Accepted Manuscript

This is an Accepted Manuscript of the following article:

Jonathann Yukio Arakaki, Fernando Rafael De Grande, Alexandre Luiz Arvigo, Juan Carlos Farias Pardo, Bruno Rafael Fogo, Fábio H.C. Sanches, Caio Akira Miyai, Murilo Zanetti Marochi, Tânia Marcia Costa. Battle of the borders: Is a range-extending fiddler crab affecting the spatial niche of a congener species? Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology. Volume 532, 2020, 151445, 0022-0981.

The article has been published in final form by Elsevier at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2020.151445

© 2020. This manuscript version is made available under the

CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license

http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

1	Battle of the borders: is a range-extending fiddler crab affecting the spatial niche					
2	of a congener species?					
3	Jonathann Yukio Arakaki ^a , Fernando Rafael De Grande ^{a,b*} , Alexandre Luiz Arvigo ^{a,b}					
4	Juan Carlos Farias Pardo ^{a,b,c,d} , Bruno Rafael Fogo ^{a,b} , Fábio H. C. Sanches ^{b,e} , Caio Akira					
5	Miyai ^{a,f} , Murilo Zanetti Marochi ^a , Tânia Marcia Costa ^{a,b,f}					
6						
7	^a Biosciences Institute, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Coastal Campus, 11330-					
8	900, São Vicente, SP, Brazil.					
9	^b Postgraduate Program in Biological Sciences (Zoology), Biosciences Institute, São					
10	Paulo State University (UNESP), 18618-000, Botucatu, SP, Brazil.					
11	^c University of Agder (UiA), Center for Coastal Research, NO-4604 Kristiansand,					
12	Norway					
13	^d Norwegian Institute for Water Research (NIVA), Jon Lilletuns vei 3, 4879, Grimstad,					
14	Norway					
15	^e Institute of Marine Science, Federal University of São Paulo (IMar/UNIFESP), 11070-					
16	102, Santos, SP, Brazil.					
17	^f Aquaculture Center (CAUNESP), São Paulo State University (UNESP), Jaboticabal, SP,					
18	14884-900, Brazil.					
19	*Corresponding author: Biosciences Institute, São Paulo State University (UNESP),					
20	Coastal Campus, Praça Infante Dom Henrique, S/N, Parque Bitarú. ZIP code: 11330-900,					
21	São Vicente, SP, Brazil. Phone: +55 13 3569 7116. E-mail address:					
22	frdegrande@gmail.com					
23						
24						
25						

26 Abstract

27 Climate change is drastically altering environmental conditions and resource 28 availability. Many organisms are shifting their distribution boundaries. Fiddler crabs, 29 for instance, are important ecosystem engineers in coastal environments that have been 30 extending their distribution range poleward. In this study, we evaluated the influence of 31 a range-extending species, *Leptuca cumulanta*, which has recently overlapped the 32 distribution of the resident species Leptuca uruguayensis. Through a set of field and 33 laboratory experiments, we characterised the degree of territorial overlap between L. 34 cumulanta and L. uruguayensis from the lower to upper intertidal zone in a mangrove 35 area. We also analysed whether the presence of *L. cumulanta* prevents habitat 36 choosiness or influences agonistic behaviours in L. uruguayensis in territorial fights. We 37 found that both species overlap territories at the same level in the intertidal zone. 38 However, we observed that both habitat choice and agonistic behaviours of L. 39 uruguayensis were unresponsive to the presence of L. cumulanta. The low interference 40 between recent heterospecific neighbours sharing the same space supports coexistence 41 of fiddler crabs L. uruguayensis and L. cumulanta in the early stage of overlapping. 42 43 **Keywords:** Leptuca uruguayensis, Leptuca cumulanta, interspecific interactions,

44 distribution, territory overlap

45 **1. Introduction**

46 Climate change and associated changes in temperature, hydrological regime, and 47 sea-level are altering global habitats and resources available for many living species 48 (Poff et al., 2002; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; Ernakovich et al., 2014). One of the 49 consequences of these phenomena is the change in the distribution of organisms (Dukes 50 and Mooney, 1999; Poff et al., 2002; Rahel and Olden, 2008). In response to global 51 warming, various species have spread their distribution to higher latitudes as conditions 52 become favourable for survival in these areas (Chen et al., 2011), including fishes 53 (Mandrak, 1989; Eaton and Scheller, 1996; Sharma et al., 2007), copepods (Rombouts 54 et al., 2009), polychaetes (Maximov, 2011), corals (Yamano et al., 2011), and fiddler 55 crabs (Rosenberg, 2018). All shifts in the distribution of taxa encounter established 56 niches and environmental conditions which in turn may determine winners and losers 57 (Somero, 2010).

58 The presence of a new species may change an established community structure 59 shifting species abundance and composition (Duckworth and Badyaev, 2007; Hejda et 60 al., 2009; Scheffel et al., 2018). One important factor in the context of territorial 61 expansion is the degree of phylogenetic proximity between range-extending species and 62 native species. The congener species are potentially more critical competitors for native 63 species since both tend to present a high niche overlap (Brown et al., 2002; Skálová et 64 al., 2013). For example, between congener bluebird species, the success of colonisation 65 by the range-extending Sialia mexicana was related to a higher degree of aggressiveness 66 over the native species S. currucoides (Duckworth and Badyaev, 2007). The population 67 of S. mexicana increased due to aggressiveness, whereas S. currucoides population 68 decreased over time, indicating that aggressiveness contributed to the successful 69 establishment of the arriving species in extending its territory (Duckworth and Badyaev,

2007). The degree of aggressiveness in range-extending species can determine success
over native species in obtaining resources (e.g., food or territory), which promotes
growth, survival, and reproduction in the establishment of territory (Hudina et al.,
2014). The intensity of agonistic interactions may force submissive competitors to
occupy less preferred habitats (Orians, 2000; Hudina et al., 2014). Thus, competitive
processes are important predictors to understand how species interactions will be
affected under a climate change scenario.

77 Fiddler crabs are a key group in estuaries due to their bioturbation activities 78 (McCraith et al., 2003; Reinsel, 2004; Smith et al., 2009; Natálio et al., 2017) and are 79 considered ecosystem engineers, modifying the environment and affecting the 80 availability of resources to other organisms (Jones et al., 1994; Reinsel, 2004; Smith et 81 al., 2009). Species from this group have the potential to be affected by alterations in 82 their habitat related to climate change (Sanches et al., 2018), spreading their distribution 83 borders and extending their territory range to higher latitudes (Johnson, 2014; 84 Rosenberg, 2018). For example, Uca princeps, originally found from Peru to Mexico, 85 recently extended their range into the state of California, USA (Rosenberg, 2018). The 86 main factor limiting distribution of the fiddler crab *Minuca pugnax* are cold water 87 temperatures, which negatively affect larval development (Sanford et al., 2006). An 88 increase of 1.3 °C in the mean oceanic water temperature from 2012 to 2013 was 89 accompanied by expansion of *M. pugnax* distribution 80 km to the north (Johnson, 90 2014). Thus, it is plausible to suppose that global warming may allow other fiddler crab 91 species to extend their occurrence, likely resulting in territorial overlap between 92 congener species.

Fiddler crab burrows are one of the most valuable resources for this group, and
crabs will aggressively defend it against floaters (individuals that have lost or

95 abandoned their burrows) (Crane, 1975). Losing a burrow will impose several costs to 96 the evicted tenant associated with mating success, exposure to predation, and increasing 97 dehydration (Munguia et al., 2017). Fiddler crab males have one major claw, which is 98 used in reproductive displays to court females or in agonistic behaviours towards other 99 males. In this case, agonistic movements are categorised by intensity level (e.g., 100 touching/pushing, grabbing, or throwing an opponent) and are employed in fights 101 according to the type of opponent (neighbour/floaters) or motivation to fight 102 (Booksmythe et al., 2010a, Fogo et al., 2019). Under competitive pressure from a 103 newly-settled fiddler crab congener, we expected that native species would increase their investment in agonistic behaviours, which would decrease the amount of time 104 105 invested in feeding and reproduction.

106 The fiddler crab L. cumulanta is widespread in the western Atlantic Ocean 107 (Thurman et al., 2013). Its occurrence was originally reported from Venezuela to the 108 northeastern coast of Brazil (Paraíba, 6°46'S, 34°56'W) (Crane, 1975), and then 109 extended to the Brazilian southeastern coast (Rio de Janeiro, 23°13'S, 44°43'W) (Fig. 110 1); thus, it is already considered an extended species (Thurman et al., 2013). Recently, 111 L. cumulanta was observed at low density (0.14 ind./m²) at higher latitudes in the 112 southeast of Brazil, reaching into Santos/São Vicente estuary, São Paulo state (23°59'S, 113 46°24'W) (Checon and Costa, 2017). One possible explanation is that the sea surface 114 temperatures off the southeast coast of Brazil are influenced by the Brazilian Current, a 115 western boundary current responsible for transferring warm tropical waters to the mid-116 latitudes, which showed a warming trend of 1.93 ± 0.28 °C between 1950 and 2008 117 (Wu et al., 2012).

Concurrent with the expansion of *L. cumulanta* due to increasing temperatures,
we have observed an increase in its density in Santos/São Vicente estuary. This newly-

120 colonised mangrove area was already inhabited by several fiddler crab species, 121 including the congener species *Leptuca uruguayensis*, whose distribution in the 122 intertidal zone would be overlapped by L. cumulanta (Checon and Costa, 2017). 123 Leptuca uruguayensis occurs from Rio de Janeiro on the southeast coast of Brazil 124 (22°51'S, 42°02'W) to Buenos Aires in Argentina (37°45'S, 57°28'W) (Spivak et al., 125 1991; Thurman et al., 2013; Truchet et al., 2019). The southern distribution range of L. 126 *cumulanta* now overlaps the northern boundary of L. *uruguayensis* in Rio de Janeiro 127 and São Paulo state. However, there is no information about the competitive potential 128 between these two species. Considering they are congener species (Shih et al., 2016) and show pronounced agonistic interactions (Fogo et al., 2019), we aimed to investigate 129 130 whether the presence of L. cumulanta has affected the intertidal distribution of the 131 resident species L. uruguayensis. If the range-extending species is a strong competitor 132 and overlaps the territories of resident species at the same level in the intertidal zone, we 133 expect that L. uruguayenis will avoid, or be excluded from habitats where L. cumulanta 134 are present due to the potential agonistic behaviour of L. cumulanta. Based on the above 135 statement, we evaluated the distribution of L. uruguayensis and L. cumulanta in the 136 same level of intertidal zone to test the following hypotheses: (1) the presence of L. 137 cumulanta prevents the selection of habitat by L. uruguayensis and (2) the presence of 138 L. cumulanta increases the number of agonistic interactions of L. uruguayensis.

139

140 **2. Material and Methods**

141 **2.1 Experimental sites and study species**

Both *L. uruguayensis* and *L. cumulanta* were observed and manually collected in the Santos/São Vicente estuary, city of Praia Grande – SP, Brazil (Fig. 1), where they occur in the intertidal zone along the edges of estuarine systems. *Leptuca cumulanta* occurs predominantly in the intertidal region on sand/clay banks, whereas *L*.

146 uruguayensis inhabits sandy banks (Thurman et al., 2013; Checon and Costa, 2017). 147 The vegetation of this area is a typically Brazilian southwestern mangrove forest, 148 mainly composed of *Rhizophora mangle* Linnaeus (1753), *Laguncularia racemosa* (L.) 149 Gaertn (1807) and Avicennia schaueriana Stapf & Leechm (Cordeiro and Costa, 2010). 150 Tides are semi-diurnal, flooding the crabs' assembly during spring high tides. 151 We tested our hypotheses using both field and laboratory approaches. We chose 152 an area in Santos/São Vicente estuary (23°59'S, 46°24'W) and sampled three different 153 sites (approximately 100 m² each and 25-m distant from each other) from the same crab 154 population. We selected three different sites to ensure independence among experiments (Fig. 1). Only males were used in the manipulative experiments to standardise the 155 156 response variables (proportion of choice between habitat and agonistic behaviour) since 157 agonistic interactions between male fiddler crabs are better described and more 158 noticeable (De Grande et al., 2018; Sanches et al., 2018; Fogo et al., 2019). Field 159 surveys were conducted in September 2017 and laboratory trials between July and 160 August 2018. Since this is the southernmost population of L. cumulanta reported, 161 individuals were deposited under museum collection numbers MZUSP 36438 to 162 MZUSP 36441 at the Museum of Zoology of the University of São Paulo, São Paulo, 163 Brazil.

164 2.2 Experimental design

In the first part of the study, we evaluated if the range-extending species *L. cumulanta* overlap the territorial areas of the resident species *L. uruguayensis*. First, we described the density of both species along the edges of different levels in the intertidal zone. If the range-extending species overlapped the niche of resident species, it presents a strong competitive potential, and we predicted that the presence of *L. cumulanta* would cause negative effects on *L. uruguayensis*. 171 In the second part of the study, we set up an experiment in the laboratory, based 172 on Olabarria et al. (2002), Underwood (2004), and De Grande et al. (2018), to verify if 173 L. uruguayensis would avoid choosing habitats occupied by L. cumulanta. Individuals 174 of L. uruguayensis were allowed to choose between two microhabitats, and their choice 175 was observed. Microhabitats offered included: an empty microhabitat, a microhabitat 176 occupied by L. cumulanta, and a microhabitat occupied by a conspecific competitor. 177 Since each focal crab could only choose between two options, left or right side of the 178 terrarium, we expect that they would always avoid the microhabitat occupied by any 179 species if there is an empty option due the lack of competitors. In addition, we also 180 expect they would always avoid the microhabitat occupied by L. cumulanta, 181 irrespectively of the other option. Thus, the choice of L. uruguayensis for the 182 microhabitats occupied by L. cumulanta could be explained if L. cumulanta is not able 183 to displace the resident species from its territory or limit access to resources. 184 In the third experiment, we evaluated the aggressiveness between the resident 185 and range-extending species in their natural habitat. For this, we measured the agonistic 186 interactions between pairs composed of conspecific and heterospecific opponents. If L. 187 *cumulanta* presents a high degree of aggressiveness, they may shift the resident L. 188 *uruguayensis* to a less preferred territory. 189 2.3 Description of the territorial distribution of Leptuca uruguayensis and Leptuca 190 cumulanta 191 To describe whether L. cumulanta share their territory with L. uruguayensis in 192 the same level of the intertidal zone, we observed their distribution during spring low 193 tides. We traced five transects in the intertidal region (site 1; Fig. 1), parallel to the 194 water line and separated by a distance of one metre. Transect 1 was made at the inferior 195 limit of the intertidal zone (close to the water at low tide); the other transects were 196 subsequently positioned at one-metre intervals toward land. Each transect was

197 composed of 10 quadrants of 70 x 70 cm distributed on the same topographic horizon,

198 with a distance of 15 cm between them. Every crab assembly was sampled from the

199 lower to the upper intertidal region encompassing the entire intertidal zone. We

200 excavated all burrows in each quadrant (sample units) and counted all L. uruguayensis

and *L. cumulanta* individuals to estimate their density.

202 2.4 Habitat selection by *Leptuca uruguayensis*

203 To evaluate if the presence of *L. cumulanta* modifies the habitat selection of *L.* 204 *uruguayensis*, we conducted a manipulative habitat-choice experiment in the laboratory. 205 The experiment consisted of presenting a combination of two options (on both sides of a 206 terrarium) from three microhabitats with different visual stimuli for a focal L. 207 uruguayensis male: (1) empty microhabitat, (2) microhabitat occupied by L. 208 uruguayensis, or (3) microhabitat occupied by L. cumulanta. We chose one reference 209 side from each combinations expecting the following hypothesis: 1) No preference 210 when the two sides present the same stimulus (0.5 of choice; Fig. 2: T1, T2, and T3); 2) 211 Preference for the reference side when it is empty (irrespectively of the competitor on 212 the other side) or when the reference side contains a conspecific and the other side 213 contain *L. cumulanta* (>0.5 of choice for the reference side: Fig. 2: T4, T5 and T6); 3) 214 Avoidance for the reference side when the opposite side is empty (irrespectively of the 215 competitor on the reference side), or when the reference side is compound by a 216 heterospecific stimulus and with conspecifics on the other side (<0.5 of choice for the 217 reference side; Fig. 2: T7, T8 and T9). As we always had a reference side, we presented 218 the same two microhabitats possibilities combined for the same terrarium to ensure the 219 independence of predictive variables, dividing into two treatments to compare crabs' 220 choice for each habitat separately (Olabarria et al., 2002; Underwood, 2004). For each 221 replicate stimuli was tested a group composed by four differently L. uruguayensis

222 males. We used as response variable both initial (when we released the focal crab in the 223 terrarium) and final proportion of microhabitat choices (15 minutes after the focal crab 224 was released in the terrarium) by L. uruguayensis groups. Thus, our experimental design 225 was composed by 76 males per treatment and 684 male crabs for all treatments (9 226 treatments x 19 replicates per treatment x 4 males per replicate). 227 We selected only adult males with carapace width (CW) 7-10 mm (Pralon and 228 Negreiros-Fransozo, 2008; Hirose et al., 2013) for both species (mean \pm SD; L. 229 *uruguayensis*: 8.89 ± 1.00 mm, *L. cumulanta* 9.23 ± 0.61 mm). Regarding the major

claw length, *L. uruguayensis* was 14.45 ± 2.59 mm and *L. cumulanta* was 14.49 ± 1.91

231 mm. We standardised the size of crabs since males with larger carapaces and chelipeds

232 present advantages in combat over individuals with smaller features (Jennions and

233 Backwell, 1996; Jaroensutasinee and Tantichodok, 2002).

234 Crabs were collected (site 2; Fig. 1) and kept for 12 h in individual containers 235 containing 10 ml of water (salinity 27) at 25.7 \pm 0.21 °C and fed with macerated 236 aquarium fish feed. We used a terrarium (40 x 10 x 20 cm in length, width, and height, 237 respectively) separated by a glass plate (10 x 10 cm, width and height) which created 238 two microhabitats (20 x 10 x 20 cm). The microhabitat area was based on the maximum 239 field density for L. uruguayensis, and thus each compartment was sufficient for each 240 crab establish its territory (De Grande et al., 2018). The terrarium was filled with 10 cm 241 of sediment (mean height of L. uruguayensis' burrows; Machado et al., 2013) from the 242 natural environment of both species. In total, we collected 360 litres of sediment from 243 the superficial region of overlap of both species' territories. We used a 1 mm mesh to 244 sift the sediment, removing coarse debris and benthic organisms. Then, we mixed and 245 homogenised the sediment for 15 min to prevent bias in granulometric composition as a 246 potential interference factor in choice. Based on Suguio's (1973) classification, a

247 granulometric analysis showed that the mean sediment composition used in the

experiments was 0.03% coarse sand, 0.98% medium sand, 53.94% fine sand, 41.03%
very fine sand, and 4.09% mud.

250 Individuals used as visual stimuli remained in the predetermined microhabitat 251 and visible above the sediment surface. To prevent crabs used as visual stimuli from 252 digging into their burrows, we glued a line to the carapace and attached it to a wooden 253 shaft (12 cm long) to be inserted in the substrate (Booksmythe et al., 2010b). Thus, 254 focal L. uruguayensis males could indeed recognise microhabitats occupied by a 255 conspecific or a heterospecific stimulus. We placed the crabs used as visual stimuli into 256 the microhabitats as described and acclimated them to the experimental conditions for 257 10 min. Males of L. uruguayensis were then reallocated in the terrarium for behavioural 258 analysis where they were able to observe both habitats and identify the visual stimulus. 259 For this, a PVC plate (6 x 6 cm) was placed in the centre of the terrarium, and to keep 260 the crab above the plate, we used a translucid plastic container (4 cm diameter) as a 261 barrier to prevent burrowing behaviour. Males were kept under these experimental 262 conditions for 10 min. After this step, we obtained the initial selection by removing the 263 barrier and observing (≈ 1 minute) which side L. uruguayensis moved toward (when 264 their body was 100% on one side of the terrarium, away from the PVC plate). Then, 265 after 15 min, we obtained the final selection by determining which side L. uruguayensis 266 chose to inhabit (crab usually dug burrows in the selected habitat). Lastly, the focal 267 male was removed from the terrarium, the sediment was rearranged, and another L. 268 uruguayensis male was inserted until the complete the group of four individuals were 269 tested.

271

272 the presence of *L. cumulanta*, we performed a field experiment where both species were 273 found and shared neighbouring territories (site 3; Fig. 1). We created three experimental 274 groups, each one composed of two male individuals in the following combinations: (1) 275 L. uruguayensis x L. uruguayensis (n = 23), (2) L. uruguayensis x L. cumulanta (n = 20)276 and (3) L. cumulanta x L. cumulanta (n = 19). We chose only neighbouring pairs where 277 there was no other crab between them to avoid direct interference from other 278 individuals. 279 To evaluate whether the number of agonistic interactions differs between 280 neighbouring pairs, we selected an agonistic behaviour characteristic of fiddler crab 281 interactions (Booksmythe et al., 2010a; Fogo et al., 2019): touch/push (using the surface 282 of the cheliped to touch/push the opponent). We selected only this behaviour because it 283 was the most representative agonistic interaction observed during fights. We also 284 observed grapple and flick/dig out behaviours, but we excluded them from analysis due 285 to low frequency or absence of these interactions. After selecting our focal crabs, we 286 positioned ourselves about one metre away, waited five minutes until activity resumed 287 and then evaluated their agonistic behaviour. We observed and recorded the number of

To test whether the agonist interactions of *L. uruguayensis* increase as a result of

touch/push behaviours for 10 minutes. At the end of each observation, we captured the
individuals and measured their major claw length and the distance between their

burrows.

291 **2.6 Statistical analyses**

We used Generalized Linear Models (GLM) in all analyses performed to test our hypotheses. Models were performed in R (R Core Team, 2018) using the package *MASS* (Venables and Ripley, 2002). To evaluate whether the habitat selection of *L*.

295 *uruguayensis* is biased by the presence of *L. cumulanta*, we compared the average

296 proportion in which the L. uruguayensis males selected one microhabitat-side of the 297 terrarium according to the treatment type. We used the choice proportion of a group of 298 L. uruguayensis (four males) at the beginning (initial choice) and the end of the 299 experiment (final choice). The proportion was expressed as the success of choosing the 300 terrarium side expected out of the total number of choices (i.e., cbind (choice in the 301 expected side, number total of choices) in R function. The treatment type (nine levels: 302 T1 to T9) was fitted as a fixed factor. Proportion data were fitted to a binomial 303 distribution with a logit link function.

304 To test whether the presence of the range-extending species L. cumulanta affects 305 the frequency that agonistic interactions were employed in fights, we used the count 306 data of touch/push movements as a response variable. The fighting group (three levels: 307 L. uruguayensis vs L. uruguayensis, L. uruguayensis vs L. cumulanta, and L. cumulanta 308 vs L. cumulanta) was fitted as a fixed factor. Size is an important trait determinant of 309 fight intensity in animal contests (Morrell et al., 2005). The distance between opponents 310 may also be indicative of territory size or foraging area, and due to that, may increase 311 animal territorial defense postures (Di Virgilio and Ribeiro, 2013). We used the claw 312 size difference (CSD) between opponents and the distance between the resident burrows 313 (DRB) as covariates in the models (both continuous variables). Counting data were 314 overdispersed (Zuur et al., 2013). We used a negative binomial error distribution with a 315 log link since it is appropriate to deal with overdispersion data (Zuur et al., 2013). We 316 analysed the count data as a subset of different GLM candidate models and compared 317 them using the Akaike information criterion adjusted for small sample size (AICc) (See 318 Table 1 for details). Then, we selected the models with the lowest \triangle AICc values and 319 higher Akaike weights, which could provide the best-estimated-predictive accuracies to 320 support our results (Burnham and Anderson, 1998). The statistical significance of the

factors was assessed by comparing the model with and without the factor included using
likelihood-ratio tests (LRT). Where relevant, post-hocs for all comparisons at different
levels between factors were performed using an *lsmeans* package (Lenth, 2016) with
Tukey's tests for multiple comparisons. We considered 95% as the significance level in
all analyses.

326 **3. Results**

327 **3.1 Territorial distribution**

328 In the field, the resident species *L. uruguayensis* showed a mean density of

329 11.87 ± 12.53 ind./m² and mean CW (carapace width) of 6.29 ± 2.76 . The population of

L. uruguayensis was composed of 49.14% females of which 11.8% were ovigerous.

331 *Leptuca cumulanta* showed a density of 2.93 ± 2.67 ind./m² and mean CW of $7.23 \pm$

332 3.03. The *L. cumulanta* population was composed of 43.83% females of which 12.5%

333 were ovigerous.

334 The higher densities of *L. cumulanta* were observed in the lower intertidal level

335 (Fig. 3: T1–T3), while higher densities of *L. uruguayensis* were found in the upper

intertidal level (Fig. 3: T3–T5). However, the distribution of the range-extending

337 species *L. cumulanta* overlapped the entire distribution of the resident species *L.*

338 *uruguayensis* (Fig. 3: T2–T5). Thus, both species occur in the same level of the

intertidal zone, composing a mixed assemblage of fiddler crabs. We observed the range-

340 extending and resident species living in neighbouring burrows.

341 **3.2 Habitat selection**

342 Males of *L. uruguayensis* did not show any pattern of microhabitat choice

343 regarding the presence of a conspecific or heterospecific stimulus (Fig. 4). Neither of

344 our hypotheses was corroborated and no significant differences were observed for initial

345 (GLM: $X_8^2 = 1.7314$, P = 0.98) and final selection (GLM: $X_8^2 = 1.3698$, P = 0.99) of

346 microhabitats according to each treatment type.

347 **3.3 Agonistic interaction**

348 We retained the first three models based on \triangle AICc and weights comparisons in 349 the final set (Table 1), regarding the relative strength of support of these models in 350 agonistic interactions between the fiddler crabs fights. The most parsimonious model 351 only included the fighting group as a fixed factor (AICc: 230.0, weights: 0.240; Table 352 1). There was a significant effect on the amount of touch/push behaviour depending on the fighting group type (GLM: fight group effect: $X_2^2 = 7.0006$, P < 0.05). Males 353 354 employed more touching/pushing movements in conspecific fights of L. uruguayensis than compared with conspecific fights between L. cumulanta males (Z = -2.586, P < -2.586) 355 356 0.05, Tukey post-hoc, Fig. 5). There was no difference in touch/push movements 357 employed between L. uruguayensis x L. uruguayensis treatment and L. uruguayensis x L. cumulanta treatment (Z= -1.479, P = 0.30, Fig. 5), as well as for fights between L. 358 359 cumulanta x L. cumulanta and L. uruguayensis x L. cumulanta (Z= -1.146, P = 0.48, 360 Fig. 5). The second predictive model (AICc: 230.6, weights: 0.181) contained the 361 fighting group as a fixed effect and the CSD as a covariate, but only the fighting group (GLM: fight group effect: $X_2^2 = 7.1935$, P < 0.05) had effect on males agonistic 362 behaviour, with no effect of CSD (GLM: CSD effect: $X_1^2 = 1.8220$, P = 0.17). The third 363 364 model (AICc: 231.3, weights: 0.125) contained the DRB as covariate, however, there 365 was no evidence of its effect on touch/pushing behaviour between the resident males (GLM: DRB effect: $X_1^2 = 2.9475$, P = 0.08). 366

367 **4. Discussion**

We tested whether the recent extension of *L. cumulanta* into the territory of *L. uruguayensis* could have ecological and behavioural effects on the native species. We found that *L. cumulanta* overlaps the entire distribution of *L. uruguayensis* in the intertidal zone, composing a mixed assembly of fiddler crabs. However, *L.*

372 *uruguayensis* males were unresponsive in habitat choice and agonistic behaviour to the 373 presence of L. cumulanta. Other biotic and abiotic factors such as temperature, 374 predation, quality of food resources, and mean grain size of sediment may play an 375 important role in fiddler crab distribution (Botto and Iribarne, 2000; Bouillon et al., 376 2002; Meziane et al., 2002; Sanford et al., 2006; Kon et al., 2007; Checon and Costa, 377 2017). These factors could be more determinant in the distribution of L. uruguavensis 378 than the presence of their congener L. cumulanta. Thus, our initial hypotheses were 379 refuted. Low interference between recent heterospecific neighbours sharing the same 380 habitat revealed no negative effects on habitat selection or agonistic interactions 381 between these fiddler crab species under this scenario-an early stage of species 382 overlap.

383 Herein we showed that the density of 11.87 ± 12.53 ind./m² of resident species 384 L. uruguayensis is similar to that described in previous studies conducted in the same 385 area of the present study (see Checon and Costa, 2017; De Grande et al., 2018). On the 386 other hand, the range-extending species L. cumulanta increased more than 20 times in 387 less than one decade, from 0.14 ind./m² in 2010 (Checon and Costa, 2017) to 2.93 388 ind./m² in 2017 (present work). We found 12.5% of ovigerous females in the L. 389 *cumulanta* population, which suggests it is well established and shows the potential to 390 increase in population density in the Santos/São Vicente estuary in the next few years. 391 Considering that the increase of the average global temperature can favour the 392 expansion of fiddler crabs into higher latitudes zones (Sandford et al., 2006; Johnson, 393 2014; Rosenberg, 2018), we suggest that L. cumulanta could reach southern latitudes. 394 Further studies should continue to monitor the expansion of this and other fiddler crab 395 species over spatial and temporal scales as well as their potential ecological impact in 396 newly established areas.

397 Considering territorial distribution, our field results showed that L. uruguayensis 398 overlapped territories and lived in habitats at the same level of the intertidal zone as L. 399 *cumulanta*, which corroborated the results from the laboratory experiment about habitat 400 selection. The presence of L. cumulanta as a stimulus did not influence the microhabitat 401 choice of L. uruguayensis, which chose where to dig their burrows regardless of the 402 presence of conspecific or heterospecific stimuli. Habitat avoidance is expected when 403 heterospecifics represent a strong competitor able to monopolise resources and, 404 consequently, dislodge inferior competitors to marginal areas and less desirable habitats 405 (Larson, 1980; Hudina et al., 2014). Since L. uruguayensis do not avoid habitats 406 occupied by L. cumulanta, the latter might not cause negative impacts on L. 407 uruguayensis behaviour.

408 In the habitat choice experiment, heterospecific and conspecific males were used 409 as stimuli but were contained so they were unable to dig a burrow during the 410 experiment. The burrow is a valuable resource to resident males, which demands time 411 and energy to dig or to repair after every tide. Floater males are more motivated to take 412 a burrow from resident males, staying longer in fights as compared to neighbouring 413 males (Jennions and Backwell, 1996; Cannicci et al., 1999; Fogo et al., 2019). Once in 414 the terrarium microhabitat where burrows were not available to focal males, they could 415 be indifferent to L. uruguayensis in choosing a side with a heterospecific or conspecific 416 presence, since neither side represented a great investment in terms of resource values. 417 Furthermore, our study was conducted in a scenario in which L. cumulanta showed a 418 low density, and their effects on L. uruguayensis may be dependent on density. In this 419 sense, future studies considering a scenario of higher densities of L. cumulanta will be 420 necessary to better understand the ecological interaction between species.

421 Males of L. uruguayensis did not increase touch/push behavior when its 422 neighbour was an L. cumulanta. This result suggests that L. uruguayensis males do not 423 adjust their aggressiveness or have no behavioral implication due to the presence of L. 424 *cumulanta*, an expansive, larger and less aggressive species. In other fiddler crab 425 species, maintaining a known neighbour might be less costly than renegotiating 426 territorial boundaries with a new neighbour, irrespectively of species (Sanches et al., 427 2018). Interactions between fiddler crabs may be due to the level of threat that an 428 individual represents rather than the species itself (heterospecific), which could explain 429 the non-influence of aggressiveness of L. cumulanta on L. uruguayensis in our study. The fact that fiddler crabs accept neighbours of other species suggests that they are able 430 431 to live in mixed assemblages and share the same physical niche (Clark and Backwell, 432 2017). The threat posed by an intruder is higher than between known neighbours 433 (Booksmythe et al., 2010a), and the fight between a resident x floater conspecific lasts 434 longer and is more intense than a resident x floater heterospecific (Fogo et al., 2019). 435 Thus, the expansion of latitudinal distribution of some species of fiddler crabs (see 436 Nabout et al., 2009; Johnson, 2014; Rosenberg, 2018) and the consequent overlapping 437 habitats-similar species may be able to occupy the same space-were evidenced 438 herein for L. cumulanta and L. uruguayensis. On the other hand, Sanches et al. (2018) 439 showed that living in mixed populations could be costly for Austruca mjoebergi because 440 males do not recognise the females of their own species, causing an impact in the social 441 and mating systems of this species. The less aggressive behaviour observed here for L. 442 cumulanta could indicate a limitation of expansion into areas occupied by L. 443 *uruguayensis*, a species that uses higher levels of aggressive behaviour. Therefore, the 444 conclusions of this study are restricted to two fiddler crab species in the context bias 445 mentioned above, where we analysed only one behavioural parameter. Agonistic

interactions can vary among fiddler crab species, so studies focusing territory range
expansion and their effects in resident congeners of other species under different
densities are also very relevant.

449 The impacts of climate change affect the distribution of various organisms, and 450 poleward expansions of species can alter the functional structure of newly colonised 451 communities due to interspecific competitive interactions (Kortsch et al., 2015). In the 452 Barents Sea, the poleward expansion of the cod *Gadus morhua* due to temperature 453 increase is associated with a decline of harp seals *Pagophilus groenlandicus* due to the 454 competition for prey between species (Bogstad et al., 2015). The potential competition 455 from the poleward extension of tropical reef corals Acropora hyacinthus and A. 456 *muricata* in Japan might cause the decline of five endemic coral species and change the 457 composition of the fish community (Yamano et al., 2011). An integrated assessment of 458 how species composition and habitats respond to competitive processes caused by 459 territorial shifts is needed, although there are a few studies on this topic (Dukes and 460 Mooney, 1999; Musolin, 2007; Walther et al., 2009). In some cases, the newly settled 461 species become essential for habitat functioning and local ecosystem services 462 (Pessarrodona et al., 2018). The poleward extension of the temperate kelps into marine 463 forest communities in the north-east Atlantic, for instance, increased the biomass 464 production, the flux of detritus and support higher densities of native invertebrate 465 grazers (Pessarrodona et al., 2018).

In summary, we demonstrated that *L. cumulanta* and *L. uruguayensis* are able to share habitat and live in mixed assemblages in the context of our study, thus presenting an early stage of overlapping species. The fact they share the same habitat and live in a mixed assemblage may not be disadvantageous for both species in a perspective of latitudinal expansion of species related to climate change. Other studies should be period or if sexual maturity is altered by the presence of *L. cumulanta*, the availability
of their food resources and in the context of increased density of populations of newly
established species. Alternatively, further evaluation of potential competitive
interactions between *L. uruguayensis* and *L. cumulanta* during early ontogenetic phases,
such as in settlement and juvenile stages, could improve our understanding of habitat
sharing by fiddler crabs.

performed to explore possible effects on resident species such as their reproductive

478 **5. Acknowledgments**

471

479 We would like to thank Dr. Hélio H. Checon and Msc. Ivan R. A. Laurino for 480 their valuable comments on this manuscript, Msc Marcelo Wendeborn Miranda de 481 Oliveira for his help in field data collection and the structural support from Coastal 482 Campus of São Vicente, of São Paulo State University (UNESP). This work was 483 developed during a course in estuarine systems offered by the PPG in Biological 484 Sciences under the coordination of Prof. Dr. Tânia M. Costa, Dr. Fábio H.C. Sanches, 485 and Dr. Caio A. Miyai. This research had permission from the System of Authorisation 486 and Information on Biodiversity (Sisbio), Brazilian Ministry of the Environment 487 (number: 62200-1). All applicable institutional and/or national guidelines for the care 488 and use of animals were followed. This work was financially supported by grant 489 #2015/50300-6, São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) (Costa, T.M.).

490 **6. Bibliographic references**:

Bogstad, B., Gjøsæter, H., Haug, T., Lindstrøm, U., 2015. A review of the battle
for food in the Barents Sea: cod vs. marine mammals. Front. Ecol. Evol. 3, 29.
<u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2015.00029</u>

- Booksmythe, I., Jennions, M.D., Backwell, P.R., 2010a. Investigating the 'dear
 enemy' phenomenon in the territory defence of the fiddler crab, *Uca mjoebergi*. Anim.
 Behav. 79, 419-423. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.11.020
- Booksmythe, I., Jennions, M.D., Backwell, P.R. 2010b. Interspecific assistance:
 fiddler crabs help heterospecific neighbours in territory defence. Biol. letters. 6, 748-750.
 Botto, F., Iribarne, O., 2000. Contrasting effects of two burrowing crabs
 (*Chasmagnathus granulata* and *Uca uruguayensis*) on sediment composition and
 transport in estuarine environments. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci. 51, 141-151.
 https://doi.org/10.1006/ecss.2000.0642
- 503 Bouillon, S., Koedam, N., Raman, A., Dehairs, F., 2002. Primary producers 504 sustaining macro-invertebrate communities in intertidal mangrove 505 forests. Oecologia. 130, 441-448. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100814
- Brown, B.J., Mitchell, R.J., Graham, S.A., 2002. Competition for pollination
 between an invasive species (purple loosestrife) and a native congener. Ecology. 83,
 2328-2336. https://doi.org/10.2307/3072063_
- 509 Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 1998. Practical use of the information-theoretic
- 510 approach, in: Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R. (Eds.), Model Selection and Inference.
- 511 Springer, New York, 75-117. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-2917-7_3</u>
- 512 Cannicci, S., Fratini, S., Vannini, M., 1999. Short-range Homing in Fiddler Crabs
- 513 (Ocypodidae, Genus *Uca*): A Homing Mechanism not Based on Local Visual Landmarks.
- 514 Ethology. 105, 867-880. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0310.1999.00453.x</u>
- 515 Checon, H.H., Costa, T.M., 2017. Fiddler crab (Crustacea: Ocypodidae)
- 516 distribution and the relationship between habitat occupancy and mouth appendages. Mar.
- 517 Bio. Res. 13, 618-629. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17451000.2016.1273530</u>

518	Chen, I.C., Hill, J.K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D.B., Thomas, C.D., 2011. Rapid
519	range shifts of species associated with high levels of climate warming. Science. 333,
520	1024-1026. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1206432
521	Clark, H.L., Backwell, P.R.Y., 2017. Territorial battles between fiddler crab
522	species. R. Soc. Open Sci. 4, 160621. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160621
523	Cordeiro, C.A.M.M., Costa, T.M., 2010. Evaluation of solid residues removed
524	from a mangrove swamp in the São Vicente Estuary, SP, Brazil. Mar. Pollut Bull. 60,
525	1762-1767. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.06.010
526	Crane, J., 1975. Fiddler Crabs of the World. Princeton University Press, New
527	Jersey
528	De Grande, F.R., Granado, P., Sanches, F.H.C., Costa, T.M., 2018. Organic matter
529	affects fiddler crab distribution? Results from field and laboratorial trials. Estuar. Coast.
530	Shelf. Sci. 212, 138-145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2018.07.005
531	Di Virgilio, A., Ribeiro, P.D., 2013. Spatial and temporal patterns in the feeding
532	behavior of a fiddler crab. Mar. Bio. 160, 1001-1013. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-
533	012-2153-9
534	Duckworth, R.A., Badyaev, A.V., 2007. Coupling of dispersal and aggression
535	facilitates the rapid range expansion of a passerine bird. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.
536	104, 15017-15022. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0706174104
537	Dukes, J.S., Mooney, H.A., 1999. Does global change increase the success of
538	biological invaders? Trends. Ecol. Evol. 14, 135-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-
539	5347(98)01554-7
540	Eaton, J.G., Scheller, R.M., 1996. Effects of climate warming on fish thermal
541	habitat in streams of the United States. Limnol. Oceanogr. 41, 1109-1115.

542 <u>https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1996.41.5.1109</u>

- Ernakovich, J.G., Hopping, K.A., Berdanier, A.B., Simpson, R.T., Kachergis,
 E.J., Steltzer, H., Wallenstein, M.D., 2014. Predicted responses of arctic and alpine
 ecosystems to altered seasonality under climate change. Glob. Change. Biol. 20, 32563269. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12568
- Fogo, B.R., Sanches, F.H., Costa, T.M., 2019. Testing the dear enemy relationship
 in fiddler crabs: Is there a difference between fighting conspecific and heterospecific
 opponents? Behav. Processes. 192, 90-96. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2019.02.001
- Hejda, M., Pyšek, P., Jarošík, V., 2009. Impact of invasive plants on the species
 richness, diversity and composition of invaded communities. J. Ecol. 97, 393-403.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01480.x
- 553 Hirose, G.L., Fransozo, V., Tropea, C., López-Greco, L.S., Negreiros-Fransozo, 554 M.L., 2013. Comparison of body size, relative growth and size at onset sexual maturity 555 of Uca uruguayensis (Crustacea: Decapoda: Ocypodidae) from different latitudes in the 556 U.K. 93, south-western Atlantic. J. Mar. Biol. 781-788. Assoc. 557 https://doi.org/10.1017/s0025315412001038
- Hudina, S., Hock, K., Žganec, K., 2014. The role of aggression in range expansion
 and biological invasions. Curr. Zool. 60, 401-409.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/czoolo/60.3.401</u>
- Jaroensutasinee, M., Tantichodok, P., 2002. Effects of size and residency on
 fighting outcomes in the fiddler crab, *Uca vocans hesperiae* (Decapoda, Brachyura,
 Ocypodidae). Crustaceana. 75, 1107-1117.
- 564 <u>https://doi.org/10.1163/156854002763270509</u>
- Jennions, M.D., Backwell, P.R., 1996. Residency and size affect fight duration and outcome in the fiddler crab *Uca annulipes*. Biol. J. Linn. Soc. 57, 293-306. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1996.tb01851.x</u>

- Johnson, D.S., 2014. Fiddler on the roof: a northern range extension for the marsh
 fiddler crab *Uca pugnax*. J. Crustac. Biol. 34, 671–673.
 https://doi.org/10.1163/1937240x-00002268
- Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M., Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M.,
 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos. 69, 373–386.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/3545850</u>
- Kon, K., Kurokura, H., Hayashizaki, K., 2007. Role of microhabitats in food webs
 of benthic communities in a mangrove forest. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 340, 55-62.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps340055</u>
- Kortsch, S., Primicerio, R., Fossheim, M., Dolgov, A.V., Aschan, M., 2015.
 Climate change alters the structure of arctic marine food webs due to poleward shifts of
 boreal generalists. Proc. R. Soc. B. 282, 20151546.
- 580 <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.1546</u>
- Larson, R.J., 1980. Competition, habitat selection, and the bathymetric segregation of two rockfish (*Sebastes*) species. Ecol. Monogr. 50, 221-239. https://doi.org/10.2307/1942480
- Lenth, R.V., 2016. Least-Squares Means: The R Package Ismeans. J. Stat.
 Soft. 69, 1-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v069.i01</u>
- Machado, G.B.O., Gusmão-Junior, J.B., Costa, T.M., 2013. Burrow morphology
 of *Uca uruguayensis* and *Uca leptodactylus* (Decapoda: Ocypodidae) from a subtropical
 mangrove forest in the western Atlantic. Integr. Zool. 8, 307-314.
 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1749-4877.2012.00297.x
- Mandrak, N.E., 1989. Potential invasion of the Great Lakes by fish species
 associated with climatic warming. J. Great. Lakes. Res. 15, 306-316.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/s0380-1330(89)71484-2

- Maximov, A.A., 2011. Large-scale invasion of *Marenzelleria* spp. (Polychaeta;
 Spionidae) in the eastern Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea. Russ. J. Biol. Invasions. 2, 11-19.
 https://doi.org/10.1134/s2075111711010036
- 596 McCraith, B.J., Gardner, L.R., Wethey, D.S., Moore, W.S., 2003. The effect of 597 fiddler crab burrowing on sediment mixing and radionuclide profiles along a topographic 598 in southeastern marsh. gradient a salt J. Mar. Res. 61. 359-390. 599 https://doi.org/10.1357/002224003322201232
- Meziane, T., Sanabe, M.C., Tsuchiya, M., 2002. Role of fiddler crabs of a
 subtropical intertidal flat on the fate of sedimentary fatty acids. J. Exp. Mar. Biol.
 Ecol. 270, 191-201. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-0981(02)00019-9
- Morrell, L.J., Backwell, P.R., Metcalfe, N.B., 2005. Fighting in fiddler crabs *Uca mjoebergi*: what determines duration?. Anim. Behav. 70, 653-662.
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2004.11.014</u>
- Munguia, P., Backwell, P.R., Darnell, M.Z., 2017. Thermal constraints on
 microhabitat selection and mating opportunities. Anim. Behav. 123, 259-265.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2016.11.004
- 609 Musolin, D.L., 2007. Insects in a warmer world: ecological, physiological and
- 610 life-history responses of true bugs (Heteroptera) to climate change. Global Change Biol.
- 611 13, 1565-1585 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01395.x</u>
- Nabout, J.C., Júnior, M., Bini, L.M., Diniz Filho, J.A.F., 2009. Distribuição
 geográfica potencial de espécies americanas do caranguejo "violinista" (*Uca* spp.)
 (Crustacea, Decapoda) com base em modelagem de nicho ecológico. Iheringia. 99, 92-98
 <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0073-47212009000100013</u>
- Natálio, L.F., Pardo, J.C., Machado, G.B., Fortuna, M.D., Gallo, D.G., Costa,
 T.M., 2017. Potential effect of fiddler crabs on organic matter distribution: A combined

618 laboratory and field experimental approach. Estuar. Coast. Shelf. Sci. 184, 158-165.
619 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2016.11.007

620 Olabarria, C., Underwood, A., Chapman, M., 2002. Appropriate experimental 621 design to evaluate preferences for microhabitat: an example of preferences by species of 622 microgastropods. Oecologia. 132, 159-166. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-0940-6 623 Orians, G.H., 2000. Behavior and community structure. Etologia. 8, 43-51. 624 Parmesan, C., Yohe, G., 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change 625 impacts across natural systems. Nature. 421, 37-42. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01286 626 Pessarrodona, A., Moore, P.J., Sayer, M.D., Smale, D.A., 2018. Carbon 627 assimilation and transfer through kelp forests in the NE Atlantic is diminished under a

 628
 warmer
 ocean
 climate. Global
 Change
 Biol. 24,
 4386-4398.

 629
 https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14303

Poff, N.L., Brinson, M.M., Day, J.W., 2002. Aquatic ecosystems & Global
climate change: Potential impacts on Inland Freshwater and Coastal Wetland
Ecosystems in the United States. Pew Center on Global Climate Change. 44, 1-36.

Pralon, B.G.N., Negreiros-Fransozo, M.L., 2008. Relative growth and
morphological sexual maturity of *Uca cumulanta* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Ocypodidae)
from a tropical Brazilian mangrove population. J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U.K. 88, 569-574.
https://doi.org/10.1017/s0025315408000453

R Core Team, 2018. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

639 Rahel, F.J., Olden, J.D., 2008. Assessing the effects of climate change on aquatic

640 invasive species. Conserv. Boil. 22, 521-533. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-</u>
641 <u>1739.2008.00950.x</u>

- Reinsel, K.A., 2004. Impact of fiddler crab foraging and tidal inundation on an
 intertidal sandflat: season-dependent effects in one tidal cycle. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.
 313, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2004.06.003
- Rombouts, I., Beaugrand, G., Ibaňez, F., Gasparini, S., Chiba, S., Legendre, L.,
 2009. Global latitudinal variations in marine copepod diversity and environmental
- 647 factors. Proc. R. Soc. B. 276, 3053-3062. <u>https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2009.0742</u>
- 648 Rosenberg, M.S., 2018. New record and range extension of the fiddler crab *Uca*
- 649 princeps (Smith, 1870) (Brachyura, Ocypodidae) from California, USA. J. Crustac. Biol.
- 650 38, 823-824. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/jcbiol/ruy071</u>
- 651 Sanches, F.H.C., Costa, T.M., Barreto, R.E., Backwell, P.R.Y., 2018. The cost of
- living in mixed species populations: A fiddler crab example. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 500,
- 653 30-33. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2017.12.010</u>
- Sanford, E., Holzman, S.B., Haney, R.A., Rand, D.M., Bertness, M.D., 2006.
 Larval tolerance, gene flow, and the northern geographic range limit of fiddler
 crabs. Ecology. 87, 2882-2894. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-</u>
- 657 9658(2006)87[2882:ltgfat]2.0.co;2
- 658 Scheffel, W.A., Heck, K.L., Johnson, M.W., 2018. Tropicalization of the northern
- 659 Gulf of Mexico: impacts of salt marsh transition to black mangrove dominance on faunal
- 660 communities. Estuaries Coasts. 41, 1193-1205. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-</u>
- 661 <u>0334-y</u>
- 662 Sharma, S., Jackson, D.A., Minns, C.K., Shuter, B.J., 2007. Will northern fish
- populations be in hot water because of climate change? Global Change Biol. 13, 2052-
- 664 2064. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01426.x</u>
- 665 Shih, H.T., Ng, P.K., Davie, P.J., Schubart, C.D., Türkay, M., Naderloo, R., Jones,
- D., Liu, M.Y., 2016. Systematics of the family Ocypodidae Rafinesque, 1815 (Crustacea:

667 Brachyura), based on phylogenetic relationships, with a reorganization of subfamily 668 rankings and a review of the taxonomic status of *Uca* Leach, 1814, sensu lato and its 669 subgenera. Ruffles Bull. Zoology. 64, 139-175.

- 670 Skálová, H., Jarošík, V., Dvořáčková, Š., Pyšek, P., 2013. Effect of intra-and 671 interspecific competition on the performance of native and invasive species of *Impatiens* varying 672 under levels moisture. PLoS of shade and ONE. 8. e62842. 673 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062842
- Smith, N.F., Wilcox, C., Lessmann, J.M., 2009. Fiddler crab burrowing affects
 growth and production of the white mangrove (*Laguncularia racemosa*) in a restored
 Florida coastal marsh. Mar. Bio. 156, 2255-2266. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-009-</u>
 1253-7
- Somero, G.N., 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for
 acclimatization and genetic adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers'. J. Exp. Biol.
 213, 912-920. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037473
- 681 Spivak, E. D., Gavio, M. A., Navarro, C. E., 1991. Life history and structure of
- the world's southernmost Uca population: Uca uruguayensis (Crustacea, Brachyura) in

683 Mar Chiquita Lagoon (Argentina). Bull. Mar. Sci. 48, 679-688.

- 684 Suguio, K., 1973. Introdução à sedimentologia, first ed. São Paulo University
 685 Press, São Paulo.
- 686Thurman, C.L., Faria, S.C., McNamara, J.C., 2013. The distribution of fiddler687crabs (*Uca*) along the coast of Brazil: implications for biogeography of the western688AtlanticOcean. Mar.680BiodiversityRec. 6,6811-21.
- 689 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/s1755267212000942</u>
- 690 Truchet, D.M., Buzzi, N.S., Carcedo, M.C., Marcovecchio, J.E., 2019. First record
 691 of the fiddler crab *Leptuca* (= *Uca*) *uruguayensis* in the Bahía Blanca Estuary (Buenos

- Aires, Argentina) with comments on its biology in South America. Reg. Stud. Mar.
 Sci. 27, 100539. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2019.100539
- 694Underwood, A.J., Chapman, M.G., Crowe, T.P., 2004. Identifying and695understanding ecological preferences for habitat or prey. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 300,
- 696 161-187. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2003.12.006</u>
- Venables, W.N., Ripley, B.D., 2002. Modern Applied Statistics with S, 4nd ed.
 Springer-Verlag, New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-21706-2
- 699 Walther, G.R., Roques, A., Hulme, P.E., Sykes, M.T., Pyšek, P., Kühn, I., Zobel,
- 700 M., Bacher, S., Zoltán, B.D., Bugmann, H., Czúcz, B., Dauber, J., Hickler, T., Jarosík,
- 701 V., Kenis, M., Klotz, S., Minchin, D., Moora, M., Netwig, W., Ott, J., Panov, V.E.,
- 702 Reineking, B., Robinet, C., Semenchenko, V., Solarz, W., Thuiller, W., Vilà, M.,
- 703 Vohland, K., Settele, J., 2009. Alien species in a warmer world: risks and
- 704opportunities. TrendsEcol.Evol. 24,686-693.
- 705 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.06.008</u>
- 706 Wu, L., Cai, W., Zhang, L., Nakamura, H., Timmermann, A., Joyce, T.,
- 707 Mcphaden, M.J., Alexander, M., Qiu, B., Visback, M., Chang, P., Chiesi, B., 2012.
- 708 Enhanced warming over the global subtropical western boundary currents. Nat Clim
- 709 Change. 2, 161-166 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1353</u>
- 710 Yamano, H., Sugihara, K., Nomura, K., 2011. Rapid poleward range expansion of
- 711 tropical reef corals in response to rising sea surface temperatures. Geophys. Res. Lett. 38,
- 712 L04601. https://doi.org/10.1029/2010gl046474
- 713 Zuur, A.F., Hilbe, J., Ieno, E.N., 2013. A beginner's guide to GLM and GLMM with R:
- 714 A frequentist and Bayesian perspective for ecologists. Newburgh, U.K.: Highland
- 715 Statistics.
- 716



719 Figure 1. The experimental area in Praia Grande, São Paulo-SP where *Leptuca*

720 *uruguayensis* and *Leptuca cumulanta* are currently found (Checon and Costa 2017;

721 present study). Points indicate the sites used to describe territorial distribution of species

- (site 1), the site where the species were collected for the experiment in the laboratory
- (site 2), and the site used to evaluate the agonistic interactions (site 3). The arrows
- 724 indicate previous reports of the distribution limit of *Leptuca cumulanta* off the Brazilian
- 725 Coast: first in the Paraíba (PB) coast (Crane, 1975) and then in Rio de Janeiro (RJ)
- 726 coastal waters (Thurman et al., 2013).
- 727
- 728



729

730 Figure 2. Experimental design. Nine treatments (T1–T9) used to evaluate the habitat 731 choice of *Leptuca uruguayensis* with different visual stimuli: empty microhabitat (E), 732 microhabitat occupied by Leptuca uruguayensis (U), or microhabitat occupied by range-733 extending species Leptuca cumulanta (C). No choice treatments (T1, T2 and T3) were 734 composed of the same visual stimulus. Choice for a reference side is expected (T4, T5 735 and T6) when it is empty or when the reference side contains a *L. uruguayensis* and the 736 other side contain a L. cumulanta. Avoidance for a reference side is expected (T7, T8 737 and T9) when the opposite side is empty or when the reference side contains a L. 738 *cumulanta* and the other side contain a *L. uruguayensis*. The expected choice proportion 739 by microhabitat of reference (indicated by an asterisk) was expressed as the number of 740 choices for one side of terrarium out of the total number of choices made by individuals 741 from a group of four.



Figure 3. Distribution of *Leptuca uruguayensis* and *Leptuca cumulanta* along the

747 intertidal zone. Mean density \pm standard deviation of both species along five transects

748 (T1–T5) from lower (T1) to upper (T5) levels of the intertidal zone. Black circles

749 indicate outliers.



752

Figure 4. The proportion (± SD) of *Leptuca uruguayensis* choosing the reference

754 microhabitat (indicated by the underlined letter) when offered two microhabitat choices.

755 Microhabitats offered include: empty (E), with the presence of *Leptuca cumulanta* (C),

and with the presence of *Leptuca uruguayensis* (U). Panel (A) shows initial

757 microhabitat selection, while panel (B) shows final microhabitat selection.

758

759



Figure 5. Mean ± standard deviation of agonistic interactions (touching/pushing)
observed among *Leptuca uruguayensis* and *Leptuca cumulanta*. Different letters above
the mean of each behaviour indicate a significant difference between treatments (Tukey
test, P < 0.05).
766
767
768
769

778	Table 1 – Models were compared using Akaike information criterion (AAICc) and ranked
779	according to the difference between the most parsimonious model and the following with

780 the lowest value and higher Akaike weights (*wi*).

Model		AICc	ΔAICc	df	wi
Model 1	touch/push ~ fight group	230.0	0.0	4	0.240
Model 2	touch/push ~ fight group + CSD^*	230.6	0.6	5	0.181
Model 3	touch/push ~ DRB ^{**}	231.3	1.3	3	0.125
Model 4	touch/push ~ CSD	231.5	1.5	3	0.114
Model 5	touch/push ~ fight group + CSD + DRB	231.6	1.6	6	0.107
Model 6	touch/push ~ fight group + DRB	231.9	1.8	5	0.095
Model 7	touch/push ~ intercept	232.0	1.9	2	0.091
Model 8	touch/push ~ fight group + CSD + fight group x CSD	234.2	4.2	7	0.029
Model 9	touch/push ~ fight group + DRB + fight group x DRB	235.7	5.7	7	0.013
Model 10	touch/push ~ fight group + CSD + DRB + fight group x CSD + fight group x DRB	240.2	10.2	10	0.001

781 *CSD – Claw size difference

782 **DRB – Distance between the resident burrows