns positive amplification in a qPCR setup for the sought species, the assay is regarded as being species-specific at operational level. It is, however, recommended that species-specific assays that are tested positive at operational level are further validated through in vivo or in situ tests. In vivo or in situ test will require that the species-specific assay can return positive amplification in a qPCR setup performed on filtered and extracted water samples collected from locations where the target species is known to be present.

## 2 Methods

All testing of species-specific assays has been performed in the same way, using the same setup for both PCR (Polymerase Chain Reactions) and qPCR (quantitative PCR). The protocols for in silico design of primers and in vitro testing of designed primers and probes follow the setup and protocols described by Agersnap et al. (2017) and Knudsen et al. (2019).

Tissue samples were obtained from museum specimens of crayfish, and DNA was extracted from these tissue samples using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagene provider).

The resulting primer and probes are presented in the following tables and nine sections. The first tables (Table 2.1 and 2.2) provide a quick overview of the nine species targeted in this study and presents the in silico designed and in vitro tested primer- probe assays. The nine sections following these two tables present each species-specific primer- probe assay for each of the nine species of freshwater crayfish. For each assay developed and tested the mitochondrial gene sequences used for in silico design are listed with accession numbers for the GenBank National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) records. For sample abbreviations without GenBank accession numbers museum tissue sample numbers are listed and refer to samples held the Natural History Museum of Denmark.

### 2.1 Conditions of the specificity test - in silico testing

All species-specific primer and probe assays obtained from literature search were compared in a DNA sequence alignment viewer. Sequence alignment was performed using the MAFFT v6.822 (Katoh \& Toh, 2010) alignment algorithm accessible as a plugin in Geneious v. R7 (Kearse et al., 2012). The in silico design was based on initial primer suggestions inferred from using Primer3 v.0.4.0 (Koressaar and Remm, 2007), and by matching primers against the NCBI GenBank database using Primer-BLAST (Ye et al., 2012). The in silico design protocol follows the tests described by Knudsen et al. (2019) and by Agersnap et al. (2017).

All species- specific assays developed and tested in this study were performed by aligning mtDNA sequences from de novo sequenced material obtained from NHMD and by downloading mtDNA sequences from National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank.

For the species of crayfish where limited sequence data for the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase 1 (mtDNA-co1) region was available on NCBI GenBank, de novo sequencing of the mtDNA-co1 region was performed with the forward primer: LCO1490: $5^{\prime}$-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3' and reverse primer: HCO2198: 5'-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA-3' and (Folmer et al., 1994) in a PCR setup with $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction volume comprising forward and reverse primers (ordered through TAG Copenhagen $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ), using $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ forward and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reverse primer (with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ initial concentrations per primer), and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ AmpliTaq Gold Polymerase (Thermofisher, Applied Biosystems), $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ $\mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~L} 25 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ template DNA extracted from tissue samples and diluted 1:10 prior to usage. The amplified products were visualized with gel electrophoresis in $2 \%$ agarose gel stained with GelRed. This PCR setup is similar to the PCR setup 01 described by Knudsen et al. (2019) and is referred to as 'setup 01' in this study. Amplified products were purified with a Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen, cat. No. 28106) and de novo Sanger sequenced using the sequencing service provided by Macrogen Europe (Amsterdam). Sanger sequencing was performed in both forward and reverse directions and resulting sequence reads were assembled and manually inspected in the software Geneious vR7.

Table 2.1. Table of primer and probe qPCR detection systems developed by MONIS 5 invasive crayfish with a summary of the final product. 'PM' indicates a probe modification. All oligos are written in a 5' $5^{\prime}$ 3' direction. The primers are named with a combination of an abbreviated genus name an abbreviated species name and the mitochondrial gene region that is targeted by the assay and a letter indicating whether it is a (F)orward, (R)everse or (P)robe, and an arbitrary number. The abbreviated genus name follows previous taxonomy for the genera: Pontastacus (now synonymized with Astacus) and Orconectes (now synonymized with Faxonius). To be able to match primers and probes with already developed and tested reagents in this project, these primer and probe names have been retained for this report. These primer and probe names can be considered altered if these results are to be published in peer reviewed scientific literature.

| No (1) | Species | Primer (forward and revers) and probe name | Sequence in $5^{\prime}->3^{\prime}$ direction with FAM and BHQ1 modifications indicated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Krebs_01 | Astacus astacus | Astast_COI_F01 | 5'-CGATTTTAGGGGCGGTAAAT-3' |
|  |  | Astast_COI_R01 | 5'-CACCTGCCAACACAGGTAGA-3' |
|  |  | Astast_COI_P01 | 5'-FAM-TCGAATACCTCTTTTTGTTTGATCTGT-BHQ-1-3' |
| Krebs_02 | Pacifastacus leniusculus | Paclen_CO1_F02 | 5'-TGTAGTCACGGCACATGCTT-3' |
|  |  | Paclen_CO1_R01 | 5'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-3' |
|  |  | Paclen_CO1_P01 | 5'-FAM-AAAGAGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAAC-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_03 | Astacus leptodactylus | Ponlep_CO1_F03 | 5'-TTTGGGACTTGAGCAGGAAT-3' |
|  |  | Ponlep_CO1_R03 | 5'-CTGGTTGTCCGAGTTCAACA-3' |
|  |  | Ponlep_CO1_P03 | 5'-FAM-TGGGAACCTCTTTAAGAATAATTATTCG-BHQ-1-3' |
| Krebs_04 | Procambarus clarkii | Procla_co1_F04 | 5'-GCGGGAGCATCTGTAGATTT-3' |
|  |  | Procla_co1_R04 | 5'-ATAGCTCCTGCCAACACAGG-3' |
|  |  | Procla_co1_P04 | 5'-FAM-ACGAACAGTAGGGATAACCATGGAT-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_05 | Procambarus fallax | Profal_co1_F01 | 5'-AGTTGAGAGGGGAGTAGGAAC-3' |
|  |  | Profal_co1_R01 | 5'-AGTTATACCAGCTGCCCGTA-3' |
|  |  | Profal_co1_P01 | 5'-FAM-AACTGTTTATCCTCCTTTAGCTTCTGC-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_06 | Faxonius juvenilis | Orcjuv_co1_F06 | 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGA-3' |
|  |  | Orcjuv_co1_R09 | 5'-CCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTCTAC-3' |
|  |  | Orcjuv_co1_P06 | 5'-FAM-TGGGGGATTTGGTAACTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_07 | Faxonius limosus | Orclim_co1_F03 | 5'-GTTGGGTCAGCTGGGAAGTT-3' |
|  |  | Orclim_co1_R01 | 5'-GTCATTCCTGTGGCCCGTAT-3' |
|  |  | Orclim_co1_P03 | 5'-FAM-TGGAGGATTTGGTAATTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_08 | Faxonius rusticus (2) | Orcrus_co1_F03 | 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGAC-3' |
|  |  | Orcrus_co1_R02 | 5'-AAATCTACTGACGCCCCTGC-3' |
|  |  | Orcrus_co1_P02 | 5'-FAM-ACAGTGTATCCTCCTCTCGCTTCTGCA-BHQ1-3' |
| Krebs_09 | Faxonius virilis | Faxvir_co1_F05 | 5'-CAGGAAGATTGATTGGGGACGA-3' |
|  |  | Faxvir_co1_R01 | 5'-GTTATCCCTGCAGCCCGTAT-3' |
|  |  | Faxvir_co1_P01 | 5'-FAM-TTGGAGGTTTCGGGAACTGGCTGATTC-BHQ1-3' |

1) The assay name and number are arbitrarily assigned for this report.
2) The primers and probes developed for detection of eDNA from Faxonius rusticus are unable to distinguish between DNA from Faxonius rusticus and Faxonius limosus when the qPCR is run for more than 35 cycles. A cycle of quantification cut-off (Cq-cut-off) of 35 is required when this Faxrus assay is used.

Table 2.2. $T S$ = Tissue sample collected and available for DNA-specificity test, NTS = Tissue sample tested in PCR and qPCR setup, level of specificity = the results from the Table 1.2 List of crayfish species in Danish freshwater habitats targeted for eDNA monitoring in the MONIS 5 project. Spe-cies-specific eDNA assays (primers and probes) have been developed and tested in a laboratory setup (in silico and in vitro testing) during the MONIS 5 project. 'Assay ready' indicates whether the assay can be considered ready for test at operational level - i.e. subsequent testing in ensuing project. TS = Target Species; NTS = Non-Target Species. 'At gl' indicates the assay is ready for use with specificity at genus level - i.e. the assay cannot discriminate between eDNA from different species within the listed genus. in vitro qPCR test on DNA extracted from tissue sample, Assay ready $=$ the evaluation of the in vitro test, whether or not the assay can be applied for tests on water samples. $N T=$ not tested.

| No(1) | Genus | Species | Danish <br> commmon name | TS col- <br> lected | NTS col- <br> lected and <br> tested(2) | Level of <br> specificity | Assay <br> ready |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 01 | Astacus | astacus | Flodkrebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 02 | Pacifastacus | leniusculus | Signalkrebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 03 | Astacus | leptodactylus | Galizisk sumpkrebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 04 | Procambarus | clarkii | Lousianna krebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 05 | Procambarus | fallax | Marmorkrebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 06 | Faxonius | juvenilis | Kentucky flodkrebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes |
| 07 | Faxonius | limosus | Amerikans flod- <br> krebs | Yes | Yes | Species | Yes |
| $\mathbf{0 8}$ | Faxonius | rusticus | Rustfarvet flod- <br> krebs | Yes | Yes | species | Yes (3) |
| 09 | Faxonius | virilis | Viril flodkrebs | Yes | Yes | Species | Yes |

1) The species number is an arbitrary number assigned through this report.
2) Whether non-target species have been collected refers to whether species from potentially co-occurring and evolutionary closely related species in Danish Seas have been collected, and if the assay has been tested on the Non-Target-Species. The 'NA' indicates that the species was unavailable for testing.
3) Tested in qPCR setup with the primer combination being species-specific below Cq=35. Amplification results from Cq>35 needs to be regarded as false positive signals, as they potentially can stem from a different invasive species of Faxonius.

The primers were designed by aligning sequences obtained from samples collected by Sune Agersnap and William B. Larsen:

- Astacus astacus: 151_76_4810
- Faxonius immunis: 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142
- Faxonius juvenilis: 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, 151_75_Faxjuv145
- Faxonius limosus: 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141
- Pacifastacus leniusculus: 151_80_5691
- Pontastacus leptodactylus: 151_77_4773, 151_78_4847, 151_79_4777
- Procambarus clarkii: 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144
- Procambarus fallax: 151_73_Profal143

With additional sequences obtained from NCBI GenBank:

- Astacus astacus: GU727619, JN254659-JN254681
- Astacus leptodactylus: MF288079-MF288086,
- Austropotamobius italicus: AY121127, HM622614
- Austropotamobius pallipes: AY667114-AY667115
- Austropotamobius torrentium: AY667128, AM180946
- Cherax destructor: KJ950555, KM039112
- Faxonius limosus: JF911554
- Faxonius rusticus: AY701249, KX238168
- Orconectes immunis: JF438005-JF438006
- Orconectes juvenilis: AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428
- Orconectes limosus: JF437992-JF437993
- Orconectes rusticus: AY701248-AY701249
- Orconectes virilis: FJ608577, EU442743
- Pacifastacus gambelii: KF827994-KF827995
- Pacifastacus leniusculus: AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995-JF437998, JF438000
- Procambarus fallax: HM358011, KF033123

All assays were tested under the same PCR and qPCR setups. The DNA template added varied from assay to assay to make the target species different. All primers tested and inferred with Primer3 v.0.4.0 (Koressaar \& Remm, 2007) are listed for each species of crayfish.

### 2.2 Conditions of the specificity test - in vitro testing

DNA from reference tissue samples were either supplied from external sources (Table 2.3) or extracted from tissue samples using the DNeasy Blood and tissue kit (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's specifications.

Initial primer specificity, test of annealing temperature and primer concentration was performed in a $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction volume comprising forward and reverse primers (ordered through TAG Copenhagen $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{S}$ ), using $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ forward and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reverse primer (with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ initial concentrations per primer), and $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~L} 5 \mathrm{U} / \mu \mathrm{L}$ AmpliTaq Gold Polymerase (Thermofisher, Applied Biosystems), $11.6 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{ddH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 2 \mu \mathrm{~L} 25$ mM MgCl 2 and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ template DNA extracted from tissue samples and diluted 1:10 prior to usage. This initial PCR was performed on various combinations of the primers designed for the mitochondrial gene region targeted. This is similar to the PCR setup 01 described by Knudsen et al. (2019) and is referred to as 'PCR setup 01' in the present study as well. For each species, different primer combinations were tested to ensure they could amplify the targeted mitochondrial gene region in DNA extracted from tissue from the targeted species. These PCR setups were performed on both DNA extracted from tissue from the target species, as well as on DNA extracted from other non-target species. For the primer combinations that returned species-specific amplification species-specific FAMBHQ1 modified probes were ordered, to allow for subsequent testing of specificity against the gene region in the targeted species in a qPCR setup. This qPCR setup is similar to setup 02 described by Knudsen et al. (2019). The qPCR was setup to test the different primers and the probe on DNA extracted from tissue from both target species and from non-target species. The primer and probe combinations that returned only species-specific amplification were selected as the species-specific assays to use in future assessments of eDNA levels from freshwater crayfish.
qPCR reactions were run on a Stratagene Mx3005P qPCR Machine (Agilent, Santa Clara, California, United States). Primer probe specificity test was run using $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ forward and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reverse primer (with $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ initial concentrations per primer) and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ probe (with $2.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ initial concentration) in a $25 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ reaction volume, including $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Applied Biosystems TaqMan Environmental Mastermix 2.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, United States), $10 \mu \mathrm{LddH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ 1:10 diluted tem-
plate DNA from tissue extractions, ranging in concentrations of DNA between $50 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$ and 20000 $\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{mL}$. Target- and non-target species were run in duplicate reactions and two negative controls. All data obtained from the qPCR setups were exported as Excel files from the Mx3005 P software, and analysed in R v3.3 (R Core Team, 2016) using the packages: "ggplot2" (Wickham, 2016), "pdp" (Greenwell, 2017) and "readxl" (Wickham and Bryan, 2017).

Table 2.3. Species used for in silico design of primers and probes and any eventual tissue samples obtained from the Natural History Museum of Denmark and any eventual mitochondrial DNA sequences obtained from databases.

| Species | Museum catalog number (1) | Accession number(2) | Abbr code |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astacus astacus | 4810 | GU727619 | Astast |
| Astacus leptodactylus | 4773 | AF525228 | Astlep |
| Cherax destructor | NA | HG799087 | Chedes |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | NA | NA | Chequa |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | NA | NA | Chequi |
| Faxonius immunis | Oroimm142 | NA | Oroimm |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Faxjuv146 | AF474352 | Orojuv |
| Faxonius limosus | Faxlim138 | JF437992 | Orolim |
| Faxonius rusticus | Faxrus189 | AY701248 | Faxrus |
| Faxonius virilis | Faxvir187 | NA | Faxvir |
| Pacifastacus fortis | NA | NA | Pacfor |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | 5691 | AF525226 | Paclen |
| Procambarus clarkii | Procla139 | JNO00900 | Procla |
| Procambarus fallax | Profal143 | HM358011 | Profal |

1) The museum catalog number refers to the invertebrate collection at the Zoological Museum at the University of Copenhagen and samples collected by S.W. Knudsen, W.B. Hansen and S. Agersnap.
2) The accession numbers refer to sequences obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information database.

## 3 Results

The species-specific assays are listed for each species with alignments and amplification curves obtained from each of the qPCR tests performed.

### 3.1 Species no. krebs_01: Astacus astacus

Binomial nomenclature and author: Astacus astacus (Linnaeus, 1758) - see figure 3.1.
English common name:
Noble crayfish
Danish common name: Europæisk flodkrebs


Figure 3.1. Astacus astacus. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.

In the genus Astacus there are two species found in Denmark. Astacus astacus (Fig. 3.1) and Astacus leptodactylus. A species-specific assay was developed and tested by Agersnap et al. (2017) (Figure 3.2 and Table 3.1). For this study the same assay was tested again.

```
Astast_COI_F0336 5'-GATTAGAGGAATAGTAGAGAG-3'
Astast_COI_R0397 5'-CTGATGCTAAAGGGGGATAA-3'
Astast_COI_P0357 5'-FAM-AGGAGTAGGGACAGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3'
```

An additional assay was developed in the present study (Figure 3.3 and Table 3.2):

| Astast_COI_F01 | 5'-CGATTTTAGGGGCGGTAAAT-3' |
| :--- | :--- |
| Astast_COI_R01 | 5'-CACCTGCCAACACAGGTAGA-3' |
| Astast_COI_P01 | 5'-FAM-TCGAATACCTCTTTTTGTTTGATCTGT-BHQ-1-3' |

Table 3.1. Previous developed primers and probes specific for A. astacus (Agersnap et al., 2017).

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Astacus astacus | $m t D N A-c o 1$ | 65 |  |  |
| Astast_COI_F0336 | 5'-GATTAGAGGAATAGTAGAGAG-3' | 44.4 | 21 | 38.1 |
| Astast_COI_R0397 | 5'-CTGATGCTAAAGGGGGATAA-3' | 56.8 | 20 | 45.0 |
| Astast_COI_P0357 | 5'-FAM-AGGAGTAGGGACAGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3' | 58.2 | 22 | 50.0 |

Table 3.2. Primers and probes specific for A. astacus designed and tested in the present study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Astacus astacus | $m t D N A-c o 1$ | 144 base pair (bp) |  |  |
| Astast_COI_F01 | 5'-CGATTTTAGGGGCGGTAAAT-3' | 60.2 | 20 | 45.0 |
| Astast_COI_R01 | 5'-CACCTGCCAACACAGGTAGA-3' | 59.7 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Astast_COI_P01 | 5'-FAM-TCGAATACCTCTTTTTGTTTGATCTGT-BHQ-1-3' | 62.5 | 27 | 33.3 |

Table 3.3. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplifi- <br> cation | Acc. number or sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | Yes | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005- |
|  |  |  | JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, |
|  |  |  | 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, |
|  |  |  | KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | No | NA | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995- |
|  |  |  | JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.2. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the assay presented by Agersnap et al. (2017). Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious.


Figure 3.3. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the F01-R01-P01 assay developed and tested in the present study. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in a PCR reaction setup (PCR setup 01) to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes: Astast_CO1_F01: 3'-CGATTTTAGGGGCGGTAAAT-5', Astast_CO1_F02: 3'-GCAGGCGCATCTGTAGACTT-5', Astast_CO1_F04: 3'-TATCCCCCTTTAGCATCAGC-5', Astast_CO1_F05: 3'-TTTTGATTGCTCCCCTTTTC-5', Astast_CO1_P01: 3-FAM-'TCGAATACCTCTTTTTGTTTGATCTGT-BHQ1-5', Astast_CO1_P02: 3-FAM-'TTTCATTACACTTG-GCAGGTGTATCTT-BHQ1-5', Astast_CO1_R01: 3’-CACCTGCCAACACAGGTAGA-5', Astast_CO1_R02: 3'-ATTTACCGCCCCTAAAATCG-5', Astast_COI_F0336: 3’-GATTAGAGGAATAGTAGAGAG-5', Astast_COI_P0357: 3-FAM-'AGGAGTAGGGACAGGATGAACT-BHQ1-5', Astast_COI_R0397: 3'-CTGATGCTAAAGGGGGATAA-5'. The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

The species-specific assay (Astast_COI_F0336, Astast_COI_R0397, Astast_COI_P0357) (Agersnap et al., 2017) was found to be unspecific (Figure 3.4). The assay designed and tested in this study (Astast_COI_F01, Astast_COI_R01, Astast_COI_P01) amplified for the two replicates of Astacus astacus at a Cq of 28 and 28 (Figure 3.5). The new F01-R01-P01-assay tested in this study was found to be species-specific only against the targeted species (Figure 3.6).


Figure 3.4. Amplification of Astacus astacus species using the Agersnap et al. (2017) assay. Target species A. astacus is shown in yellow-green (Aa_4810) and non-target sister species in other colours. This assay also amplifies DNA from Procambarus fallax (Profal143) magenta lines. The other colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].


Figure 3.5. Amplification of Astacus astacus species using a new assay developed in the present study. Target species A. astacus is shown in green-yellow (4810) and non-target sister species in other colours. This assay is able to distinguish between Astacus astacus and other species of crayfish, but returns a relative low level of fluorescence. The other colors represent ' .0 ' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].
ampl_plot_qpcr732_Astast_20190806.xls


Figure 3.6. Amplification of Astacus astacus species using four new assays developed in the present study. Target species A. astacus is shown in red (4810) and non-target sister species in other colours. The four assays (a-d) show the different combinations of primer and probe tested. The assay using Astast_co1_F01+ Astast_co1_R01+ Astast_co1_P01 (a) returns species-specific detection with the highest relative fluorescence level and lowest Cq. This assay was preferred among the four tested.

### 3.2 Species no. krebs_02: Pacifastacus leniusculus

Binomial nomenclature and author: English common name:
Danish common name:

Pacifastacus leniusculus (Dana, 1852) - see figure 3.7.
Signal crayfish
Signalkrebs


Figure 3.7. Pacifastacus leniusculus. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
A species-specific assay against Pacifastacus leniusculus (Fig. 3.7) was developed and tested by Agersnap et al. (2017) (Figure 3.8 and Table 3.4). For this study the same assay was tested again.

Paclen_COI_F0336
Astlen_COI_R0397
5'-AACTAGAGGAATAGTTGAAAG-3'
Paclen_COI_P0357 5'-FAM-AGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3'

A new species-specific assay was also developed (Figure 3.9 and Table 3.5) and tested in this study (Table 3.6) and compared with the assay from Agersnap et al. (2017).

```
Paclen_CO1_F02 5'-TGTAGTCACGGCACATGCTT-3'
Paclen_CO1_R01 5'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-3'
Paclen_CO1_P01 5'-FAM-AAAGAGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAAC-BHQ1-3'
```

Table 3.4. Previous developed primers and probes specific for P. leniusculus (Agersnap et al., 2017).

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | mtDNA-co1 | 65 base pair (bp) |  |  |
| Paclen_COI_F0336 | 5'-AACTAGAGGAATAGTTGAAAG-3' | 47.5 | 21 | 33.3 |
| Astlen_COI_R0397 | 5'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-3' | 59.6 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Paclen_COI_P0357 | 5'-FAM-AGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3' | 59.0 | 22 | 50.0 |

Table 3.5. Primers and probes specific for $P$. leniusculus designed and tested in the present study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Length <br> (bp) | GC (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | $m t D N A-c o 1$ | 236 base pair (bp) |  |  |  |
| Paclen_CO1_F02 | 5'-TGTAGTCACGGCACATGCTT-3' | 60.3 | 20 | 50.0 |  |
| Paclen_CO1_R01 | 5'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-3' | 59.6 | 20 | 55.0 |  |
| Paclen_CO1_P01 | 5'-FAM-AAAGAGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAAC-BHQ1-3' | 63.0 | 25 | 48.0 |  |

Table 3.6. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005-JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | No | No | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | Yes | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.

| Consensus |
| :--- |
| Identity |

1. Astacus astacus_JN254670
2. Pontastacus leptodactylus_JQ421472
3. Orconectes juvenilis_AF474352_
4. Orconectes rusticus_AY701249
5. Orconectes limosus_JF437992
6. Procambarus clarkiiJJN000900
7. Procambarus fallax_HM358011
8. Pacifastacus leniusculus leniusculus_JF437995


Figure 3.8. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the primer binding region for the assay presented by Agersnap et al. (2017). Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.


Figure 3.9. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the Paclen_CO1_R01+Paclen_CO1_P01+Paclen_CO1_F02 specific primer-probe assay developed in the present study. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in a PCR reaction setup as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes: Astlen_COI_R0397: 3'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-5', Paclen_CO1_F01: 3'-TTGTAGTCACGGCACATGCT-5', Paclen_CO1_F02: 3'-TGTAGTCACGGCACATGCTT-5', Paclen_CO1_P01: 3-FAM-'AAAGAGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAAC-BHQ1-5', Paclen_CO1_P03: 3-FAM-'TTCCTTTAATATTAGGGGCTCCTGA-BHQ1-5', Paclen_CO1_R01: 3'-CCGCTGCTAGAGGAGGATAA-5', Paclen_CO1_R03: 3'-TATTTATCCGGGGGAATGCT-5', Paclen_CO1_R05: 3'-ATTTATCCGGGGGAATGCTA-5', Paclen_COI_F0336: 3'-AACTAGAG-GAATAGTTGAAAG-5', Paclen_COI_P0357: 3-FAM-'AGGAGTGGGTACTGGATGAACT-BHQ1-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

The specific assay developed by Agersnap et al. (2017) was not able to discriminate between Pacifastacus leniusculus and Faxonius juvenilis. Using the assay developed by Agersnap et al. (2017) two replicates of Pacifastacus leniusculus amplified at a Cq of 31 and 32, and also resulted in amplification of Faxonius juvenilis at a Cq of 39 and 40 (Figure 3.10). Using the newly developed assays tested in this study F02+P01+R01 (Figure 3.11) returned species-specific amplification at a Cq of 30 and 30 for both replicates. This F02+P01+R01 assay (Figure 3.11) only amplified DNA from the intended target species.
ampl_plot_qpcr650_03_Paclen_2018dec11.xls


Figure 3.10. Amplification of Pacifastacus leniusculus species using the Agersnap et al. (2017) assay.
Target species Pacifastacus leniusculus is shown in green. This assay also amplifies DNA from Faxonius juvenilis (Faxjuv146 and Faxjuv145). The other colors represent '. 0 ' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].


Figure 3.11. Amplification of Pacifastacus leniusculus species using the Paclen_CO1_F02+R01+P01 assay developed in the present study. Target species Pacifastacus leniusculus (5691) (Danish common name: Signal krebs) is shown in blue-green color. The other colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].

### 3.3 Species no. krebs_03: Astacus leptodactylus

Binomial nomenclature and author: Astacus leptodactylus Eschscholtz, 1823 - see figure 3.12.

English common name:
Danish common name:

Narrow-clawed crayfish
Galizisk sumpkrebs


Figure 3.12. Astacus leptodactylus. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
Astacus leptodactylus (also known as Pontastacus leptodactylus) (Fig. 3.12) is considered a cryptogenic species, that comprises three subspecies (subclade II, II and III). Astacus leptodacty/us have previously been assigned to the genus Pontastacus. It is currently only possible to distinguish between these three subclades by using genetic sequencing. Two specific assays were developed and tested by Agersnap et al. (2017) (Figure 3.13 and Table 3.7). For this study the same two assays were tested again.

For subclade I:
Astlepl_COI_F0336
AstlepI_COI_R0397
Astlepl_COI_P0357 5'-FAM-AGGAGTAGGGACCGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3'
For subclade III:
AstlepIII_COI_F0336
AstlepIII_COI_R0397
AstleplII_COI_P0357 5'-FAM-GGGTGTAGGAACTGGATGAACC-BHQ1-3'
In addition to the assays developed by Agersnap et al. (2017) a new combination of primers and a probe was developed for subclade I, II and III (Figure 3.14 and Table 3.8) to be able to test on other species of crayfish (Table 3.9):

```
Ponlep_CO1_F03 5'-TTTGGGACTTGAGCAGGAAT-3'
Ponlep_CO1_R03 5'-CTGGTTGTCCGAGTTCAACA-3'
Ponlep_CO1_P03 5'-FAM-TGGGAACCTCTTTAAGAATAATTATTCG-BHQ-1-3'
```

Table 3.7. Previous developed primers and probes specific for A. leptodactylus (Agersnap et al., 2017).

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Astacus leptodactylus | $m t D N A-c o 1$ | 65 base pair (bp) |  |  |
| AstlepI_COI_F0336 | 5'-AACTAGGGGTATAGTAGAGAG-3' | 46.5 | 21 | 42.9 |
| Astlepl_COI_R0397 | 5'-CTGATGCTAAAGGGGGATAA-3' | 56.8 | 20 | 45.0 |
| AstlepI_COI_P0357 | 5'-FAM-AGGAGTAGGGACCGGATGAACT-BHQ1-3' | 62.4 | 22 | 54.6 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | mtDNA-co1 | 65 base |  |  |
|  | 5'-AACTAGAGGTATAGTAGAGGG-3' | pair (bp) |  |  |
| AstleplII_COI_R0397 | 5'-CTGATGCTAGGGGAGGATAA-3' | 46.5 | 21 | 42.9 |
| AstlepIII_COI_P0357 | 5'-FAM-GGGTGTAGGAACTGGATGAACC-BHQ1-3' | 56.9 | 20 | 50.0 |

Table 3.8. Primers and probes specific for A. leptodactylus designed and tested in the present study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Astacus leptodactylus | mtDNA-co1 | 70 base pair (bp) |  |  |
| Ponlep_CO1_F03 | 5'-TTTGGGACTTGAGCAGGAAT-3' | 59.7 | 20 | 45.0 |
| Ponlep_CO1_R03 | 5'-CTGGTTGTCCGAGTTCAACA-3' | 59.7 | 20 | 50.0 |
| Ponlep_CO1_P03 | 5'-FAM-TGGGAACCTCTTTAAGAATAATTATTCG-BHQ- | 62.0 | 28 | 32.1 |
|  | 1-3' |  |  |  |

Table 3.9. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | Yes | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005-JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, 151_75_Faxjuv145, |
|  |  |  | AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, KT282396-KT282407, |
|  |  |  | KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | No | NA | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995- |
|  |  |  | JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.
Consensus
Identity

1. Astacus astacus_JN254670
2. Pontastacus leptodactylus_」Q421472
3. Orconectes juvenilis_AF474352_
4. Orconectes rusticu__AY701249
5. Orconectes limosus_JF437992
6. Procambarus clarkiil_N000900
7. Procambarus fallax_HM358011
8. Pacifastacus leniusculus leniusculus_JF437995


Figure 3.13. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the assay developed by Agersnap et al. (2017). Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.


Figure 3.14. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the Pon-lep_co1_F03+Ponlep_co1_R03+Ponlep_co1_P03-assay developed in the present study. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes: Ponlep_CO1_F01: 3'-TGCAGGGGCTTCTGTAGATT-5', Ponlep_CO1_F02: 3'-GGGGTGTAGGAACTGGATGA-5', Ponlep_CO1_F03: 3'-TTTGGGACTTGAGCAGGAAT-5', Ponlep_CO1_P02: 3-FAM-'GTTTATCCTCCCC-TAGCATCAGCTA-BHQ1-5', Ponlep_CO1_P03: 3-FAM-'TGGGAACCTCTTTAAGAATAATTATTCG-BHQ1-5', Ponlep_CO1_R01: 3'-AAATTGACCGCCCCTAAAAT-5', Ponlep_CO1_R03: 3'-CTGGTTGTCCGAGTTCAACA5', Ponlep_CO1_R04: 3'-TCTTCCTGGTTGTCCGAGTT-5', Ponlep_CO1_R05: 3'-CTTCCTGGTTG-TCCGAGTTC-5', Astlepl_COI_F0336: 3’-AACTAGGGGTATAGTAGAGAG-5', AstlepI_COI_P0357: 3-FAM-'AGGAGTAGGGACCGGATGAACT-BHQ1-5', AstlepI_COI_R0397: 3'-CTGATGCTAAAGGGGGATAA-5', AstlepIII_COI_F0336: 3'-AACTAGAGGTATAGTAGAGGG-5', AstlepIII_COI_P0357: 3-FAM-'GGGTGTAGGAACTGGATGAACC-BHQ1-5', AstlepIII_COI_R0397: 3'-CTGATGCTAGGGGAGGATAA-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

Using the Agersnap et al. (2017) assay did not return any specific amplification (Figure 3.15 and 3.16). The newly designed assay developed in the present study resulted in amplification of two replicates of Astacus leptodactylus subclade I and subclade III at a Cq of 24 and 26, the replicates of Astacus
leptodactylus subclade II amplified at Cq 34 and 35 (Figure 3.17). None of the native species amplified with this primer-probe assay.

The designed eDNA target assay for Astacus leptodactylus is expected to only amplify DNA from the target species when tested on laboratory or environmental water samples.


Figure 3.15. Amplification of Astacus leptodactylus subclade I species using the Agersnap et al. (2017) assay. This assay test failed in amplifying any DNA from any species of crayfish. The other colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].


Figure 3.16. Amplification of Astacus leptodactylus subclade III species using the Agersnap et al. (2017) assay. This assay failed in amplifying any DNA from any species of crayfish. The colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].


Figure 3.17. Amplification of Astacus leptodactylus subclade I, II and III (Astacus leptodactylus) species using the F03+R03+P03 assay developed in this study that targets the mtDNA-CO1 region. The orange (4773), the yellow-green (4777) and the green (4847) amplification plot lines represent amplification on samples from Astacus leptodactylus (Danish common name: Galizisk sumpkrebs1), Astacus leptodactylus (Danish common name: Galizisk sumpkrebs2), Astacus leptodactylus (Danish common name: Galizisk sumpkrebs3), respectively. The other colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Astacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Astacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Astacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].

### 3.4 Species no. krebs_04: Procambarus clarkii

Binomial nomenclature and author: Procambarus clarkii (Girard, 1852) - see figure 3.18.

English common name: Danish common name:

Red swamp crayfish/ Louisiana crayfish Louisiana flodkrebs


Figure 3.18. Procambarus clarkii. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
Procambarus clarkii is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.18). A specific assay was developed and tested by Treguier et al. (2014) (Figure 3.19 and Table 3.10). For this study the same assay was tested again.

ProCla_F 5'-AACTAGGGGTATAGTTGAGAG-3'
ProCla_R 5'-CAGAAGCTAAAGGAGGATAA-3'
ProCla_P 5'-FAM-AGGAGTTGGAACAGGATGGACT-BHQ1-'3

In addition, a four-primer probe assay was designed and tested on DNA extracted from other species of crayfish (Table 3.12), and one assay was found to be more specific and returning a relatively higher fluorescence (Figure 3.20 and Table 3.11).

Table 3.10. Previous developed primers and probes specific for P. clarkii (Treguier et al., 2014).

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Procambarus clarkii | mtDNA-co1 | 65 bp |  |  |
| ProCla_F | 5'-AACTAGGGGTATAGTTGAGAG-3' | 49.6 | 21 | 42.9 |
| ProCla_R | 5'-CAGAAGCTAAAGGAGGATAA-3' | 51.6 | 20 | 40.0 |
| ProCla_P | 5'-FAM-AGGAGTTGGAACAGGATGGACT-BHQ1-'3 | 61.3 | 22 | 50.0 |

Table 3.11. Primers and probes specific for P. clarkii designed and tested in the present study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Procambarus clarkii | mtDNA-co1 | 203 bp |  |  |
| Procla_co1_F04 | 5'-GCGGGAGCATCTGTAGATTT-3' | 59.3 | 20 | 50.0 |
| Procla_co1_R04 | 5'-ATAGCTCCTGCCAACACAGG-3' | 60.3 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Procla_co1_P04 | 5'-FAM-ACGAACAGTAGGGATAACCATGGAT-BHQ1-3' | 63.0 | 25 | 44.0 |

Table 3.12. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005-JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | ```151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428``` |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | No | No | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | Yes | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.

```
Consensus
1. Astacus astacus_JN254670
2. Pontastacus leptodactylus_\Q421472
3. Orconectes juvenilis_AF474352_
4. Orconectes rusticu__AY701249
5. Orconectes limosusJF437992
6. Procambarus clarkiiJN000900
7. Procambarus fallax_HM358011 
```



Figure 3.19. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the species-specific assay developed and tested by Tregiuer et al. (2014). Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.


Figure 3.20. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the fragment where the spe-cies-specific Procla_co1_F04+Procla_co1_R04+Procla_co1_P04-assay developed in the present study binds. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes: Procla_CO1_F02: 3'-ATTGGTGGGTTTGGAAATTG-5', Procla_CO1_F04: 3'-GCGGGAGCATCTGTAGATTT-5', Procla_CO1_F05: 3'-AGGGATAACCATGGATCGAA-5', Procla_CO1_P01: 3-FAM-'CAGGATGGACTGTTTATCCTCCTTT-BHQ15', Procla_CO1_P04: 3-FAM-'ACGAACAGTAGGGATAACCATGGAT-BHQ1-5', Procla_CO1_R01: 3'-CGCATGAGCAATAGCAGAAG-5', Procla_CO1_R03: 3'-TCCATCCTGTTCCAACTCCT-5', Procla_CO1_R04: 3'-ATAGCTCCTGCCAACACAGG-5', ProCla_F: 3'-AACTAGGGGTATAGTTGAGAG-5', ProCla_P: 3-FAM-'AGGAGTTGGAACAGGATGGACT-BHQ1-5', ProCla_R: 3'-CAGAAGCTAAAGGAGGATAA-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report.

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Procambarus clarkii amplified at a Cq of 21 and 21 (Figure 3.21) when the previously published assay was tested (Treguier et al., 2014), but also returned amplification for DNA extracted from Faxonius juvenilis. The additional primer probe assays developed and tested in the present study (Figure 3.22) all returned species-specific amplification. Among the four primer and probe combinations tested (Figure 3.22a-d) the assay combination:

Procla_co1_F04+Procla_co1_R04+Procla_co1_P04 (Figure 3.22d) returned the lowest Ct value and highest relative fluorescence.


Figure 3.21. Amplification of Procambarus clarkii species using the Treguier et al. (2014) assay. Target species Procambarus clarkii is shown in magenta (Procla144) and purple (Procla139). This assay also amplifies DNA from Faxonius juvenilis (Faxjuv146 and Faxjuv137) cyan curves. The other colors represent '.0' the negative control, 'Faxjuv146' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxjuv137' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim138' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Procla139' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Oroimm' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], '4810' Astacus astacus [Flodkrebs3], '4773' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs1], '4847' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs2], '4777' Pontastacus leptodactylus [Galizisk sumpkrebs3], '5691' Pacifastacus leniusculus [Signalkrebs1].
ampl_plot_qpcr731_Procal_20190805.xls


Figure 3.22. Amplification of Procambarus clarkii species using the four species-specific primer and probe combinations designed in this study (a-d). Among the four primer combinations tested the combination of Procla_co1_F04+Procla_co1_R04+Procla_co1_P04 (d) returned the lowest Ct value and highest relative fluorescence. This assay was thus selected as the most specific for this study. The other colors represent: NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_ crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs].

### 3.5 Species no. krebs_05: Procambarus fallax

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Binomial nomenclature and author: } & \text { Procambarus fallax (Hagen, 1870) - see figure 3.23. } \\ \text { English common name: } & \text { Marbled crayfish } \\ \text { Danish common name: } & \text { Marmorkrebs }\end{array}$


Figure 3.23. Procambarus fallax. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.

Procambarus fallax is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.23). A specific assay was developed and tested for this project (Figure 3.24 and Table 3.13) and tested on DNA extracted from other species of crayfish (table 3.14).

Profal_co1_F01 5'-AGTTGAGAGGGGAGTAGGAAC-3'
Profal_co1_R015'-AGTTATACCAGCTGCCCGTA-3'
Profal_co1_P01 5'-FAM-AACTGTTTATCCTCCTTTAGCTTCTGC-BHQ1-3'

Table 3.13. Assay developed during this study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Procambarus fallax | $m t D N A-c o 1$ | 181 bp |  |  |  |
| Profal_co1_F01 | 5'-AGTTGAGAGGGGAGTAGGAAC-3' |  | 56.5 | 21 | 52.4 |
| Profal_co1_R01 | 5'-AGTTATACCAGCTGCCCGTA-3' | 57.4 | 20 | 50.0 |  |
| Profal_co1_P01 | 5'-FAM-AACTGTTTATCCTCCTTTAGCTTCTGC-BHQ1-3' | 62.6 | 27 | 40.7 |  |

Table 3.14. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, <br> 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, <br> KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | Yes | No | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | Yes | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.24. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene for the Profal_co1_F01+Profal_co1_ R01+Profal_co1_P01 assay developed in the present study. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes:

Profal_co1_F01: 3'-AGTTGAGAGGGGAGTAGGAAC-5', Profal_co1_F02: 3'-
TTGGTGGGTTTGGGAATTGA-5', Profal_co1_F03: 3'-ACTGGGTGAACTGTTTATCCTCC-5', Profal_co1_F04: 3'-GCTCCAGATATAGCTTTCCCTCG-5', Profal_co1_F05: 3'-
TTCGGGTGGAGTTAGGTCAA-5', Profal_co1_P01: 3-FAM-'AACTGTTTATCCTCCTTTAGCTTCTGC-BHQ15', Profal_co1_P02: 3-FAM-'GCTCCAGATATAGCTTTCCCTCGAATA-BHQ1-5', Profal_co1_P04: 3-FAM-'ATATACGGGCAGCTGGTATAACTATG-BHQ1-5', Profal_co1_R01: 3'-AGTTATACCAGCTGCCCGTA-5', Profal_co1_R02: 3'-GGAGGATAAACAGTTCACCCAGT-5', Profal_co1_R03: 3'-
TCCATAGTTATACCAGCTGCCC-5', Profal_co1_R04: 3'-ACTGACCAAACAAATAGCGGT-5', Profal_co1_R05: 3'-AGTTCCTACTCCCCTCTCAACT-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Procambarus fallax amplified at a Cq of 24 and 25, the replicates of (Figure 3.25a). None of the native species amplified with this Profal_co1_F01+Profal_co1_R01+Profal_ co1_P01 (Figure 3.25a) primer-probe assay. One additional assay also returned species-specific amplification (Figure 3.25f). The designed eDNA target assay for Procambarus fallax is expected to only amplify DNA from the target species when tested on laboratory or environmental water samples.


Figure 3.25. Amplification of Procambarus fallax (magenta red line) species using the six speciesspecific primer and probe combinations designed and tested in this study ( $\alpha-f$ ). Among the six primer combinations tested the combination of Profal_co1_F01+Profal_co1_R01+Profal_co1_P01 (a) returned specific amplification at the lowest Ct value and highest relative fluorescence. This assay was thus selected as the most specific for this study. The other colors represent: NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs].

### 3.6 Species no. krebs_06: Faxonius juvenilis

Binomial nomenclature and author: Faxonius juvenilis (Hagen, 1870) - see figure 3.26.
English common name: Kentucky River crayfish
Danish common name: Kentucky River crayfish


Figure 3.26. Faxonius juvenilis. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
Faxonius juvenilis is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.26). A specific assay was developed and tested for this project (Figure 3.27 and Table 3.15) and tested on DNA extracted from other species of freshwater crayfish (Table 3.16).

| Orcjuv_co1_F06 | 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGA-3' |
| :--- | :--- |
| Orcjuv_co1_R09 | 5'-CCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTCTAC-3' |
| Orcjuv_co1_P06 | 5'-FAM-TGGGGGATTTGGTAACTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3' |

Table 3.15. Assay developed during this study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Faxonius juvenilis | mtDNA-co1 | 244 bp |  |  |
| Orcjuv_co1_F06 | 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGA-3' | 62.3 | 22 | 45.5 |
| Orcjuv_co1_R09 | 5'-CCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTCTAC-3' | 58.5 | 23 | 47.8 |
| Orcjuv_co1_P06 | 5'-FAM-TGGGGGATTTGGTAACTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3' | 68.6 | 28 | 42.9 |

Table 3.16. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | Yes | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, <br> 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, <br> KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | Yes | No | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.27. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes:

Orcjuv_co1_F01: 3'-CGGGGAGGTTAATTGGAGATGA-5', Orcjuv_co1_F02: 3'-GGGGCTTAACAGGGG-TAGTA-5', Orcjuv_co1_F03: 3'-GGATACCTCGGCGTTATTCAGA-5', Orcjuv_co1_F04: 3'-TCGGCGT-TATTCAGATTACCCA-5', Orcjuv_co1_F05: 3'-TCGAGTAGAGTTAGGTCAGCC-5', Orcjuv_CO1_F06: 3'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGA-5', Orcjuv_CO1_F08: 3'-AGGGGAATAGTAGAAAGAGGAGT-5', Orcjuv_CO1_F09: 3'-GCATTTGAGCTGGTATAGTAGGA-5', Orcjuv_co1_P04: 3-FAM-'TACCTACTTCAA-TAGAGTGGCAGCATT-BHQ1-5', Orcjuv_CO1_P06: 3-FAM-'TGGGGGATTTGGTAACTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-5', Orcjuv_CO1_P09: 3-FAM-'GAGTAGAGTTAGGTCAGCCGGGAAGGT-BHQ1-5', Orcjuv_co1_R01: 3'-AAAGCCATATCAGGTGCCCC-5', Orcjuv_co1_R02: 3'-ACGCCGAGGTATCCCATTAAG-5', Orcjuv_co1_R03: 3'-GGTGGAAAAGAATGCTGCCA-5', Orcjuv_co1_R04: 3'-AGTGTGATCAGCAGG-TGGAA-5', Orcjuv_co1_R05: 3'-TCACCCTGTTCCAACTCCTC-5', Orcjuv_CO1_R07: 3'-ACCCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTC-5', Orcjuv_CO1_R08: 3'-ACCCCTGCCAAATGTAACGA-5', Orcjuv_CO1_R09: 3'-CCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTCTAC-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Faxonius juvenilis amplified at a Cq of 25 (Figure 3.28b). Two additional assays also returned species-specific amplification (Figure 3.28a and c).


Figure 3.28. Amplification of Faxonius juvenilis species using different primers and probes Target species Faxonius juvenilis is shown in red and non-target sister species in other colors. The co1_F06-co1_R09-co1_P06 assay (b) is specific against only Faxonius juvenilis and returns amplification at the earliest Cq-treshold and gives the highest relative flourescense. The other colors represent: NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs]. Among the other primer probe assays tested two additional combinations also returns specificity (a and c), but with a slightly later onset of Ct.

### 3.7 Species no. krebs_07: Faxonius limosus

Binomial nomenclature and author: Faxonius limosus (Rafinesque, 1817) - see figure 3.29.
English common name: Spiny-cheek crayfish
Danish common name: Amerikansk flodkrebs


Figure 3.29. Faxonius limosus. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.

Faxonius limosus is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.29). A specific assay was developed and tested for this project (Figure 3.30 and Table 3.17) and tested on DNA extracted from other species of freshwater crayfish (Table 3.18).

Orclim_co1_F03
5'-GTTGGGTCAGCTGGGAAGTT-3'
Orclim_co1_R01 5'-GTCATTCCTGTGGCCCGTAT-3'
Orclim_co1_P03 5'-FAM-TGGAGGATTTGGTAATTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3'

Table 3.17. Assay developed during this study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Faxonius limosus | mtDNA-co1 | 411 bp |  |  |
| Orclim_co1_F03 | 5'-GTTGGGTCAGCTGGGAAGTT-3' | 61.5 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Orclim_co1_R01 | 5'-GTCATTCCTGTGGCCCGTAT-3' | 62.1 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Orclim_co1_P03 | 5'-FAM-TGGAGGATTTGGTAATTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-3' | 65.4 | 28 | 35.7 |

Table 3.18. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005-JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, 151_75_Faxjuv145, |
|  |  |  | AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, KT282396-KT282407, |
|  |  |  | KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | Yes | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | No | NA | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577,_EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995- |
|  |  |  | JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | Yes | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.30. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene. For the Orclim_co1_FO3, Orclim_co1_R01, and Orclim_co1_P03 primers and probe. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes:

Orclim_co1_F01: 3'-AGGGGCATCAGTGGATTTGG-5', Orclim_co1_F02: 3'-TAGAGTTGGGTCAGCTGGGA5', Orclim_co1_F03: 3'-GTTGGGTCAGCTGGGAAGTT-5', Orclim_co1_F04: 3'-TGGGACAGGGTGAACAGTGT-5', Orclim_co1_F05: 3'-CGAGTAGAGTTGGGTCAGCTG-5', Orclim_co1_P03: 3-FAM-'TGGAGGATTTGGTAATTGGTTAATTCCT-BHQ1-5', Orclim_co1_P04: 3-FAM-'CTCTCGCTTCTGCAATTGCTCATG-BHQ1-5', Orclim_co1_R01: 3'-GTCATTCCTGTGGCCCGTAT-5', Orclim_co1_R02: 3'-ACCCTGTCCCAACTCCTCTT-5', Orclim_co1_R03: 3'-AAAGCCATATCAGGTGCCCC-5', Orclim_co1_R04: 3'-CCAAATCCACTGATGCCCCT-5', Orclim_co1_R05: 3'-CACTGTTCACCCTGTCCCAA-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Faxonius limosus amplified at a Cq of 24 and 25, the replicates of (Figure 3.31). None of the native species amplified with this primer-probe assay.

The designed eDNA target assay for Faxonius limosus is expected to only amplify DNA from the target species when tested on laboratory or environmental water samples.


Figure 3.31. Amplification of Faxonius limosus species (Faxlim141) using the assay developed in this study. Target species Faxonius limosus is shown in orange and non-target sister species in other colors. The co1_FO3-co1_R05-co1_P02 assay (e) is specific against Faxonius rusticus and Faxonius limosus and returns amplification at the earliest Cq-treshold and gives the highest relative flourescense. 'NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs].

### 3.8 Species no. krebs_08: Faxonius rusticus

Binomial nomenclature and author: Faxonius rusticus (Girard, 1852) - see figure 3.32.
English common name:
Rusty crayfish
Rustfarvet flodkrebs


Figure 3.32. Faxonius rusticus. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.

Faxonius rusticus is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.32). A specific assay was developed and tested for this project (Figure 3.33 and Table 3.19) and tested on DNA extracted from other species of freshwater crayfish (Table 3.20).

Orcrus_co1_F03 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGAC-3'
Orcrus_co1_R02 5'-AAATCTACTGACGCCCCTGC-3'
Orcrus_co1_P02 5'-FAM-ACAGTGTATCCTCCTCTCGCTTCTGCA-BHQ1-3'
Table 3.19. Assay developed during this study

| Species | Gene | Size base pair (bp) | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ}$ C) Length (bp) GC (\%) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Faxonius rusticus | $m t D N A-c o 1 ~$ | 304 bp |  |  |
| Orcrus_co1_F03 | 5'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGAC-3' | 62.5 | 23 | 43.5 |
| Orcrus_co1_R02 | 5'-AAATCTACTGACGCCCCTGC-3' | 61.5 | 20 | 55.0 |
| Orcrus_co1_P02 | 5'-FAM-ACAGTGTATCCTCCTCTCGCTTCTGCA- | 69.3 | 27 | 51.9 |

Table 3.20. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplifica-Acc. number or sequence tion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, <br> 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, <br> KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | Yes | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | Yes | Yes | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | No | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.33. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene, with the species-specific primers and probe (Orcrus_co1_F03, Orcrus_co1_R02, Orcrus_co1_P02) mapped Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes:

Orcrus_CO1_F01: 3'-CGGGTAGAGTTAGGTCAGCC-5', Orcrus_CO1_F02: 3'-AGTGGAAAGAGGAGT-TGGAACA-5', Orcrus_CO1_F03: 3'-CGGGAAGGTTAATTGGAGATGAC-5', Orcrus_CO1_F04: 3'-GGGGAATAGTGGAAAGAGGAGT-5', Orcrus_CO1_F05: 3'-GGAATAGTGGAAAGAGGAGTTGGA-5', Orcrus_CO1_P01:3-FAM-'AATTCCTTTAATGTTAGGGGCGCCTGA-BHQ1-5', Orcrus_CO1_P02: 3-FAM-'ACAGTGTATCCTCCTCTCGCTTCTGCA-BHQ1-5', Orcrus_CO1_R01: 3'-CCTGTTCCAACTCCTCTTTCCA-5', Orcrus_CO1_R02: $3^{\prime}-A A A T C T A C T G A C G C C C C T G C-5 ', ~ O r c r u s \_C O 1 \_R 03: ~ 3 '-C C A C C C T G T T C C A A C T C C T C-~$ 5', Orcrus_CO1_R04: 3'-ACCCCGGCTAAATGTAACGA-5', Orcrus_CO1_R05: 3'-ACCTAAATCTACTGA-

## CGCCCC-5', Orusticus_COI_5F: 3'-CAGGGGCGTCAGTAGATTTAGGTAT-5', Orusticus_COI_5R: 3'-CAT-TCGATCTATAGTCATTCCCGTAG-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report.

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Faxonius rusticus amplified at a Cq of 22 and 22 (Figure 3.34). However, the primer combination is not specific for Faxonius rusticus alone, but also amplifies on DNA from Faxonius limosus at $\mathrm{Cq}>38$.


Figure 3.34. Amplification of Faxonius rusticus species using different primers and probes. Target species Faxonius rusticus is shown in green and non-target sister species in other colors. The co1_FO3-co1_R05-co1_P02 assay (e) is specific against Faxonius rusticus and Faxonius limosus and returns amplification at the earliest Cq-treshold and gives the highest relative flourescense. 'NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs].

### 3.9 Species no. krebs_09: Faxonius virilis

Binomial nomenclature and author: Faxonius virilis (Hagen, 1870) - see figure 3.35.
English common name:
Danish common name:
Virile crayfish
Viril krebs


Figure 3.35. Faxonius virilis. Photo provided by the Danish Environmental Protection Agency.
Faxonius virilis is non-indigenous in Europe (Figure 3.35). A specific assay was developed and tested for this project (Figure 3.36 and Table 3.21) ) and tested on DNA extracted from other species of freshwater crayfish (Table 3.22).

Faxvir_co1_F05 5'-CAGGAAGATTGATTGGGGACGA-3'
Faxvir_co1_R015'-GTTATCCCTGCAGCCCGTAT-3'
Faxvir_co1_P01 5'-FAM-TTGGAGGTTTCGGGAACTGGCTGATTC-BHQ1-3'
Table 3.21. Assay developed during this study.

| Species | Gene | Size base pair <br> $(\mathrm{bp})$ |  |  |  |  |  | Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) Length (bp) GC (\%) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 3.22. In vitro testing performed on DNA extracted from tissue samples and nucleotide sequences used for design and alignment of primers.

| Related species | Tested | Amplification | Acc. number or sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astacus astacus | Yes | No | GU727619, JN254659-JN254681, 151_76_4810 |
| Astacus leptodactylus | Yes | No | MF288079-MF288086 |
| Cherax destructor | No | NA | KJ950555, KM039112 |
| Cherax quadricarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Cherax quinquecarinatus | No | NA | NA |
| Faxonius immunis | Yes | No | 151_70_Oroimm, 151_72_Oroimm142, JF438005JF438006 |
| Faxonius juvenilis | Yes | No | 151_66_Faxjuv146, 151_67_Faxjuv137, <br> 151_75_Faxjuv145, AF474352, AY701233, JF437985, <br> KT282396-KT282407, KT282419-KT282428 |
| Faxonius limosus | Yes | No | JF911554, 151_68_Faxlim138, 151_71_Faxlim141 |
| Faxonius rusticus | Yes | No | AY701249, KX238168, AY701248-AY701249 |
| Faxonius virilis | Yes | Yes | FJ608577, EU442743 |
| Pacifastacus fortis | No | NA | NA |
| Pacifastacus leniusculus | Yes | No | AF525226-AF525227, MF288087, JF437999, JF437995JF437998, JF438000, 151_80_5691 |
| Procambarus clarkii | Yes | No | 151_69_Procla139, 151_74_Procla144 |
| Procambarus fallax | Yes | No | 151_73_Profal143 |

* Additional sequences from each species and additional not listed species were used in the alignment for increased accuracy and diversity coverage within and among other crayfish species.


Figure 3.36. Alignment of crayfish species for the mtDNA-co1 gene, with the species-specific primers and probe (Faxvir_co1_F05, Faxvir_co1_R01, Faxvir_co1_P01) mapped. Primers are marked with green annotations. The probe is indicated with a red annotation. Sequences were obtained acquired from NCBI GenBank and aligned in Geneious v. R7.

## Primers and probes tested

The following primers and probes were in silico designed and tested in vitro in PCR reactions set up as 'PCR setup 01' to find a species-specific combination of primers and probes:

Faxvir_CO1_F01: 3'-CGAGTAGAGTTAGGCCAGCC-5', Faxvir_CO1_F02: 3'-ATACGGGCTGCAGGGATAAC5', Faxvir_CO1_F03: 3'-AGCCAGGAAGATTGATTGGGG-5', Faxvir_CO1_F04: 3'-CGGGCTGCA-GGGATAACTAT-5', Faxvir_CO1_F05: 3'-CAGGAAGATTGATTGGGGACGA-5', Faxvir_CO1_P01: 3-FAM-'TTGGAGGTTTCGGGAACTGGCTGATTC-BHQ1-5', Faxvir_CO1_P02: 3-FAM-'CGTATACCGTTATTT-GTTTGGTCAGTGT-BHQ1-5', Faxvir_CO1_R01: 3'-GTTATCCCTGCAGCCCGTAT-5', Faxvir_CO1_R02: 3'-CTCCAGCTAAAACGGGCAAAG-5', Faxvir_CO1_R03: 3'-ATAGTTATCCCTGCAGCCCG-5', Faxvir_CO1_R04: 3'-GATCCCCACCACCTGCAG-5', Faxvir_CO1_R05: 3'-ATCCCTGCAGCCCGTATATT-5'.

The initial PCR results from the test performed using these primers are not included in this report.

## Assay specificity results

The two replicates of Faxonius virilis amplified at a Cq of 28 (Figure 3.37). The primer combination F05-R01-P01 is specific for Faxonius virilis.


Figure 3.37. Amplification of Faxonius virilis species using different primers and probes Target species Faxonius virilis is shown in green and non-target sister species in other colors. The co1_F05-co1_R01co1_P01 assay (f) is specific against Faxonius virilis and returns amplification at the earliest Cqtreshold and gives the highest relative flourescense. The other colors represent: 'NTC' the negative control, 'Faxjuv145' Faxonius juvenilis [Kentucky_River_crayfish], 'Faxlim141' Faxonius limosus [spinycheek_crayfish], 'Faxrus193' Faxonius rusticus [rusty_crayfish], 'Faxvir187' Faxonius virilis [virile_crayfish], 'Oroimm142' Faxonius immunis [calico_crayfish], 'Procla144' Procambarus clarkii [Lousianna_flodkrebs], 'Profal143' Procambarus fallax [marmorkrebs].

## 4 Discussion and conclusions

Apart from the assay developed against Faxonius rusticus, all nine assays presented are specific for the intended target species alone. The assay developed for Faxonius rusticus can be considered spe-cies-specific when a Ct-cut off is set at 35 cycles.

The species-specific assays presented by Agersnap et al. (2017) and Tregiuer et al. (2014) were found to perform with an insufficient specificity (Fig. 3.4, 3.10, 3.15, 3.16 and 3.21) when compared to the assays developed in the present study (Fig. 3.5, 3.6, 3.11, 3.17 and 3.22).

Optimal concentrations for individual reactions in a qPCR setup should be determined for both primers and for the probe for each of the assays before a large-scale analysis of filtered water samples is attempted. Inferring optimal concentrations will ensure that primers and probes can detect very low levels of eDNA in water samples. How low can be determined with qPCR tests with inclusion of standard dilution series that will make it possible to determine the limit of detection (LOD) and the limit of quantification (LOQ), see the study by Agersnap et al. (2017) and Knudsen et al. (2019) for details on the methodology.

The present study aimed at being able to detect eDNA from the non-indigenous Procambarus fallax (Hagen, 1870). However, in 2017, this freshwater crayfish was found to be a species complex comprised of two species - i.e. Procambarus fallax (Hagen, 1870) and Procambarus virginalis (Lyko, 2017). The primers and probes designed to be specific against Procambarus fallax were designed from nucleotide sequences deposited on NCBI GenBank prior to 2017. This means that the speciesspecific primers designed and tested against Procambarus fallax in the present study also can be considered capable of detecting eDNA from Procambarus virginalis. The primers and probes tested in this study on tissue from Procambarus fallax must be considered unable to distinguish between Procambarus fallax and Procambarus virginalis. Because of this the primers and probe designed for Procambarus fallax in this study, will return positive qPCR amplifycation on eDNA from both Procambarus fallax and Procambarus virginalis, and will not be able to determine whether the eDNA detected stems from Procambarus fallax or Procambarus virginalis. Since both species are considered nonindigenous in Scandinavian freshwater streams this issue can be considered irrelevant.

In initial tests performed in other laboratories in Denmark (Eurofins and Amphi Consult) the new assays targeting Astacus astacus and Pacifastacus leniusculus was inable to amplify on the positive DNA controls prepared by the MONIS project. However, secondary tests using a standard dilution series of positive controls was not able to reproduce the failure in these two assays, as seen by Eurofins and Amphi Consult. The additional tests performed on these two assays included four replicates of positive controls comprised of DNA extracted from two different individuals of both Astacus astacus and Pacifastacus leniusculus. As these two assays appear to have failed in successfully amplifying the positive controls in two external laboratories it is recommended that the positive controls are monitored closely, when these two assays are used.

The pathogenic fungus Aphanomyces astaci (i.e. crayfish plague) is considered a considerable threat to the survival of the endemic populations of Astacus astacus (i.e. Danish common name: 'flodkrebs'), in relation to this treat from Aphanomyces astaci it is worth noting that a recent study detected eDNA from Procambarus virginalis in Northern Germany and also found Aphanomyces astaci (i.e. crayfish plague) to be absent whenever Procambarus virginalis was detected (Mauvisseau et al., 2019).

We conclude as follows:

- Of the many primer- probe combinations tested for each of the nine species of freshwater crayfish, a species-specific combination was found for each species.
- The species-specific primers developed and tested for Astacus astacus, Astacus leniusculus, Pontastacus leptodactylus and Procambarus clarkii in this study, appear to be more sensitive to low levels of eDNA and to perform better than the species-specific primers and probes previously published (Agersnap et al., 2017 and Treguier et al., 2014).
- The nine species-specific primer probe systems presented in this report can be used for monitoring freshwater crayfish occurrences in Northern Europe but will require further testing if they are to be used on water samples collected outside Northern Europe. Such further testing will help to infer whether primers and probes reported in this study also are species-specific when used for freshwater samples collected other parts of Europe?, where species tested might have differences in the gene region targeted by the primers and probes designed and tested in this study.
- The two new assays for Astacus astacus and Pacifastacus leniusculus must be monitored carefully for the positive controls when used, in case the inability to make these two assays work in external laboratories is a continuous problem. As the laboratory in Copenhagen have been unable to reproduce this failure in these two assays, we still recommend applying these two assays for detection of Astacus astacus and Pacifastacus leniusculus.

Further, we suggest carrying out a number of follow-up activities:

- The sensitivity of each of the developed specific assays is influenced by the concentrations of each of the reagents in the qPCR set up. The performance of each assays the and ability to detect the eDNA targeted can be increased by making sure the primers and probes are added to each reaction in optimal concentrations. This will ensure that even low levels of targeted eDNA is possible to detect in the qPCR setup. The optimal concentrations for the primers can be inferred from a relatively simple qPCR setup that checks how a gradient in concentrations of added primer and probe influences the performance of detection. Such a test of optimal concentrations is performed on known concentrations of positive controls to be able to compare the efficiency of the amplifications on the gradient of the primers. A test that infers the optimal concentrations of the primers can be performed as described in previous studies on species specific eDNA detection (Agersnap et al., 2017; Knudsen et al., 2019). To increase sensitivity and performance of the primers and probes presented in this report, it is strongly recommended that the optimal concentration in final qPCR reaction volumes is determined for each primer and probe, before any tests are performed on filtered water samples.
- Furthermore, it is strongly recommended that all tests performed on filtered water samples using these primer- and probe combinations are performed with the inclusion of a standard dilution series of a dsPCR amplicon that can serve as both positive control, but also will help evaluate the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ). For further details on setting up such standard dilution series we here refer to previous methods (Agersnap et al., 2017; Knudsen et al., 2019) and for inferring LOD and LOQ we refer to previous published studies on analysis of eDNA levels inferred with qPCR (Ellison et al., 2016 and Ficotela et al., 2014).
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